

Design and Analysis of Algorithms

CS218M

Randomized Algorithms

Paritosh Pandya

Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay

Autumn, 2022

Randomized Algorithms

- Different from Deterministic Algorithms

Randomized Algorithms

- Different from Deterministic Algorithms
- Different from Non-deterministic Algorithms (aka NP problems)

Randomized Algorithms

- Different from Deterministic Algorithms
- Different from Non-deterministic Algorithms (aka NP problems)
- As atomic steps, the algorithm uses a **random number generator** with specified distribution over specified set outcomes.

Randomized Algorithms

- Different from Deterministic Algorithms
- Different from Non-deterministic Algorithms (aka NP problems)
- As atomic steps, the algorithm uses a **random number generator** with specified distribution over specified set outcomes.
- Result of the algorithm depends on input as well as the random number generated.

$$P_y[M[x, y] = 1]$$

Randomized Algorithms

- Different from Deterministic Algorithms
- Different from Non-deterministic Algorithms (aka NP problems)
- As atomic steps, the algorithm uses a **random number generator** with specified distribution over specified set outcomes.
- Result of the algorithm depends on input as well as the random number generated.
- For a decision problem, we analyse the probability of getting correct answer.

$$Pr_y[M[x,y]=1]$$

Randomized Algorithms

- Different from Deterministic Algorithms
- Different from Non-deterministic Algorithms (aka NP problems)
- As atomic steps, the algorithm uses a **random number generator** with specified distribution over specified set outcomes.
- Result of the algorithm depends on input as well as the random number generated.
- For a decision problem, we analyse the probability of getting correct answer.
- With repeated trials we can guarantee success with almost 1 probability. We analyse expected running time.

Approximate MaxSAT

Optimization Problem Given a **rectified 3CNF** formula ϕ with variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and clauses $C_1, \dots, C_k$, the aim is to find an assignment which satisfies the maximum number of clauses. Value of solution is number of clauses satisfied. **We approximate MaxSAT.**

Approximate MaxSAT

Optimization Problem Given a **rectified 3CNF** formula ϕ with variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and clauses $C_1, \dots, C_k$, the aim is to find an assignment which satisfies the maximum number of clauses. Value of solution is number of clauses satisfied. **We approximate MaxSAT.**

- An assignment is uniformly randomly generated.

Approximate MaxSAT

Optimization Problem Given a **rectified 3CNF** formula ϕ with variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and clauses $C_1, \dots, C_k$, the aim is to find an assignment which satisfies the maximum number of clauses. Value of solution is number of clauses satisfied. **We approximate MaxSAT.**

- An assignment is uniformly randomly generated.
- Random variable Z gives the number of satisfied clauses.

Approximate MaxSAT

Optimization Problem Given a **rectified 3CNF** formula ϕ with variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and clauses $C_1, \dots, C_k$, the aim is to find an assignment which satisfies the maximum number of clauses. Value of solution is number of clauses satisfied. **We approximate MaxSAT.**

- An assignment is uniformly randomly generated.
- Random variable Z gives the number of satisfied clauses.
- Indicator random variable $Z_i = 1$ iff clause C_i is satisfied.
Hence $Z = \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i$.

Approximate MaxSAT

Optimization Problem Given a **rectified 3CNF** formula ϕ with variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and clauses $C_1, \dots, C_k$, the aim is to find an assignment which satisfies the maximum number of clauses. Value of solution is number of clauses satisfied. **We approximate MaxSAT.**

- An assignment is uniformly randomly generated.
- Random variable Z gives the number of satisfied clauses.
- Indicator random variable $Z_i = 1$ iff clause C_i is satisfied. Hence $Z = \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i$.
- In rectified 3CNF, each clause $C_i = (l_1 \vee l_2 \vee l_3)$ has 3 distinct variables. Hence $E(Z_i) =$.

Approximate MaxSAT

Optimization Problem Given a **rectified 3CNF** formula ϕ with variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and clauses $C_1, \dots, C_k$, the aim is to find an assignment which satisfies the maximum number of clauses. Value of solution is number of clauses satisfied. **We approximate MaxSAT.**

- An assignment is uniformly randomly generated.
- Random variable Z gives the number of satisfied clauses.
- Indicator random variable $Z_i = 1$ iff clause C_i is satisfied. Hence $Z = \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i$.
- In rectified 3CNF, each clause $C_i = (l_1 \vee l_2 \vee l_3)$ has 3 distinct variables. Hence $E(Z_i) = 7/8$.

