CS 617 Object Oriented Systems Lecture 3 Object Abstractions, Encapsulation, Abstract Data Types 3:30-5:00pm Thu, Jan 10

Rushikesh K Joshi

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline



2 Encapsulation





◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ・三 ・ のへぐ

Outline



2 Encapsulation



4 Readings



<ロト <回 > < 注 > < 注 > 、

E 990



Every object has its own:

- o Id
- State
- Behavior

- Objects are identified and distinguished from one another through their identities.
- At a given point of time during execution, two objects may have the same state and the same behavior, but they are distinguishable through their identities.

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘ

- ⊒ →

• Can objects with nil state and nil behavior exist?



- Each object has its own set of local variables
- The values of these variables represents the current state of the object

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• Can objects with nil state but non-nil behavior exist?



- How does an object undergo state changes?
- Member functions define the behavior
- Objects with nil behavior (no member functions) but non-nil state?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

æ

Outline



2 Encapsulation

3 Abstract Data Types

4 Readings

What is Encapsulation?

- How do you guarantee the integrity of an abstraction?
- Imagine an electronic equipment shipped with open access to internal circuitry
- Encapsulation is a process of concealing the implementation and making sure that access to the object occur only through the interface that represents the abstraction.
- Is encapsulation a new contribution from object orientation? Or did we know it before?

Examples of Encapsulation

- A Process's internal data (pid,page tables etc) may not be manipulatable through the process system calls
- The body of a procedure may not be manipulatable through the prototype
- Local variables within a function are not accessible outside the function scope
- Hidden variables inside a file cannot be linked to
- Private variables and member functions in classes, of course!

Breakage of Encapsulation

- If one gets direct access to internal state, encapsulation is broken.
- Abstraction may then no longer work.
- Pointers in C++ can cause breakage of encapsulation
- Pure object oriented languages do not permit violation of abstraction i.e. they do not permit breakage of encapsulation: **Support and Enforce Abstraction!**

Levels of Encapsulation in Object Oriented Programs

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

- Internal visibility
- External visibility
- Subclass visibility
- Exclusive (Friend) visibility
- Package or module visibility

Outline



2 Encapsulation



4 Readings

▲□▶▲□▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへの

An ADT Example: Unbounded Stack

Let E be the element type and T be Stack type. T holds elements of type E.

The below operations are defined for this type.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

T new (void) T push (E,T) E top(T) T removetop(T) Boolean empty (T)

Properties of the operations

- empty(new()) new creates a nil stack
- top(push(e,t)) = e pushed element goes on top, top gives the recently pushed element
- removetop(push(e,t)) = t removetop retains the old stack prior to last push
- not empty(push(e,t)) when a push operation is performed, the stack becomes non empty

Partial Functions

- Some functions are not defined on all members of the input set
- Which of the those defined above are partial functions?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

= 990

Partial Functions in our Example

- top cannot return a value of type E for all values of input type T.
- Which one is that value?
- Similarly *removetop* does not work on all values of input type T.
- Which one is that value?
- How to handle the partially defined functions in ADT specification?

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

Preconditions of Partial Functions

• T removetop (T) requires not empty (T)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

• E pop (T) requires not empty (T)

Summary of ADT Specification

- Types (used in the ADT)
- Functions (operations defined on these types)
- Axioms (properties over the functions defined)

・ロト ・聞 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と 。

æ

Preconditions

Observations

- Nowhere we used the notion of state
- Behavior was defined in terms of a set of pure functions and their properties

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > <

- ⊒ →

- It's not easy to generate an ADT specifications
- Convert ADT specifications into classes

Outline



2 Encapsulation







 Allan Snyder, Encapsulation and Inheritance in Object-Oriented Programming Languages, OOPSLA 1986, pages 38-45.

・ロト ・回 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨトー

æ

• Chapter 6 from Bertrand Meyer's book 'Object Oriented Software Construction'.