Weighted Transducers Theory and Algorithms

Mehryar Mohri Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences Google Research mohri@cims.nyu.edu

Tutorial joint work with Corinna Cortes (Google Research).

Speech Recognition

- Problem: assign a category (e.g., referral, precertification) to each speech utterance.
- Example:
 - Spoken utterance: "Hi this is my number"
 - Speech recognizer's output ('word lattice'):



Computational Biology: Similar Situation

- Problem: decide which class, e.g., protein families, CpG islands, a biosequence, or a group of biosequences, belongs to.
- Objects to classify:
 - Single protein sequence.
 - Protein clusters: represented or modeled by weighted automata.

General Problem

- Spoken-dialog classification
- Computational biology
- Information extraction
- Text mining
- Document classification
- Database queries

Motivation

- The objects to analyze in many modern applications are:
 - variable-length sequences.
 - distributions of sequences, typically weighted automata.
- How do we generalize learning algorithms originally designed for fixed-size vectors?
 - weighted automata and transducers.
 - sequence kernels, weighted automata kernels.

This Tutorial

- Weighted transducers theory and algorithms
- Kernels for computational biology and text and speech processing

Software Libraries

FSM Library: Finite-State Machine Library. General software utilities for building, combining, optimizing, and searching weighted automata and transducers (MM, Pereira, and Riley, 2000).

http://www.research.att.com/projects/mohri/fsm

OpenFst Library: Open-source Finite-State Transducer Library. Jointly designed by Courant and Google (Allauzen, Riley, Schalkwyk, Skut, and MM, 2007).

http://www.openfst.org

This Talk

Definitions

- Composition
- Shortest-distance algorithms
- Epsilon-removal
- Determinization
- Pushing
- Minimization

Weight Sets: Semirings

- A semiring $(\mathbb{K}, \oplus, \otimes, \overline{0}, \overline{1})$ is a ring that may lack negation.
 - sum: to compute the weight of a sequence (sum of the weights of the paths labeled with that sequence).
 - product: to compute the weight of a path (product of the weights of constituent transitions).

Semirings - Examples

Semiring	Set	\oplus	\otimes	$\overline{0}$	1
Boolean	$\{0,1\}$	\vee	\wedge	0	1
Probability	\mathbb{R}_+	+	×	0	1
Log	$\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$	\oplus_{\log}	+	$+\infty$	0
Tropical	$\mathbb{R}\cup\{-\infty,+\infty\}$	min	+	$+\infty$	0

with \oplus_{\log} defined by: $x \oplus_{\log} y = -\log(e^{-x} + e^{-y})$.

Weighted Automata



 $[[A]](x) = \begin{cases} \text{Sum of the weights of all successful} \\ \text{paths labeled with } x \end{cases}$

 $[[A]](abb) = .1 \times .2 \times .3 \times .1 + .5 \times .3 \times .6 \times .1$

Weighted Transducers



 $[[T]](x,y) = \begin{cases} \text{Sum of the weights of all successful} \\ \text{paths with input } x \text{ and output } y. \end{cases}$

 $[[T]](abb, baa) = .1 \times .2 \times .3 \times .1 + .5 \times .3 \times .6 \times .1$

Rational Operations

Sum

$$\llbracket T_1 \oplus T_2 \rrbracket (x, y) = \llbracket T_1 \rrbracket (x, y) \oplus \llbracket T_2 \rrbracket (x, y)$$

Product

$$\llbracket T_1 \otimes T_2 \rrbracket (x, y) = \bigoplus_{\substack{x = x_1 x_2 \\ y = y_1 y_2}} \llbracket T_1 \rrbracket (x_1, y_1) \otimes \llbracket T_2 \rrbracket (x_2, y_2).$$

$$[T^*](x,y) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} [T]^n(x,y)$$

This Talk

- Definitions
- Composition
- Shortest-distance algorithms
- Epsilon-removal
- Determinization
- Pushing
- Minimization

Composition

Definition: given two weighted transducer T_1 and T_2 over a commutative semiring, the composed transducer $T = T_1 \circ T_2$ is defined by

$$(T_1 \circ T_2)(x, y) = \bigoplus T_1(x, z) \otimes T_2(z, y).$$

Algorithm:

- Epsilon-free case: matching transitions.
- General case: ϵ -filter transducer.
- Complexity: quadratic, $O(|T_1||T_2|)$.
- On-demand construction.

