

Proof that Random walk kernel is psd kernel

<http://www.cse.iitb.ac.in/~cs717/notes/classNotes/kernels/Graphs.pdf>

f \Rightarrow slide 10 has statement of psd

$$K(G_1, G_2) = \frac{1}{|G_1||G_2|} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k P_1^T A_1^k Q_1$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G_1||G_2|} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k (P_1 \otimes P_2)^T (A_1 \otimes A_2) (Q_1 \otimes Q_2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G_1||G_2|} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k (P_1 A_1^k Q_1) \otimes (P_2 A_2^k Q_2)$$

Scalars

$$= \frac{1}{|G_1||G_2|} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k (P_1 A_1^k Q_1) (P_2 A_2^k Q_2)$$

(Option 1) $= \frac{1}{|G_1||G_2|} \langle \phi(G_1), \phi(G_2) \rangle$

Where

$\phi(G_1) \in \text{Hilbert}$

$$\phi(G_1) = \begin{bmatrix} p, A, q_1 \\ p, A^2, q_1 \\ \vdots \\ p, A^k, q_1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^k \phi_1[k] \phi_2[k]$$

Can prove in our choice of ϕ
that $\langle \rangle$ is a valid inner product

Option 2 ↓

Consider

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} k(G_1, G_1) & \dots & k(G_1, G_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ k(G_n, G_1) & \dots & k(G_n, G_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$v^T K v \geq 0 \quad \forall v \quad (\text{H/w})$$

$$1 + x + x^2 + \dots = \frac{1}{1-x} \quad x \in [0, 1)$$

Replace x by λA subject to $\lambda < 1$ &

A is stochastic & positive

(you can have weaker conditions)

Similarly:

$$1 + \frac{x}{L_1} + \frac{x^2}{L_2} + \dots = e^x$$

http://www.cs.ucsb.edu/~xyan/tutorial/KDD08_graph_partII.pdf

We discuss other

problems & kernels from here

Tottering & Halting

$$K(G_1, G_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \vec{1}^k \otimes \vec{1}^T \otimes A^k \otimes B^k$$

Cycles leading to too many hops to same node

Solve by setting $\lambda \rightarrow 0$

Too small a value of λ means larger walks ignored

Set $\lambda \rightarrow 1$ or change to $\lambda \log k$

$\lambda \log k$ will not give convergence

Cycles are however less of a problem than the fact that algo might tend to hop back to source node at an alarmingly fast rate

H/w:

Consider shortest path kernel

$$k(G_1, G_2) = \sum_{v_1, v_2 \in G_1} \sum_{v_1', v_2' \in G_2} k(v_1, v_2, v_1', v_2')$$

Where

$$k(v_1, v_2, v_1', v_2') = k_{\text{length}}(d(v_1, v_2), d(v_1', v_2'))$$

• When k_{length} is linear,

k is product of Wiener indices

H/w:
(Sort merge)
 $= O(n^3)$

Can you efficiently compute k when $k_{\text{length}} = 1$ if $d(v_1, v_2) = d(v_1', v_2')$ or 0 o/w

klog:

[http://www.cse.iitb.ac.in/~cs717/notes/classNotes/kernels/paoloKLog
Slides.pdf](http://www.cse.iitb.ac.in/~cs717/notes/classNotes/kernels/paoloKLogSlides.pdf)