Approximate MaxSAT

Optimization Problem Given a **rectified 3CNF** formula ϕ with variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and clauses $C_1, \dots, C_k$, the aim is to find an assignment which satisfies the maximum number of clauses. Value of solution is number of clauses satisfied. **We approximate MaxSAT.**

- An assignment is uniformly randomly generated.
- Random variable Z gives the number of satisfied clauses.
- Indicator random variable $Z_i = 1$ iff clause C_i is satisfied. Hence $Z = \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i$.
- In rectified 3CNF, each clause $C_i = (l_1 \vee l_2 \vee l_3)$ has 3 distinct variables. Hence $E(Z_i) = 7/8$.
- Calculate $E(Z) = E(\sum_{i=1}^k Z_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k E(Z_i) = 7k/8$.

Multishot Algorithm

- **One shot Algorithm:** Expected to satisfy $7k/8$ clauses. (What does this mean?)

Multishot Algorithm

- **One shot Algorithm:** Expected to satisfy $7k/8$ clauses. (What does this mean?)
- **Multi shot Algorithm:** Repeatedly generate assignment randomly and check how many clauses it satisfies. Stop when assignment satisfies at least $7k/8$ clauses.

Multishot Algorithm

- **One shot Algorithm:** Expected to satisfy $7k/8$ clauses. (What does this mean?)
- **Multi shot Algorithm:** Repeatedly generate assignment randomly and check how many clauses it satisfies. Stop when assignment satisfies at least $7k/8$ clauses.
- Guaranteed to generate assignment which satisfies at least $7k/8$ clauses.

Multishot Algorithm

- **One shot Algorithm:** Expected to satisfy $7k/8$ clauses. (What does this mean?)
- **Multi shot Algorithm:** Repeatedly generate assignment randomly and check how many clauses it satisfies. Stop when assignment satisfies at least $7k/8$ clauses.
- Guaranteed to generate assignment which satisfies at least $7k/8$ clauses.

Multishot Algorithm

- **One shot Algorithm:** Expected to satisfy $7k/8$ clauses. (What does this mean?)
- **Multi shot Algorithm:** Repeatedly generate assignment randomly and check how many clauses it satisfies. Stop when assignment satisfies at least $7k/8$ clauses.
- Guaranteed to generate assignment which satisfies at least $7k/8$ clauses.
- What is the expected running time?

Waiting Time Bound: Multiple trials till success

Waiting Time Bound: Multiple trials till success

- **Bernoulli trial** A Random trial with outcomes T, F with probability of success p . We consider a sequence of independant Bernoulli trials.

Waiting Time Bound: Multiple trials till success

- **Bernoulli trial** A Random trial with outcomes T, F with **probability of success** p . We consider a sequence of independant Bernoulli trials.
- What is the **expected** number of independant trials till we get a success?

Waiting Time Bound: Multiple trials till success

- **Bernoulli trial** A Random trial with outcomes T, F with **probability of success** p . We consider a sequence of independant Bernoulli trials.
- What is the **expected** number of independant trials till we get a success?
- Let R be random variable giving number of trials till success.
 $E[R] = 1 * p + 2 * (1 - p) * p + 3 * (1 - p)^2 * p + \dots$
- This simplifies to $E[R] = 1/p$.

MaxSAT: Expected Running Time

MaxSAT: Expected Running Time

- Let $\tilde{p}_j$ be the probability that a random assignment satisfies exactly j clauses.
Let p be the probability that a random assignment satisfies at least $7k/8$ clauses. Hence $p = \sum_{j \geq 7k/8} \tilde{p}_j$.

MaxSAT: Expected Running Time

- Let p_j be the probability that a random assignment satisfies exactly j clauses.
Let p be the probability that a random assignment satisfies at least $7k/8$ clauses. Hence $p = \sum_{j \geq 7k/8} p_j$.
- Then $E(Z) =$

MaxSAT: Expected Running Time

- Let p_j be the probability that a random assignment satisfies exactly j clauses.

Let p be the probability that a random assignment satisfies at least $7k/8$ clauses. Hence $p = \sum_{j \geq 7k/8} p_j$.