Epsilon-Free Composition

• States
$$Q \subseteq Q_1 \times Q_2$$
.

- Initial states $I = I_1 \times I_2$.
- Final states $F = Q \cap F_1 \times F_2$.

Transitions

$$E = \{ ((q_1, q'_1), a, c, w_1 \otimes w_2, (q_2, q'_2)) : (q_1, a, b, w_1, q_2), (q'_1, b, c, w_2, q'_2) \in Q \}.$$

Illustration

Program: fsmcompose A.fsm B.fsm >C.fsm fstcompose A.fsm B.fsm >C.fsm



Redundant ϵ -Paths Problem

(MM, Pereira, Riley 1996)

d:a









e:e



a:d

 $T = \widetilde{T}_1 \circ F \circ \widetilde{T}_2.$

Correctness of Filter

Proposition: filter F allows a unique path between two states of the following grid.



Proof: Observe that a necessary and sufficient condition is that the following sequences be forbidden: *ab*, *ba*, *ac*, and *bc*.

Correctness of Filter

Proof (cont.): Let $\sigma = \{a, b, c, x\}$, then set of sequences forbidden is exactly

$$L = \sigma^* (ab + ba + ac + bc) \sigma^*.$$

An automaton representing the complement can be constructed by determ. and complementation.



Other Filters

(Pereira and Riley, 1997)



Sequential Filter.

This Talk

- Definitions
- Composition
- Shortest-distance algorithms
- Epsilon-removal
- Determinization
- Pushing
- Minimization

Shortest-Distance Problem

Definition: for any regulated weighted transducer T, define the shortest distance from state q to F as

$$d(q,F) = \bigoplus_{\pi \in P(q,F)} w[\pi].$$

- Problem: compute d(q, F) for all states $q \in Q$.
- Algorithms:
 - Generalization of Floyd-Warshall.
 - Single-source shortest-distance algorithm.

All-Pairs Shortest-Distance Algorithm (MM, 2002)

- Assumption: closed semiring (not necessarily idempotent).
- Idea: generalization of Floyd-Warshall algorithm.
- Properties:
 - Time complexity: $\Omega(|Q|^3(T_{\oplus} + T_{\otimes} + T_{\star}))$.
 - Space complexity: $\Omega(|Q|^2)$ with an in-place implementation.

Closed Semirings

(Lehmann, 1977)

Definition: a semiring is closed if the closure is well defined for all elements and if associativity, commutativity, and distributivity apply to countable sums.

Examples:

- Tropical semiring.
- Probability semiring when including infinity or when restricted to well-defined closures.

Pseudocode

GENERIC-ALL-PAIRS-SHORTEST-DISTANCE (G) for $i \leftarrow 1$ to |Q|1 do for $j \leftarrow 1$ to |Q|2do $d[i,j] \leftarrow \bigoplus w[e]$ 3 $e \in P(i,j)$ 4 for $k \leftarrow 1$ to |Q|5 do for $i \leftarrow 1$ to |Q|6 do for $j \leftarrow 1$ to |Q|**do** $d[i,j] \leftarrow d[i,j] \oplus (d[i,k] \otimes d[k,k]^* \otimes d[k,j])$ 7for $k \leftarrow 1$ to |Q|8 do $d[k,k] \leftarrow \overline{1}$ 9 10 return d

Single-Source Shortest-Distance Algorithm (MM, 2002)

Assumption: *k*-closed semiring.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{K}, \ \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k+1} x^i = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k x^i.$$

Idea: generalization of relaxation, but must keep track of weight added to d[q] since the last time q was enqueued.

Properties:

- works with any queue discipline and any kclosed semiring.
- Classical algorithms are special instances.

