Critical Point and Local Extreme Value

Given a critical point c, the following test helps determine if f(c) is a local extreme value:



(4 何) トイヨト イヨト

Given a critical point *c*, *first derivative test* (sufficient condition) helps determine if f(c) is a local extreme value:

Procedure

[First derivative test]: Let c be an isolated critical point of f

- f(c) is a local minimum if the sign of f(x) changes from negative in [c - ε₁, c] to positive in [c, c + ε₂] with ε₁, ε₂ > 0.
- f(c) is a local maximum if f(x) the sign of f'(x) changes from positive in [c ε₁, c] to negative in [c, c + ε₂] with ε₁, ε₂ > 0.
- If f(x) is positive in an interval [c − ε₁, c] and also positive in an interval [c, c − ε₂], or f(x) is negative in an interval [c − ε₁, c] and also negative in an interval [c, c − ε₂] with ε₁, ε₂ > 0, then f(c) is not a local extremum.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

First Derivative Test: Critical Point and Local Extreme Value

As an example, the function $f(x) = 3x^5 - 5x^3$ has

3

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

First Derivative Test: Critical Point and Local Extreme Value

As an example, the function $f(x) = 3x^5 - 5x^3$ has the derivative $f'(x) = 15x^2(x+1)(x-1)$. The critical points are 0, 1 and -1. Of the three, the sign of f'(x) changes at 1 and -1, which are local minimum and maximum respectively. The sign does not change at 0, which is therefore not a local supremum.



First Derivative Test: Critical Point and Local Extreme Value

As another example, consider the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -x & \text{if } x \le 0\\ 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Note that f(x) is discontinuous at x = 0, and therefore f'(x) is not defined at x = 0. All numbers $x \ge 0$ are critical numbers. f(0) = 0 is a local minimum, whereas f(x) = 1 is a local minimum as well as a local maximum $\forall x > 0$.

Strict Convexity and Extremum

- A differentiable function *f* is said to be *strictly convex* (or *strictly concave up*) on an open interval *I*, *iff*, *f*(*x*) is increasing on *I*.
- Recall from theorem 7, the graphical interpretation of the first derivative f(x); f(x) > 0 implies that f(x) is increasing at x.
- Similarly, f(x) is increasing when f'(x) > 0. This gives us a sufficient condition for the strict convexity of a function:

Claim

If at all points in an open interval \mathcal{I} , f(x) is doubly differentiable and if f'(x) > 0, $\forall x \in \mathcal{I}$, then the slope of the function is always increasing with x and the graph is strictly convex. This is illustrated in Figure 8.

On the other hand, if the function is strictly convex and doubly differentiable in *I*, then f'(x) ≥ 0, ∀x ∈ *I*.

Strict Convexity and Extremum (Illustrated)



Figure 8:

э

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Strict Convexity and Extremum: Slopeless interpretation (SI)

Claim

A function f is strictly convex on an open interval \mathcal{I} , iff

$$f(ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2) < af(x_1) + (1 - a)f(x_2)$$

(1)

3

29 / 52

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

January 11, 2018

whenver $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{I}$, $x_1 \neq x_2$ and 0 < a < 1.

SI: Necessity when *f* is differentiable First we will prove the **necessity**.

²For the case $x_2 < x_1$, the proof is very similar.

SI: Necessity when *f* is differentiable

First we will prove the **necessity**. Suppose f is increasing on \mathcal{I} . Let 0 < a < 1, $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$. Without loss of generality assume that $x_1 < x_2$. Then, $x_1 < ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2 < x_2$ and therefore $ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2 \in \mathcal{I}$. By the mean value theorem, there exist s and t with $x_1 < s < ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2 < t < x_2$, such that $f(ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2) - f(x_1) = f'(s)(x_2 - x_1)(1 - a)$ and $f(x_2) - f(ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2) = f'(t)(x_2 - x_1)a$. Therefore,

²For the case $x_2 < x_1$, the proof is very similar.