- Then $E(Z) =$

$$\frac{7}{8}k = \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^k j p_j}_{\text{red underline}} = \underbrace{\sum_{j < 7k/8} j p_j + \sum_{j \geq 7k/8} j p_j}_{\text{red underline}}$$

MaxSAT: Expected Running Time

- Let p_j be the probability that a random assignment satisfies exactly j clauses.
Let p be the probability that a random assignment satisfies at least $7k/8$ clauses. Hence $p = \sum_{j \geq 7k/8} p_j$.
- Then $E(Z) =$

$$\frac{7}{8}k = \sum_{j=0}^k jp_j = \sum_{j < 7k/8} jp_j + \sum_{j \geq 7k/8} jp_j.$$

- Simplifying (see KT 13.5 (page 727)), we get $p \geq 1/(8k)$.

MaxSAT: Expected Running Time

- Let p_j be the probability that a random assignment satisfies exactly j clauses.
Let p be the probability that a random assignment satisfies at least $7k/8$ clauses. Hence $p = \sum_{j \geq 7k/8} p_j$.
- Then $E(Z) =$

$$\frac{7}{8}k = \sum_{j=0}^k jp_j = \sum_{j < 7k/8} jp_j + \sum_{j \geq 7k/8} jp_j.$$

- Simplifying (see KT 13.5 (page 727)), we get $p \geq 1/(8k)$.
- Hence, using waiting time bound, the expected number of trials to get the required assignment is $\leq (8k)$.

MaxSAT: Expected Running Time

- Let p_j be the probability that a random assignment satisfies exactly j clauses.
Let p be the probability that a random assignment satisfies at least $7k/8$ clauses. Hence $p = \sum_{j \geq 7k/8} p_j$.
- Then $E(Z) =$

$$\frac{7}{8}k = \sum_{j=0}^k jp_j = \sum_{j < 7k/8} jp_j + \sum_{j \geq 7k/8} jp_j.$$

- Simplifying (see KT 13.5 (page 727)), we get $p \geq 1/(8k)$.
- Hence, using waiting time bound, the expected number of trials to get the required assignment is $\leq (8k)$.

(13.16) *There is a randomized algorithm with polynomial expected running time that is guaranteed to produce a truth assignment satisfying at least a $\frac{7}{8}$ fraction of all clauses.*

Derandomization using conditional expectations

Consider a formula ϕ with k clauses where each clause has **at most** 3 literals (non-overlapping).

- $E(\phi) = \sum_{C \in \phi} (1 - 1/(2^{|C|})).$

Derandomization using conditional expectations

Consider a formula ϕ with k clauses where each clause has **at most** 3 literals (non-overlapping).

- $E(\phi) = \sum_{C \in \phi} (1 - 1/(2^{|C|}))$.
- For a variable x , let $\phi_x = \phi[1/x]$ be simplified. Similarly $\phi_{\neg x}$.

Derandomization using conditional expectations

Consider a formula ϕ with k clauses where each clause has **at most** 3 literals (non-overlapping).

- $E(\phi) = \sum_{C \in \phi} (1 - 1/(2^{|C|}))$.
- For a variable x , let $\phi_x = \phi[1/x]$ be simplified. Similarly $\phi_{\neg x}$.
- Let $\#_x$ be number of "true" clauses in ϕ_x . Similarly, $\#_{\neg x}$

Derandomization using conditional expectations

Consider a formula ϕ with k clauses where each clause has **at most** 3 literals (non-overlapping).

- $E(\phi) = \sum_{C \in \phi} (1 - 1/(2^{|C|}))$.
- For a variable x , let $\phi_x = \phi[1/x]$ be simplified. Similarly $\phi_{\neg x}$.
- Let $\#_x$ be number of "true" clauses in ϕ_x . Similarly, $\#_{\neg x}$.
- $E(\phi) = 1/2 \cdot (E(\phi_x) + \#_x) + 1/2 \cdot (E(\phi_{\neg x}) + \#_{\neg x})$

Derandomization using conditional expectations

Consider a formula ϕ with k clauses where each clause has **at most** 3 literals (non-overlapping).

