#### Accurate and Efficient Rendering of Detail using Directional Distance

Maps Ravish Mehra Subodh Kumar IIT Delhi IIT Delhi



### Mesostructures





microstructures

mesostructures

macrostructures

### Mesostructures

#### height field vs non-height field



alters shadows, occlusion, and silhouettes

# **Mesostructure Rendering**

#### Tessellation

generates large number of micro-polygons

#### Ray-tracing

generates large number of triangles

#### Per-pixel displacement mapping

- works at interactive rates
- provides performance-quality trade-off

### **Per-Pixel Displacement Mapping**

render lowresolution mesh

 cover all fragments (pixels)

recompute color and depth of each fragment



view ray

# **Previous Work**

#### Height-field mesostructures

- Relief mapping and variants
- [Policarpo et al. 05], [Risser et al. 05], [Tatarchuk 05]
- Cone mapping
- [Dummer 05], [Policarpo and Oliveira 07]
- Erosion and dilation map
- [Kolb and Salama 2005]
- Others
- [Oh et al. 06], [Tevs et al. 08], [Baboud et al. 06]

# **Previous Work**

#### Non height-field mesostructures

- Relief mapping
- [Policarpo and Oliveira 06] : limited non-height field
- Generalized displacement mapping
- [Wang et al. 04]: height field and non height-field
- linear interpolation errors
- Sphere tracing
- [Hart 1996], [Donnelly 05]: height field and non height-field
- linear interpolation errors



# **Sphere Tracing**

#### Drawbacks

- Slow convergence
- points close to surface
- Limited accuracy
- based on sampling resolution of distance maps
- High memory requirement
- distance maps stored as 3D texture

# **Directional Distance Map**

#### Directional Distance Map

- "look forward"
- distance only in direction of ray important
- direction cone
- limits field of view of the ray
- small #of direction cones
- "front to back"



### Comparison





with distance map

with directional distance map

### **Accurate intersection**

- conservative distance
- nearest distance from anywhere within a voxel



original sphere tracing

our technique

### **Accurate intersection**

- Quadric surface approximation
- voxel with surface has value 0



### **Accurate intersection**

- Quadric surface approximation
- voxel with surface has value 0
- perform ray-quadric intersection





### **Distance map compression**







### Results

#### Faster convergence



with directional distance map

with distance map





#### Only few direction cones suffice

	Distance	DDM	DDM	DDM
	map	$2 \times 1$	$4 \times 1$	$8 \times 1$
Shape	5.5	4.2	4	3.9
Teapot	4.4	3.1	2.8	2.7
Golden ball	8.3	7.1	6.1	5.8

Performance (in ms) of sphere tracing with distance map and directional distance map (DDM) at varying number of directions.

### Results

#### Quality improvement





with distance map

with DDM + ray-quadric intersection



#### Quality improvement



with distance map

with DDM + ray-quadric intersection



#### Quality improvement



with distance map

with DDM + ray-quadric intersection



#### Performance

Model	Relief	ST	ST DDM	ST DDM
(No. of	map	Distance	$4 \times 1$	+ Quadric
beads)		map		$4 \times 1$
Plane(400)	21.7	8.3	3.0	7.4
Cylinder(1600)	38.5	17.5	3.9	16.4
Teapot(4900)	23.8	25.0	10	50
Box(196)	27.7	12.7	6.3	30.3

Performance (in ms) for Relief Mapping, Sphere Tracing (ST) with distance map, ST with DDM and our technique (ST with DDM and quadric)



#### Compression efficiency

Model	Uncompressed	Compressed	Compr.
		LOOKUP + ATLAS	Effic.
Beads	$(256^2 \times 64) \times 8$	$128^2 \times 256 + 128^2 \times 256$	4
Kitten	$(256^2 \times 64) \times 8$	$64^2 \times 128 + 64^2 \times 512$	12.8
Golden ball	$(256^2 \times 64) \times 8$	$32^2 \times 64 + 16^2 \times 256$	256

Compression efficiency of directional distance map (DDM) for different models.



