

---

## CS206 Quiz No. #2

Date: March 28, 2006

Time: 1 hour

---

- This is an open book/notes/material-brought-to-class exam.
- Be brief and stick to the point that has been asked.
- If you absolutely need to make any assumptions, state them clearly. If the assumptions are unreasonable, no marks will be awarded for the part of the solution using the assumptions.
- **Do not copy solutions or indulge in unfair means.**

1. [5 + 5 + 5 marks] Given a predicate logic formula  $\phi$ , define the *alternation depth* of  $\phi$  as the minimum number of changes of quantifiers (from existential to universal or vice versa) in the prefix if we write  $\phi$  in a prenex normal form. For example, the number of changes of quantifiers in the prefix of  $\forall x \exists y \exists z \forall w \exists v P(x, y, z, w, v)$  is 3.

Note that a formula may have multiple prenex normal forms; the alternation depth of  $\phi$  is the minimum number of quantifier changes in the prefix among all such prenex normal forms of  $\phi$ .

Let  $\phi(z) = \forall x \exists y ((\forall x P(x, y, z)) \rightarrow (\exists y P(x, y, z))) \rightarrow (\exists x \forall y P(x, y, z))$ , where  $P(x, y, z)$  is a ternary predicate.

- (a) Give a prenex normal form for  $\phi$  in which the number of changes of quantifiers in the prefix is **minimized** and indicate the alternation depth of  $\phi$ .
  - (b) Is it possible to write a Skolem normal form for  $\exists z \phi(z)$  in which all Skolem functions are of arity one? If so, give the corresponding Skolem normal form. Else, give justification for your answer.
  - (c) In the formula  $\phi(z)$  above, suppose every instance of  $P(x, y, z)$  is replaced by a predicate logic formula  $\psi(x, y, z)$ , where the alternation depth of  $\psi$  is  $k$ . Give as tight an upper bound as you can of the alternation depth of  $\phi(z)$  in terms of  $k$ . You must provide justification for your answer to score marks.
2. [10 marks] Show using the Compactness Theorem that it is not possible to write a predicate logic sentence  $\phi$  using only the equality predicate and a binary predicate  $E$  (no other function symbols are allowed), such that (i) all models of  $\phi$  are directed graphs containing at least one cycle (including self loops), and (ii) any directed graph containing at least one cycle (including self loops) gives rise to a model of  $\phi$ .