- $E(\phi) = \sum_{C \in \phi} (1 - 1/(2^{|C|}))$.
- For a variable x , let $\phi_x = \phi[1/x]$ be simplified. Similarly $\phi_{\neg x}$.
- Let $\#_x$ be number of "true" clauses in ϕ_x . Similarly, $\#_{\neg x}$.
- $E(\phi) = 1/2 \cdot (E(\phi_x) + \#_x) + 1/2 \cdot (E(\phi_{\neg x}) + \#_{\neg x})$

Algorithm

- 1 Pick variable x .
- 2 Compute $E_1 = E(\phi)$, $E_2 = (E(\phi_x) + \#_x)$ and $E_3 = (E(\phi_{\neg x}) + \#_{\neg x})$.
- 3 If $E_1 < E_2$ set $x = 1$ otherwise $x = 0$.
- 4 Goto (1) till variables are unassigned.

Example

- $\phi = (x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3)$ ✓
- $\phi_{x_1} = \cancel{(1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3)} \wedge (0 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge \cancel{(1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3)}$ ←
 $= (x_2 \vee x_3)$
- $\#_{x_1} = 2.$
- $E(\phi_{x_1}) = (1 (1/(2^2))) = 3/4.$

$$\phi_{\neg x} = (\neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3)$$

$$\#_{\neg x} = 1$$

Randomized Quicksort

- Guaranteed to produce sorted array for any input

Randomized Quicksort

- Guaranteed to produce sorted array for any input
- Expected execution time $O(n \cdot \lg(n))$ for any input.

Randomized Quicksort

- Guaranteed to produce sorted array for any input
- Expected execution time $O(n \cdot \lg(n))$ for any input.
- Same as quicksort with modified selection of pivot.

Randomized Quicksort

- Guaranteed to produce sorted array for any input
- Expected execution time $O(n \cdot \lg(n))$ for any input.
- Same as quicksort with modified selection of pivot.
- **Central Pivot** A pivot which split S in S^+ and S^- such that $|S^+| \geq 1/4 \cdot |S|$ and $|S^-| \geq 1/4 \cdot |S|$.

Randomized Quicksort

- Guaranteed to produce sorted array for any input
- Expected execution time $O(n \cdot \lg(n))$ for any input.
- Same as quicksort with modified selection of pivot.
- **Central Pivot** A pivot which split S in S^+ and S^- such that $|S^+| \geq 1/4 \cdot |S|$ and $|S^-| \geq 1/4 \cdot |S|$.
- Repeats random selection of pivot till a central pivot is found. Then partitions the array.

Algorithm

Modified Quicksort(S):

If $|S| \leq 3$ then

Sort S

Output the sorted list

Endif

Else

While no central splitter has been found

Choose a splitter $a_i \in S$ uniformly at random

For each element a_j of S

Put a_j in S^- if $a_j < a_i$

Put a_j in S^+ if $a_j > a_i$

Endfor

If $|S^-| \geq |S|/4$ and $|S^+| \geq |S|/4$ then

a_i is a central splitter

Endif

Endwhile

Recursively call Quicksort(S^-) and Quicksort(S^+)

Output the sorted set S^- , then a_i , then the sorted set S^+

Endif

} $\Theta(n)$

} }

Theorem

*Randomized partition step with central splitter takes **Expected time** $O(n)$ on any input.*

Theorem

*Randomized partition step with central splitter takes **Expected time** $O(n)$ on any input.*

- Hence, recurrence of **Expected worst-case execution time** of randomized quicksort is roughly

$$T(n) = T(n/(4/3)) + T(n/(1/4)) + \Theta(n).$$

Theorem

*Randomized partition step with central splitter takes **Expected time** $O(n)$ on any input.*

- Hence, recurrence of **Expected worst-case execution time** of randomized quicksort is roughly
$$T(n) = T(n/(4/3)) + T(n/(1/4)) + \Theta(n).$$
- Solution using recursion tree is $T(n) = O(n \cdot \lg(n)).$

Theorem

*Randomized partition step with central splitter takes **Expected time** $O(n)$ on any input.*

Theorem

*Randomized partition step with central splitter takes **Expected time** $O(n)$ on any input.*

- Given array S , **Half** of its elements when chosen as pivot will give central pivot.

Theorem

*Randomized partition step with central splitter takes **Expected time** $O(n)$ on any input.*

- Given array S , **Half** of its elements when chosen as pivot will give central pivot.
- Hence for a random choice of pivot $a_i \in S$, the probability that a_i is a central pivot is $p = 1/2$.

