# High Resolution 3-D MR Image Reconstruction from Multiple Views



#### Prasenjit Mondal\*, Jayanta Mukherjee\*, Shamik Sural\* and Pinak Pani Bhattacharyya<sup>‡</sup>

\*Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, India

<sup>‡</sup>Quadra Medical Services Pvt. Ltd, Kolkata, India

December 17, 2012

THE EIGHTH INDIAN CONFERENCE ON VISION, GRAPHICS AND IMAGE PROCESSING

# CONTENTS

#### 1 Introduction

- 2 Model-Guided Alignment
- 3 Volume Reconstruction
- 4 Experimental Results



# PROBLEM STATEMENT

Reconstruction of a 3D high resolution volume from 2D image slices of multiple views.

Problems associated are:

- Intensity inhomogeneity of the MR images in different views
- Different spatial resolutions in the three views for  $T_{1}$  or  $T_{2}$ -weighted scans
- Registration of the MR image sequences in multiple views

### Block Diagram of the Proposed Method



THE EIGHTH INDIAN CONFERENCE ON VISION, GRAPHICS AND IMAGE PROCESSING

# Normalization of Intensity Values in MR Image Sequences of Multiple Views

Parameters that influence the image contrast:

- Dependent parameters: Relaxation times T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>, Spin density
- Technical parameters: Echo time (TE) and Repetition time (TR)

TR and TE are adjusted to control intensity values of the images  $\left[1\right]$  .

[1] Suetens, Fundamentals of Medical Imaging, Cambridge University Press, 2002.

# Model-Guided Alignment and Resizing of MR Image Sequences

- Model-Guided Alignment: Mapping each individual image in a sequence of MR images to a human brain model.
- The Model Based MRI Alignment (MBMRIA) algorithm [1]:



Figure 1: Alignment of MR images of different views.

[1] Mondal et al., An Efficient Model-Guided Framework for Alignment of Brain MR Image Sequences, SMC, 2012.

# Normalized Cross-Correlation to Refine the Alignment Process

- Normalized cross-correlation for adjusting the relative positions of frames of two views.
- Cross-Correlation: The rows of  $S_i$  and  $A_k$  are aligned.



Figure 2:  $S_i$ :  $i^{th}$  sagittal image,  $C_j$ :  $j^{th}$  coronal image,  $A_k$ :  $k^{th}$  axial image, rows of  $S_i$ :  $R_{S_i}^{y_j}$ , and rows of  $A_k$ :  $R_{A_k}^{x_i}$ 

# Skew Correction of the MR Imaging Planes

- MR images are aligned parallel to xy-, yz- or zx- planes.
- MR sequences are skew corrected to maintain the rectilinear configuration of principal coordinate planes.



Figure 3: Skew correction along the *yz*-plane in coronal view.

#### Skew Correction





Figure 4: Plot of SSIM measured between reference MR images and cross-sections computed from the volume in different angles.

• QUADRA datasets: The skews for MR images of sagittal and axial views are 5.65<sup>0</sup> and 2.85<sup>0</sup>, respectively.

# HIGH RESOLUTION VOLUME RECONSTRUCTION

Resolution: inter-slice gap between two cross-sections along any view.

The proposed volume reconstruction method is based on inverse distance weighted (IDW) interpolation scheme.

11 / 25

### Alignment Followed by Interpolation (AFI)

- The volume reconstruction problem: Finding intensity values of the interior pixels of a cube with known functional values at surface points.
- Computational complexity is reduced by considering six nearest sample points on the surface.



# Alignment Followed by Interpolation (AFI)

- Considering more than 6 neighbors do not significantly improve quality of the reconstructed volume as compared to 14 neighbors.
- Time consumed for the 14 neighbor case is more than twice the 6 neighbor one.

# Deblurring of Reconstructed Images

- Interpolation causes blurring of images.
- Deblurring is performed using Richardson-Lucy (R-L) algorithm [1].

