CS402 End-Semester Examination

Max marks: 65

- Be brief, complete and stick to what has been asked.
- Unless asked for explicitly, you may cite results/proofs covered in class without reproducing them.
- If you need to make any assumptions, state them clearly.
- Do not copy solutions from others. Penalty for offenders: FR grade.
- 1. In class, we have discussed how the imprecision introduced by the *lub* operation in an abstract domain A can be refined by using a different abstract domain whose elements are appropriate subsets of the elements of A. In this question, we will try to make this idea a bit more concrete.

Let $L = (A, \sqsubseteq, \sqcup, \sqcap, \top, \bot)$ be a (topped and bottomed) lattice with the underlying set A. Define A^p to be $\{S \mid S \subseteq A, \forall x, y \in S, x \not\subseteq y \text{ and } y \not\subseteq x\}$. In other words, A^p is the set of all subsets of A such that no two elements in the subset are ordered by \sqsubseteq . Define the binary relation $\sqsubseteq^p \subseteq A^p \times A^p$ as follows: $\forall S_1, S_2 \in A^p, S_1 \sqsubseteq^p S_2$ if and only if $\forall x \in S_1 \exists y \in S_2, x \sqsubseteq y$. Similarly, define $\top^p = \{\top\}$ and $\bot^p = \{\bot\}$. We now wish to define two binary functions $\sqcup^p : A^p \times A^p \to A^p$ and $\sqcap^p : A^p \times A^p \to A^p$ such that $L^p = (A^p, \sqsubseteq^p, \sqcup^p, \sqcap^p, \top^p, \bot^p)$ forms a lattice. In other words, we want \sqcup^p and \sqcap^p to act as the *lub* and *glb* operators in L^p .

- [5 marks] Show that \sqsubseteq^p as defined above is an anti-symmetric relation.
- [5 marks] Show that there exists a binary function \sqcup^p that computes the *least upper bound* (in the ordering \sqsubseteq^p) of every pair of elements in A^p ? You must give an unambiguous definition of \sqcup^p .
- [5 marks] Show that there exists a binary function \sqcap^p that computes the greatest lower bound (in the ordering \sqsubseteq^p) of every pair of elements in A^p ? You must give an unambiguous definition of \sqcap^p .
- [10 marks] Suppose $\nabla : L \times L \to L$ is a widening operator in L. Can you define a widening operator in L^p using ∇ , \sqcap^p , \sqcup^p and \subseteq^p ? If yes, you must give an unambiguous definition of ∇^p and argue why it satisfies all the properties required of a widening operator.
- 2. Consider the following program in the language studied in class. Here ptrType denotes a pointer type to a structure that itself has a single field named n of type ptrType.

```
function foo(ptrType y, ptrType z)
// assume y and z point to (possibly shared) nil-terminated lists
// and z is not nil
ptrType x = z;
L1: while ((x != y) and (x != nil)) do
L2: x := x->n;
L3: // end of while loop
L4: return;
```

- (a) [5 marks] Under the assumption that y and z point to (possibly shared) nil-terminated lists, what is the maximum number of heap-shared nodes that we can have at any time during the execution of the function ? You must provide justification for your answer.
- (b) [15 marks] Suppose your solution to the above sub-question is n. We will use A1, ..., An as names of heap-shared nodes, and assume the following ordering of names: x ≺ y ≺ z ≺ A1 ≺ ... ≺ An. Clearly, when the function terminates, either x = nil or x == y. We wish to determine which of these possibilities is the right one given the initial state of the heap when function foo is called. Towards this end, we wish to develop a *custom* automata-based technique as follows.
 - We will encode the heap using a word as in regular model checking. Specifically, this word must have a segment encoding each uninterrupted list in the heap.
 - We will design a regular transducer that takes the encoding of the heap prior to executing the statement at L2 and transforms it to the encoding of the heap obtained immediately after execution of L2. Note that we aren't asking for the transducer to change the encoding of the state (which includes program counter values, list of nil-valued variables, list of uninitialized variables, mode flags, etc.)
 - We will then check whether the encoding of the heap obtained by repeated transformations of the statement at L2 either contains the substring xy or does not contain x. In the former case, and under the assumptions stated in the program, the program terminates with x == y; otherwise, it terminates with x = nil.

Design the regular transducer referred to in the second step of the above outline. You may label edges of the transducer with s_1/s_2 , where s_1 , s_2 are strings (of zero or more letters).

- (c) [2.5 + 2.5 marks] Suppose the transduction function defined by your transducer is R. Consider the two heaps encoded by $\sigma_1 = xz.n. \perp | y.n. \perp$ and $\sigma_2 = xz.n.yA1 | yA1.n. \perp$. Give the strings $R(\sigma_1)$ and $R(\sigma_2)$ obtained using your transducer in each case.
- 3. [15 marks] Consider the program given below, where all variables are of int type.

```
function foo(int y, int z)
```

int x;

```
L1: x := z;
L2: while ((x != y) and (x != 0)) do
L3: x := x - 1;
L4: return(x);
```

We wish to determine if x = y or x = 0 when the function returns.

Using at most 5 predicates, design a Boolean program that allows you to answer the above question as precisely as possible. You are free to choose any 5 predicates on x, y and z. However, you must justify your choice of predicates, and give the corresponding Boolean program.