<b>CS350</b>			Q	uiz-2				RollNo	).:	/15
	QuestionPaper-cum-Answer Sheet : Answer only in the space provided Solution (Grading Algo, given in italics in each question)									
Q 1.	Given the LP:	- Min s.t.	2 x <sub>1</sub>	- 3 x <sub>2</sub>	-	х <sub>3</sub>				/8
			<u>1</u> x <sub>1</sub> 3	+ <u>1</u> x <sub>2</sub> 3	+ <u>1</u> 3	Х <sub>3</sub>	≤	1		
			<u>1</u> x <sub>1</sub> 3	+ <u>4</u> x <sub>2</sub> 3	+ <u>7</u> 3	<b>x</b> 3	<u>&lt;</u>	3		
(a) The	Dual LP is:-						x <u>&gt;</u> (	)		/3
Le <sup>r</sup> Th	t p = (p <sub>1</sub> ,  p <sub>2</sub> ) e Dual LP (DP	be the vecto ) is:- Max.	or of dual ₽1	variable	es. E	ach	primal v	ariable gi	ves rise to a dual constrair $(p_1, p_2) < 0 \dots 1$	nt.
		s.t.			2		_		$3 \text{ const.}+1 \text{ obj.} \dots 4 \text{ x } \frac{1}{2} =$	2
			<u>1</u> p <sub>1</sub> 3	+ <u>1</u> p	2	<u>&lt;</u>	- 2			
			<u>1</u> p <sub>1</sub>	+ <u>4</u> p	2	<u>&lt;</u>	- 3			
			3 <u>1</u> p <sub>1</sub> 3	+ <u>7</u> p	2	<u>&lt;</u>	- 1			
(b) Usii	ng the Weak D	ouality Theor	em, a low	(p ver bour	nd to	' <u>≤</u> (P) i	0 s: <u>-9</u>	(corr. to	feasible p =(-9,0))	/1
(c) Veri	ify using CS co	onditions on	(P) and (I	DP) tha	t x=(1	,2,0	) <sup>⊤</sup> is op	timal.		/2
x <sub>1</sub> *	> 0 =>	<u>1</u> p <sub>1</sub> * + 3	<u>1</u> p <sub>2</sub> * 3	= -:	2	>	=>	p* = (-5	$2 \times \frac{1}{c_3} = 1, c_3 = 1, $	r∕cs =1
x <sub>2</sub> *	> 0 =>	<u>1</u> p <sub>1</sub> * + 3	<u>4</u> p₂* 3	=	- 3⁄		Also: c <sub>3</sub>	, = -1 – (- <del>!</del>	5/3, -7/3) > 0 => x <sub>3</sub> * =0 by (	CS (holds)
сх	a* = -2 −6 = -8	8; p*b =	-5 –3 = - 8	8, exhib	oiting	that wh	for this ich also	(x*, p*) demonstr	the resp. optimal values a ates the use of the Duality	ire equal Theorem.
(d) Let I optir	$b_1=1+y_1$ and $b_2$ mal.	<sub>2</sub> = 3+y <sub>2</sub> . P	lot in 2 di	mensio	ns the	e va	lues for	which the	basis optimal in part (c) i	is still /2
B= (1/2 (1/2	3 2/3) 3 4/3)	B <sub>inv</sub> = (	4 -1) -1 1)	E	B <sub>inv</sub> b	=	(4+4y <sub>1</sub> (-1- y <sub>1</sub> +	−3−y₂) +3+y₂)	$= (1+ 4y_1 - y_2) \ge (2 - y_1 + y_2)$	0
						y	<sup>2</sup> /4y <sub>1</sub> -	· y <sub>2 =</sub> -1		
4y <sub>1</sub> – y <sub>2</sub>	<u>≥</u> -1 and	y <sub>1</sub> −y <sub>2</sub> ≥	-2			2	$\square$	Re	gion of optimality $y_1 - y_2 = -2$	
						1			$\searrow$	
Binv 1/2	2, B <sub>inv</sub> b…1,plot	1/2				6	0	1	$\rightarrow$ 2	<b>У</b> 1
						$\vdash$		$\nearrow$	<b>,</b>	
								Y		

**Q 2** Given the following network <N,A|0,k> where node 1 is the source and 6 the sink, all arc lower bounds are zero and their respective upper bounds given adjacent to the arcs, and v the flow through the network:-/7[4+(1+2)](a)6x1/2...3+v\*...1/2+mincut...1/2;(b)(i)2x1/2...1;(ii)2x1=2



(a) Find the max flow through the network using the labeling algorithm. Show the successive labels on the above diagram itself, as well as a min-cut set.

(b) Now we are permitted to increase the upper bound of (only) *one* arc by any amount so as to *increase max* v *the most*: (i) identify the subset of arcs and why? {(4,6),(5,6)}, because this is the saturated min cut-set

(ii) which arc and by how much? Note: You can start from the final set of labels obtained under (a).  $v^{*}(k_{46}=inf) - v^{*}(k_{46}=4) = 1$  (Note that the min cut-set is:{(2,4),(1,4),(1,5),(3,5)}  $v^{*}(k_{56}=inf) - v^{*}(k_{56}=12) = 1$  (Note that the min cut-set is again:{(2,4),(1,4),(1,5),(3,5)} Hence, we can increase either of the two arcs (4,6) or (5,6) by 1 unit.