Theorem

*Randomized partition step with central splitter takes **Expected time** $O(n)$ on any input.*

- Given array S , **Half** of its elements when chosen as pivot will give central pivot.
- Hence for a random choice of pivot $a_i \in S$, the probability that a_i is a central pivot is $p = 1/2$.
- Hence, the waiting time bound states that the expected number of iterations to find the central pivot is $1/p$ i.e. 2.

Randomized Complexity Classes

Class ZPP

$L \in \text{ZPP}$ if there exists a randomized algorithm $M(x, y)$ which is polynomial time in expectation such that

- $x \in L \Rightarrow \Pr_y[M(x, y) = 1] \geq \alpha$ with $\alpha = 1$.
- $x \notin L \Rightarrow \Pr_y[M(x, y) = 1] \leq \beta$ with $\beta = 0$.
- Hence, the randomized algorithm neither produces false negative nor false positive.

Randomized Complexity Classes

Class ZPP

$L \in \text{ZPP}$ if there exists a randomized algorithm $M(x, y)$ which is polynomial time in expectation such that

- $x \in L \Rightarrow \Pr_y[M(x, y) = 1] \geq \alpha$ with $\alpha = 1$.
- $x \notin L \Rightarrow \Pr_y[M(x, y) = 1] \leq \beta$ with $\beta = 0$.
- Hence, the randomized algorithm neither produces false negative nor false positive.

Other Randomized Complexity Classes

Randomized Complexity Classes

Class ZPP

$L \in \text{ZPP}$ if there exists a randomized algorithm $M(x, y)$ which is polynomial time in expectation such that

- $x \in L \Rightarrow \Pr_y[M(x, y) = 1] \geq \alpha$ with $\alpha = 1$.
- $x \notin L \Rightarrow \Pr_y[M(x, y) = 1] \leq \beta$ with $\beta = 0$.
- Hence, the randomized algorithm neither produces false negative nor false positive.

Other Randomized Complexity Classes

- Choosing $\alpha = 2/3$, $\beta = 0$ we get the class RP.

Randomized Complexity Classes

Class ZPP

$L \in \text{ZPP}$ if there exists a randomized algorithm $M(x, y)$ which is polynomial time in expectation such that

- $x \in L \Rightarrow \Pr_y[M(x, y) = 1] \geq \alpha$ with $\alpha = 1$.
- $x \notin L \Rightarrow \Pr_y[M(x, y) = 1] \leq \beta$ with $\beta = 0$.
- Hence, the randomized algorithm neither produces false negative nor false positive.

Other Randomized Complexity Classes

- Choosing $\alpha = 2/3$, $\beta = 0$ we get the class RP.
- Choosing $\alpha = 2/3$, $\beta = 1/3$ we get the class BPP.

Randomized Complexity Classes

Class ZPP

$L \in \text{ZPP}$ if there exists a randomized algorithm $M(x, y)$ which is polynomial time in expectation such that

- $x \in L \Rightarrow \Pr_y[M(x, y) = 1] \geq \alpha$ with $\alpha = 1$.
- $x \notin L \Rightarrow \Pr_y[M(x, y) = 1] \leq \beta$ with $\beta = 0$.
- Hence, the randomized algorithm neither produces false negative nor false positive.

Other Randomized Complexity Classes

- Choosing $\alpha = 2/3$, $\beta = 0$ we get the class RP .
- Choosing $\alpha = 2/3$, $\beta = 1/3$ we get the class BPP .
- Clearly, $\text{P} \subseteq \text{ZPP} \subseteq \text{RP} \subseteq \text{BPP}$.

Randomized Complexity Classes

Class ZPP

$L \in \text{ZPP}$ if there exists a randomized algorithm $M(x, y)$ which is polynomial time in expectation such that

- $x \in L \Rightarrow \Pr_y[M(x, y) = 1] \geq \alpha$ with $\alpha = 1$.
- $x \notin L \Rightarrow \Pr_y[M(x, y) = 1] \leq \beta$ with $\beta = 0$.
- Hence, the randomized algorithm neither produces false negative nor false positive.

Other Randomized Complexity Classes

- Choosing $\alpha = 2/3$, $\beta = 0$ we get the class RP.
- Choosing $\alpha = 2/3$, $\beta = 1/3$ we get the class BPP.
- Clearly, $\text{P} \subseteq \text{ZPP} \subseteq \text{RP} \subseteq \text{BPP}$.
- Open Problem: $\text{P} = \text{BPP}$?