Pseudocode

```
GENERIC-SINGLE-SOURCE-SHORTEST-DISTANCE (G, s)
    for i \leftarrow 1 to |Q|
1
    do d[i] \leftarrow r[i] \leftarrow \overline{0}
2
3 d[s] \leftarrow r[s] \leftarrow \overline{1}
4 S \leftarrow \{s\}
5 while S \neq \emptyset
6
                do q \leftarrow head(S)
                       DEQUEUE(S)
7
                      r' \leftarrow r[q]
8
                      r[q] \leftarrow \overline{0}
9
                       for each e \in E[q]
10
                              do if d[n[e]] \neq d[n[e]] \oplus (r' \otimes w[e])
11
                                         then d[n[e]] \leftarrow d[n[e]] \oplus (r' \otimes w[e])
12
                                                   r[n[e]] \leftarrow r[n[e]] \oplus (r' \otimes w[e])
13
                                                   if n[e] \notin S
14
15
                                                        then ENQUEUE(S, n[e])
16 d[s] \leftarrow \overline{1}
```

Notes

Complexity:

depends on queue discipline used.

 $O(|Q| + (T_{\oplus} + T_{\otimes} + C(A))|E| \max_{q \in Q} N(q) + (C(I) + C(E)) \sum_{q \in Q} N(q))$

- coincides with that of Dijkstra and Bellman-Ford for shortest-first and FIFO orders.
- linear for acyclic graphs using topological order. $O(|Q| + (T_{\oplus} + T_{\otimes})|E|)$
- Approximation:
 e-k-closed semiring, e.g., for graphs in probability semiring.

This Talk

- Definitions
- Composition
- Shortest-distance algorithms
- Epsilon-removal
- Determinization
- Pushing
- Minimization

Epsilon-Removal

(MM, 2002)

- Definition: given weighted transducer T, create equivalent weighted transducer with no epsilontransition.
- Algorithm components:
 - Computation of the ε-closure at each state:

 $C[p] = \{(q, d_{\epsilon}[p, q]) : d_{\epsilon}[p, q] \neq \overline{0})\} \text{ with } d_{\epsilon}[p, q] = \bigoplus w[\pi].$

- Removal of es.
- On-demand construction.

 $\pi \in P(p,\epsilon,q)$

Illustration





Main Algorithm

- Shortest-distance algorithms:
 - closed semirings: generalization of Floyd-Warshall algorithm.
 - k-closed semirings: single-source shortestdistance algorithm.
- Complexity: shortest-distance and removal.
 - Acyclic T_{ϵ} : $O(|Q|^2 + |Q||E|(T_{\oplus} + T_{\otimes}))$.
 - General case, tropical semiring: $O(|Q||E| + |Q|^2 \log |Q|).$

This Talk

- Definitions
- Composition
- Shortest-distance algorithms
- Epsilon-removal
- Determinization
- Pushing
- Minimization

Determinization

(MM, 1997)

- Definition: given weighted transducer T, create equivalent non-deterministic weighted transducer.
- Algorithm (weakly left divisible semirings):
 - generalization of subset constructions to weighted labeled subsets
 - $\{(q_1, x_1, w_1), \ldots, (q_m, x_m, w_m)\}.$
 - complexity: exponential, but lazy implementation.
 - not all weighted transducers are determinizable but all acyclic weighted transducers are. Test? For some cases, using the twins property.

Illustration



Illustration



Non-Determinizable Transducer



Twins Property

(Choffrut, 1978; MM 1997)

x:u/w

x:u'/w'

Definition: a weighted transducer T over the tropical semiring has the twins property if for any two states q and q' as in the figure, the following holds:





y:v'/c'

Determinizability

- (Choffrut, 1978; MM 1997; Allauzen and MM, 2002)
 Theorem: a trim unambiguous weighted automaton over the tropical semiring is determinizable iff it has the twins property.
- Theorem: let T be a weighted transducer over the tropical semiring. Then, if T has the twins property, then it is determinizable.
- Algorithm for testing the twins property:
 - unambiguous automata: $O(|Q|^2 + |E|^2)$.
 - unweighted transducers: $O(|Q|^2(|Q|^2 + |E|^2))$.

This Talk

- Definitions
- Composition
- Shortest-distance algorithms
- Epsilon-removal
- Determinization
- Pushing
- Minimization

Pushing

(MM, 1997; 2004)

- Definition: given weighted transducer T, create equivalent weighted transducer such the sum (longest common prefix) of the weights (output strings) of all outgoing paths be 1 (e) at all states, modulo initial states.
- Algorithm components:
 - Single-source shortest-distance computation

$$d[q] = \bigoplus_{\pi \in P(q,F)} w[\pi].$$

• Reweighting: $w[e] \leftarrow (d[p[e]])^{-1}(w[e] \otimes d[n[e]])$ for each transition e.

