

Let us resume our discussion on linear programs (LP), dual of LP, conic programs & their duals

[Ref page 5 of

<http://www2.isye.gatech.edu/~nemirovs/ICMNemirovski.pdf>

LP affine objective

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{subject to} \quad & -A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Conic Program (CP)

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{subject to} \quad & -A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{k} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda \geq 0$ (i.e. $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$)

Then $\lambda^T(-A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}) \leq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} &\geq \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + \lambda^T(-A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \lambda^T \mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{c} - A^T \lambda)^T \mathbf{x} \\ &\geq \min \lambda^T \mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{c} - K^* \lambda)^T \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \begin{cases} \lambda^T \mathbf{b} & \text{if } A^T \lambda = \mathbf{c} \\ -\infty & \text{if } A^T \lambda \neq \mathbf{c} \end{cases} \\ &\text{independent of } \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{b} \end{aligned} \geq \max_{\lambda \geq 0} \quad b^T \lambda$$

s.t. $A^T \lambda = \mathbf{c}$

Primal LP
(lower bounded)
Dual LP
(upper bounded)

K is a regular [proper cone]
Generalised cone program

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x} \in S} \quad & \langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x} \rangle_S \\ \text{subject to} \quad & A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \in K \end{aligned}$$

We need an equivalent
 $\lambda \in K^*$ s.t.

$$\langle \lambda, A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \rangle \geq 0$$

This K^* s.t.

$$K^* = \{ \lambda \mid \langle \lambda, A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \in K \}$$

is called the DUAL CONE
of K

by dual) by primal)

Called the weak duality theorem for Linear Program

$K^* = \{\lambda : \lambda^T \xi \geq 0 \forall \xi \in K\}$ is the cone dual to K
{defn on page 7 of <http://www2.isye.gatech.edu/~nemirov/ICMNemirovski.pdf>}

With this, prove the following weak duality theorem for CONIC PROGRAM

$$\min_{x \in S} \langle c, x \rangle \geq \max_{\lambda \in K^*} \langle b, \lambda \rangle \\ \text{s.t. } Ax \geq b$$

Dual CP
(upperbounded by primal)

Primal CP
(lower bounded by dual)

- Notes:
- ① Both LP & CP dealt with affine objective
 - ② CP dealt with the generalised conic inequalities
 - ③ Later, in convex programs, we will deal with the more general convex functions in the objective

Notes:

① If $K = \mathbb{R}_+^n$, the CP is an LP
If $K = \mathbb{S}_+^n$, the CP is an SDP

Set of all $n \times n$ symmetric positive semi-definite matrices

② Any generic convex program can be expressed as a cone program (CP) $[H|w]$

HOW ABOUT STRONG DUALITY FOR LPs?

[Page 21 of http://www2.isye.gatech.edu/~nemirovs/Lect_ModConvOpt.pdf]

Theorem 1.2.2 [Duality Theorem in Linear Programming] Consider a linear programming program

$$\min_x \{ c^T x \mid Ax \geq b \} \quad (\text{LP})$$

along with its dual

$$\max_y \{ b^T y \mid A^T y = c, y \geq 0 \} \quad (\text{LP}^*)$$

Then

- 1) The duality is symmetric: the problem dual to dual is equivalent to the primal;
- 2) The value of the dual objective at every dual feasible solution is \leq the value of the primal objective at every primal feasible solution

- 3) The following 5 properties are equivalent to each other:

- (i) The primal is feasible and bounded below. $\rightarrow \exists x \text{ s.t. } Ax \geq b \rightarrow L_P \text{ is bounded}$ Weak LP duality
(already proved)
- (ii) The dual is feasible and bounded above. $\rightarrow L^* < \infty$
- (iii) The primal is solvable. $\exists x \text{ s.t. } Ax = b$
- (iv) The dual is solvable. $\rightarrow L^* \text{ has a soln}$
- (v) Both primal and dual are feasible. $\rightarrow L_P \text{ has a soln}$

Whenever (i) \equiv (ii) \equiv (iii) \equiv (iv) \equiv (v) is the case, the optimal values of the primal and the dual problems are equal to each other. \therefore Strong duality = (1) + (3)

H/W: Prove (i) & (3)