

Using strong convexity

- $f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla^\top f(x)(y - x) + \frac{m}{2}\|y - x\|^2$
 \geq minimum value the RHS can take as a function of y
- Minimum value of RHS
 $\nabla f(x) + my - mx = 0$
 $\implies y = x - \frac{1}{m}\nabla f(x)$
- Thus,
 $f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla^\top f(x) \left(-\frac{1}{m}\nabla f(x)\right) + \frac{m}{2}\left\|-\frac{1}{m}\nabla f(x)\right\|^2$
 $\implies f(y) \geq f(x) - \frac{1}{2m}\|\nabla f(x)\|^2$
 - ▶ Here, LHS is independent of x , and RHS is independent of y

$$f(x^*) \geq f(x) - \frac{1}{2m} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2$$

- If $\|\nabla f(x)\|$ is small, the point is nearly optimal
 - ▶ If $\|\nabla f(x)\| \leq \sqrt{2m\epsilon}$, then:
 $f(x) - f(x^*) \leq \epsilon$
 - ▶ As the gradient $\|\nabla f(x)\|$ approaches 0, we get closer to the optimal solution x^*

Did not require Lipschitz continuity

Analysis for Backtracking Line Search

- Backtracking line search exits when

$$f(x^k - t\nabla f(x^k)) \leq f(x^k) - \frac{t}{2} \|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2$$

Sufficient decrease condition

→ (4.90) for gradient descent with $C_1 = \frac{1}{2}$

- ▶ where $t = (\beta)^r t_{orig}$
 - ★ t_{orig} was the initial step size before the invocation of backtracking line search
 - ★ r is the number of iterations before the loop terminated
- The margin of backtracking line search, $\frac{t}{2} \|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2$, is inspired by strong convexity

Note: The analysis that follows should hold $\forall C_1 < 1$

- Since f is **strongly convex**, and also Lipschitz continuous, we have for some L : *Not required immediately*

$$f(x^{k+1}) \leq f(x^k) + \left(\frac{Lt^2}{2} - t\right) \|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2$$

- We also consider

$$0 < t \leq \frac{1}{L} \implies t^2 \leq \frac{t}{L} \implies \frac{Lt^2}{2} \leq \frac{t}{2}$$

$$\implies \frac{Lt^2}{2} - t \leq -\frac{t}{2}$$

- Thus, we **get the exit condition** of backtracking line search (BTLS)

$$f(x^{k+1}) \leq f(x^k) - \frac{t}{2} \|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2$$

If ∇f is
Lipschitz

then exit condition of BTLS should be met for some $t \in (0, 1/L]$ if $C = \frac{1}{2}$

- Convergence of gradient descent, given this condition, has been proved below

Maximize RHS (t)

- Let $p^* = f(x^*)$

- $f(x - t\nabla f(x)) \leq f(x) - t\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 + \frac{Lt^2}{2}\|\nabla f(x)\|^2$

▶ RHS here will be maximum for $t = \frac{1}{L}$

$$\implies f(x - t^*\nabla f(x)) \leq f(x) - \frac{1}{2L}\|\nabla f(x)\|^2$$

$$\implies f(x - t^*\nabla f(x)) - p^* \leq f(x) - \frac{1}{2L}\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 - p^* \quad (\text{Subtracted } p^* \text{ from both sides})$$

- From strong convexity, we had

$$f(y) \geq f(x) - \frac{1}{2m}\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \quad \rightarrow \text{already proved}$$

$$\implies p^* \geq f(x) - \frac{1}{2m}\|\nabla f(x)\|^2$$

$$\implies \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \geq 2m(f(x) - p^*)$$

Note: We know $m < L$. Now show

\mathcal{O} -linear / \mathcal{R} -linear convergence

- Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x - t^* \nabla f(x)) - p^* &\leq f(x) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 - p^* \\ \implies f(x - t^* \nabla f(x)) - p^* &\leq f(x) - \frac{2m}{2L} (f(x) - p^*) - p^* \\ \implies f(x - t^* \nabla f(x)) - p^* &\leq \left(1 - \frac{m}{L}\right) (f(x) - p^*) \rightarrow \text{Q-Linear} \end{aligned}$$

- Which is,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^k) - p^* &\leq \left(1 - \frac{m}{L}\right) (f(x^{k-1}) - p^*) \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{m}{L}\right)^2 (f(x^{k-2}) - p^*) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{m}{L}\right)^k (f(x^{(0)}) - p^*) \rightarrow \text{R-Linear} \end{aligned}$$

- We get linear convergence

$$f(x^k) - p^* \leq \left(1 - \frac{m}{L}\right)^k \left(f(x^{(0)}) - p^*\right)$$

- ▶ Here, $\frac{m}{L} \in (0, 1)$
- ▶ This is, loosely speaking, faster than what we got using only Lipschitz continuity, which was:

$$f(x^k) - p^* \leq \frac{\|x^{(0)} - x^*\|^2}{2tk}$$

(sublinear convergence)