[1] Richardson, Bayesian-Based Iterative Method of Image Restoration, JOSA, 1972. 🚓 🕞 🥫

Conclusion

# EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

#### Datasets

- Experimentation: Acquired from the Quadra Medical Services Pvt. Ltd, Kolkata, India.
- Validation: Obtained from the Open Access Series of Imaging Studies (OASIS).

The AFI method is applied on 20 different human brain MR image sequences.

## AFI vs. Simple Interpolation



Figure 5: (a)-(c): Simple interpolation and (d)-(f): AFI.

THE EIGHTH INDIAN CONFERENCE ON VISION, GRAPHICS AND IMAGE PROCESSING

- ∢ ≣ ▶

# Interpolation of Frames Between Two Successive MR Images using AFI



Figure 6: (a) and (g): Original successive MR images in a sequence of coronal view. (b)-(f): The intermediate interpolated frames,

THE EIGHTH INDIAN CONFERENCE ON VISION, GRAPHICS AND IMAGE PROCESSING

### Reconstructed Frames using the AFI and Reference Frames



Figure 7: (a,b,c) represent the image slices generated from the volume in sagittal, coronal and axial views using the AFI technique, (d,e,f) depict the respective reference frames.

# Reconstructed High Resolution Volumes vs. Low Resolution Volumes





(b)

Figure 8: (a) Low resolution volumes generated from different views. (b) High resolution volumes generated using the AEI technique,

THE EIGHTH INDIAN CONFERENCE ON VISION, GRAPHICS AND IMAGE PROCESSING

19 / 25

## Deblurring of Reconstructed Frames





Figure 9: Reconstructed frames (a,b) using AFI and their corresponding deblurred images (c,d) for the Quadra and the OASIS datasets.

#### Oblique Views from Reconstructed Volume



Figure 10: Oblique imaging planes and their corresponding cross-sections computed using AFI algorithm in (a) sagittal, (b) coronal and (c) axial views.

#### Experiments on the Quadra Datasets

Table 1: Quadra Datasets: SSIM index computed between the reconstructed images and the reference frames.

Reconstructed	lmage Plane	SSIM Index	
MR Image Sequences from		AFI	Deblurred
Sagittal &	Axial	68.24	74.38
Coronal			
Sagittal			
&	Coronal	72.59	77.73
Axial			
Coronal			
&	Sagittal	72.42	76.17
Axial			

#### Experiments on the OASIS Datasets

Table 2: OASIS Datasets: SSIM index computed between the reconstructed images and the reference frames.

Reconstructed	Image	SSIM Index	
MR Image	Plane		
Sequences from		AFI	Deblurred
Sagittal	Sagittal	93.82	98.23
&	Coronal	94.73	97.82
Coronal	Axial	83.19	88.31
Sagittal	Sagittal	92.47	96.72
&	Coronal	84.21	92.16
Axial	Axial	91.95	93.74
Coronal	Sagittal	82.02	90.37
&	Coronal	90.38	95.31
Axial	Axial	92.83	96.23
Sagittal,	Sagittal	92.02	97.19
Coronal &	Coronal	91.26	95.49
Axial	Axial	93.30	95.28

# CONCLUSION

- An efficient technique has been proposed to reconstruct a high resolution human brain volume from multiple low resolution MR image sequences.
- It can be used to study smaller structures of brain, e.g., a lesion within a reconstructed volume.

# REFERENCES



P. Mondal, J. Mukhopadhyay, S. Sural and P. P. Bhattacharyya.

An Efficient Model-Guided Framework for Alignment of Brain MR Image Sequences. IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, pages 2201-2206, 2012.



#### P. Suetens.

Fundamentals of Medical Imaging. Cambridge University Press, 2002.



The Open Access Series of Imaging Studies (OASIS).

http://www.oasis-brains.org/.



W. H. Richardson.

Bayesian-Based Iterative Method of Image Restoration. Journal of the Optical Society of America, 62(1):55-59, 1972.



#### Anatomy Model Store.

http://www.plasticboy.co.uk/store/.

.⊒...>

# **Thank You**

THE EIGHTH INDIAN CONFERENCE ON VISION, GRAPHICS AND IMAGE PROCESSING