Main Algorithm

Automata: single-source shortest-distance.

- acyclic case: $O(|Q| + |E|(T_{\oplus} + T_{\otimes})).$
- general case tropical semiring: $O(|Q| \log |Q| + |E|)$.
- general case k-closed semirings
 O(|Q| + (T_⊕ + T_⊗ + C(A))|E| max N(q) + (C(I) + C(E)) ∑_{q∈Q} N(q))
 general case closed semirings Ω(|Q|³(T_⊕ + T_⊗ + T_⋆)).

Transducers:
$$O((|P_{max}|+1)|E|).$$

Illustration



llustration





This Talk

- Definitions
- Composition
- Shortest-distance algorithms
- Epsilon-removal
- Determinization
- Pushing
- Minimization

Algorithm

(MM, 1997, 2000, 2005)

- Automata: pushing and automata minimization, general (Hopcroft, 1971) and acyclic case (Revuz 1992).
 - acyclic case: $O(|Q| + |E|(T_{\oplus} + T_{\otimes})).$
 - general case tropical semiring: $O(|E| \log |Q|)$.
- Transducers:
 - acyclic case: $O(S + |Q| + |E|(|P_{max}| + 1)).$
 - general case tropical semiring:

 $O(S + |Q| + |E| (\log |Q| + |P_{max}|)).$

Minimization

(MM, 1997, 2000, 2005)

- Definition: given deterministic weighted transducer T, create equivalent deterministic weighted transducer with the minimal number of states (and transitions).
- Algorithm components:
 - apply pushing to create canonical representation.
 - apply unweighted automata minimization after encoding (input labels, output label, weight) as a single label.

Illustration



Mehryar Mohri - Bertinoro

page 49 Courant Institute, NYU

Illustration



Mehryar Mohri - Bertinoro

page 50 Courant Institute, NYU

References

- Cyril Allauzen and Mehryar Mohri. Efficient Algorithms for Testing the Twins Property. Journal of Automata, Languages and Combinatorics, 8(2):117-144, 2003.
- John E. Hopcroft. An n log n algorithm for minimizing the states in a finite automaton. In The Theory of Machines and Computations, pages 189-196. Academic Press, 1971.
- Mehryar Mohri. Finite-State Transducers in Language and Speech Processing. *Computational Linguistics*, 23:2, 1997.
- Mehryar Mohri. Minimization Algorithms for Sequential Transducers. Theoretical Computer Science, 234:177-201, March 2000.
- Mehryar Mohri. Semiring Frameworks and Algorithms for Shortest-Distance Problems. Journal of Automata, Languages and Combinatorics, 7(3):321-350, 2002.
- Mehryar Mohri. Generic Epsilon-Removal and Input Epsilon-Normalization Algorithms for Weighted Transducers. International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science, 13(1): 129-143, 2002.
- Mehryar Mohri. Statistical Natural Language Processing. In M. Lothaire, editor, Applied Combinatorics on Words. Cambridge University Press, 2005.

References

- Mehryar Mohri, Fernando C. N. Pereira, and Michael Riley. Weighted Automata in Text and Speech Processing. In Proceedings of the 12th biennial European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI-96), Workshop on Extended finite state models of language. Budapest, Hungary, 1996. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester.
- Mehryar Mohri, Fernando C. N. Pereira, and Michael Riley. The Design Principles of a Weighted Finite-State Transducer Library. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 231:17-32, January 2000.
- Mehryar Mohri and Michael Riley. A Weight Pushing Algorithm for Large Vocabulary Speech Recognition. In Proceedings of the 7th European Conference on Speech Communication and Technology (Eurospeech'01). Aalborg, Denmark, September 2001.
- Fernando Pereira and Michael Riley. *Finite State Language Processing*, chapter Speech Recognition by Composition of Weighted Finite Automata. The MIT Press, 1997.
- Dominique Revuz. Minimisation of Acyclic Deterministic Automata in Linear Time. Theoretical Computer Science 92(1): 181-189, 1992.