

CS344: Introduction to Artificial Intelligence (associated lab: CS386)

Pushpak Bhattacharyya
CSE Dept.,
IIT Bombay

Lecture 19: Interpretation in Predicate
Calculus

17th Feb, 2011

Interpretation in Logic

- Logical expressions or formulae are “FORMS” (placeholders) for whom contents are created through interpretation.

- Example:

$$\exists F[\{F(a) = b\} \wedge \forall x\{P(x) \rightarrow (F(x) = g(x, F(h(x))))\}]$$

- This is a Second Order Predicate Calculus formula.
- Quantification on 'F' which is a function.

Examples

- Interpretation:1

$D=N$ (natural numbers)

$a = 0$ and $b = 1$

$x \in N$

$P(x)$ stands for $x > 0$

$g(m,n)$ stands for $(m \times n)$

$h(x)$ stands for $(x - 1)$

- Above interpretation defines **Factorial**

Examples (contd.)

- Interpretation:2

$D = \{\text{strings}\}$

$a = b = \lambda$

$P(x)$ stands for “ x is a non empty string”

$g(m, n)$ stands for “append head of m to n ”

$h(x)$ stands for $tail(x)$

- Above interpretation defines “reversing a string”

More Examples

- $\forall x [P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)]$
- Following interpretations conform to above expression:

man(x) → mortal(x)

dog(x) → mammal(x)

prime(x) → 2_or_odd(x)

CS(x) → bad_hand_writing(x)

Structure of Interpretation

- All interpretations begin with a domain 'D', constants (0-order functions) and functions pick values from there.
- With respect to -
 $\forall x [P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)]$
 $D = \{ \text{living beings} \}$
 $P: D \rightarrow \{T, F\}$
 $Q: D \rightarrow \{T, F\}$
- P can be looked upon as a table shown here:

Elements of <i>D</i> i.e. x	$P(x)$
Ram	T
Pushpak	T
Virus ₁₂₀₁	F

Factorial interpretation of the structure

- $D = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$
- $a = 0, b = 1$
- $g(m, n) = m \times n$ and $g: D \times D \rightarrow D$
- $h(x) = (x - 1)$ and $h(0) = 0, h: D \rightarrow D$
- $P(x)$ is $x > 0$ and $P: D \rightarrow \{T, F\}$

Steps in Interpretation

1. Fix Domain D
 2. Assign values to constants
 3. Define functions
 4. Define predicates
- An expression which is true for all interpretations is called valid or tautology.
 - Interpretations and their validity in “Herbrand’s Universe” is sufficient for proving validity in Predicate Calculus.
 - Note:- Possible seminar topic – “Herbrand’s Interpretation and Validity”

Some examples...

- Valid for any domain

$$\forall x \exists y [P(x) \rightarrow P(y)]$$

- Valid for any domain with less than 3 elements but invalid for any domain with more than 2 elements

$$\forall x_1 x_2 x_3 \left[\begin{array}{l} \{P(x_1, x_1) \wedge P(x_2, x_2) \wedge P(x_3, x_3)\} \\ \rightarrow \{P(x_1, x_2) \vee P(x_2, x_3) \vee P(x_3, x_1)\} \end{array} \right]$$

Definitions

1. An **interpretation** is also called **model**.
2. A formula is called a **tautology** or is said to be **valid** if it is true in **all** models.
3. A formula is called **satisfiable** if there exists **at least one** model where it is **true**.

Explanation

- The example

$$\forall x_1 x_2 x_3 \left[\begin{array}{l} \{P(x_1, x_1) \wedge P(x_2, x_2) \wedge P(x_3, x_3)\} \\ \rightarrow \{P(x_1, x_2) \vee P(x_2, x_3) \vee P(x_3, x_1)\} \end{array} \right]$$

can be written as

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \{\neg P(x_1, x_1) \vee \neg P(x_2, x_2) \vee \neg P(x_3, x_3)\} \\ \vee \{\neg P(x_1, x_2) \vee P(x_2, x_3) \vee P(x_3, x_1)\} \end{array} \right]$$

- Domains
 $D1 = \{a\}$ (*Valid*)
 $D2 = \{a, b\}$ (*Valid*)
 $D3 = \{a, b, c\}$ (*Invalid*)