SI: Necessity when f is differentiable First we will prove the **necessity**. Suppose f is increasing on \mathcal{I} . Let $0 < a < 1, x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$. Without loss of generality assume that² $x_1 < x_2$. Then, $x_1 < ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2 < x_2$ and therefore $ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2 \in \mathcal{I}$. By the mean value theorem, there exist s and t with $x_1 < s < ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2 < t < x_2$, such that $f(ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2) - f(x_1) = f(s)(x_2 - x_1)(1 - a)$ and $f(x_2) - f(ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2) = f'(t)(x_2 - x_1)a$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-a)f(x_1) - f(ax_1 + (1-a)x_2) + af(x_2) &= \\ & a\left[f(x_2) - f(ax_1 + (1-a)x_2)\right] - (1-a)\left[f(ax_1 + (1-a)x_2) - f(x_1)\right] &= \\ & a(1-a)(x_2 - x_1)\left[f'(t) - f'(s)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Since f(x) is strictly convex on \mathcal{I} , f'(x) is increasing on \mathcal{I} and therefore, f(t) - f(s) > 0. Moreover, $x_2 - x_1 > 0$ and 0 < a < 1. Thus, $(1 - a)f(x_1) - f(ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2) + af(x_2) > 0$, or equivalently, $f(ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2) < af(x_1) + (1 - a)f(x_2)$, which is what we wanted to prove in inequality (1).

²For the case $x_2 < x_1$, the proof is very similar.

Suppose the inequality in inquality (1) holds. Then, taking limits,

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Suppose the inequality in inquality (1) holds. Then, taking limits, $\lim_{a\to 0} \frac{f(x_2+a(x_1-x_2))-f(x_2)}{a} \leq f(x_1) - f(x_2)$. That is,

- 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト

Suppose the inequality in inquality (1) holds. Then, taking limits, $\lim_{a\to 0} \frac{f(x_2+a(x_1-x_2))-f(x_2)}{a} \leq f(x_1) - f(x_2)$. That is,

$$f'(x_2)(x_1 - x_2) \le f(x_1) - f(x_2)$$
(2)

Similarly, we can show that

$$f(x_1)(x_2 - x_1) \le f(x_2) - f(x_1)$$
(3)

Adding the left and right hand sides of inequalities in (2) and (3), and multiplying the resultant inequality by -1 gives us

Suppose the inequality in inquality (1) holds. Then, taking limits, $\lim_{a\to 0} \frac{f(x_2+a(x_1-x_2))-f(x_2)}{a} \leq f(x_1) - f(x_2)$. That is,

$$f'(x_2)(x_1 - x_2) \le f(x_1) - f(x_2)$$
(2)

Similarly, we can show that

$$f(x_1)(x_2 - x_1) \le f(x_2) - f(x_1)$$
(3)

Adding the left and right hand sides of inequalities in (2) and (3), and multiplying the resultant inequality by -1 gives us

$$(f(x_2) - f(x_1))(x_2 - x_1) \ge 0$$
(4)

We now need to prove that the inequality in (4) is strict.

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

$$f(x_2) - f(x_1) = f'(z)(x_2 - x_1)$$
(5)

Since (4) holds for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{I}$, it also hold for $x_2 = z$. Therefore,

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

$$f(x_2) - f(x_1) = f'(z)(x_2 - x_1)$$
(5)

Since (4) holds for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{I}$, it also hold for $x_2 = z$. Therefore,

$$(f(z) - f(x_1))(x_2 - x_1) = \frac{1}{t}(f(z) - f(x_1))(z - x_1) \ge 0$$

Additionally using (5), we get

$$f(x_2) - f(x_1) = f'(z)(x_2 - x_1)$$
(5)

Since (4) holds for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{I}$, it also hold for $x_2 = z$. Therefore,

$$(f(z) - f(x_1))(x_2 - x_1) = \frac{1}{t}(f(z) - f(x_1))(z - x_1) \ge 0$$

Additionally using (5), we get

$$f(x_2) - f(x_1) = (f(z) - f(x_1))(x_2 - x_1) + f'(x_1)(x_2 - x_1) \ge f'(x_1)(x_2 - x_1)$$
(6)
(6)

Suppose equality holds in (4) for some $x_1 \neq x_2$. Then it holds in (6) for the same x_1 and x_2 . That is,

3

イロト イヨト イヨト

Suppose equality holds in (4) for some $x_1 \neq x_2$. Then it holds in (6) for the same x_1 and x_2 . That is,

$$f(x_2) - f(x_1) = f'(x_1)(x_2 - x_1)$$
(7)

Substituting x_2 with $x_1 + a(x_2 - x_1)$ and applying (6), we get

Suppose equality holds in (4) for some $x_1 \neq x_2$. Then it holds in (6) for the same x_1 and x_2 . That is,

$$f(x_2) - f(x_1) = f'(x_1)(x_2 - x_1)$$
(7)

Substituting x_2 with $x_1 + a(x_2 - x_1)$ and applying (6), we get

$$f(x_1) + af'(x_1)(x_2 - x_1) \le f(x_1 + a(x_2 - x_1))$$
(8)

Further using (1) and 7, we can derive that

Suppose equality holds in (4) for some $x_1 \neq x_2$. Then it holds in (6) for the same x_1 and x_2 . That is,

$$f(x_2) - f(x_1) = f'(x_1)(x_2 - x_1)$$
(7)

Substituting x_2 with $x_1 + a(x_2 - x_1)$ and applying (6), we get

$$f(x_1) + af'(x_1)(x_2 - x_1) \le f(x_1 + a(x_2 - x_1))$$
(8)

Further using (1) and 7, we can derive that

$$f(x_1 + a(x_2 - x_1)) < (1 - a)f(x_1) + af(x_2) = f(x_1) + af'(x_1)(x_2 - x_1)$$
(9)

Contradiction between (8) and (9)!

Thus, equations 8 and 9 contradict each other.

$$f(x_1) + af'(x_1)(x_2 - x_1) \le f(x_1 + a(x_2 - x_1))$$

$$f(x_1 + a(x_2 - x_1)) < (1 - a)f(x_1) + af(x_2) = f(x_1) + af'(x_1)(x_2 - x_1)$$

Therefore, equality in 4 cannot hold for any $x_1 \neq x_2$, implying that

$$(f(x_2) - f(x_1))(x_2 - x_1) > 0$$

that is, f(x) is increasing and therefore f is convex on \mathcal{I} .

Strict Concavity

- A differentiable function f is said to be strictly concave on an open interval I, iff, f(x) is decreasing on I.
- Recall from theorem 7, the graphical interpretation of the first derivative f'(x); f'(x) < 0 implies that f(x) is decreasing at x.
- Similarly, f(x) is (strictly) monotonically decreasing when

Strict Concavity

- A differentiable function f is said to be strictly concave on an open interval I, iff, f(x) is decreasing on I.
- Recall from theorem 7, the graphical interpretation of the first derivative f'(x); f'(x) < 0 implies that f(x) is decreasing at x.
- Similarly, f'(x) is (strictly) monotonically decreasing when f'(x) > 0. This gives us a sufficient condition for the concavity of a function:

Claim

If at all points in an open interval \mathcal{I} , f(x) is doubly differentiable and if f'(x) < 0, $\forall x \in \mathcal{I}$, then the slope of the function is always decreasing with x and the graph is strictly concave.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Strict Concavity

On the other hand, if the function is strictly concave and doubly differentiable in \mathcal{I} , then $f'(x) \leq 0$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{I}$. This is illustrated in Figure 9.



Figure 9:

Image: A matrix and a matrix

Strict Concavity (slopeless interpretation)

There is also a slopeless interpretation of concavity as stated in the following theorem:

Claim

A differentiable function f is strictly concave on an open interval \mathcal{I} , iff

$$f(ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2) > af(x_1) + (1 - a)f(x_2)$$
(10)

whenver $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{I}$, $x_1 \neq x_2$ and 0 < a < 1.

The proof is similar to that for theorem 12.

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

Convex & Concave Regions and Inflection Point Study the function $f(x) = x^3 - x + 2$.

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Convex & Concave Regions and Inflection Point Study the function $f(x) = x^3 - x + 2$. It's slope decreases as x increases to 0 (f'(x) < 0) and then the slope increases beyond x = 0 (f'(x) > 0). The point 0, where the f'(x) changes sign is called the *inflection point*; the graph is strictly concave for x < 0 and strictly convex for x > 0. See Figure 10.

