$P \subseteq ZPP \subseteq RP \subseteq BPP$ open problem P=BPP? Approximation alg 4/11/2022 Maximisation K - approx $(\Lambda \leq 1)$ Value (solution) Z. Value Copt) MULEiplicative Minimisation approx. B-opprox (B≥1) Value (solution) < B. Cost (opt) ain -> to reduce \$ as much as possible (1+2) Load Balancing Problem (NP Hard) m Jobs $-t_1, t_2 \cdots t_m$ n processors -> Identical (For now) Joles - indiversible

assign Joles to processors evenly makespan: = mosc. lood on any processor Goal :- Minimire makespan ey: n=3 processors G joles $t_{i,-4,4,5,1,1,3}$ Makespon = 8 makespun = 7 7 is Optimal (Total = 18, ideally (6,6,6) not possible here =)(7,6,5)] B. Sweinst certified? H.W -> Knopsack / Subret Sum reduce to min makespon (to conclude NP Hord)

For our approx aly. makespon (solution) < B. OPT makespon Finding some lower bound and leaunding it Sather than Q C* C LB oft our Soln > bounding c * (offerinal) Supportion Round roleins approach 2 Sort jobs ι-, then do 1,2, 2-98-2 2,1 Cy 32, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1 32 16 Y 8 β<u>≈3</u> z 1 2 38 25 Suggestion Greedy 1 2 Go over the jobs in the given order At any time, allocate the processor with min. lood.

Cy 4,4,5,1,1,3 e e e e l P, Pz Pz Can go onywhere 4 4 5 Mosc upon = 8 1 1 3 (rreedy) gues a 2-approximate soln. (For 2 processors, makespon = 1. Lotal Sum, so all aly give 2 approximations Nonce not very interesting) Bounds on OPT mokespon () Aveg load $\begin{pmatrix} m \\ \geq ti \\ i = 1 \end{pmatrix}$ chan allert (2) mose ~ t₁, t₂ ... t_n Attempt - 1 $\leq 2 \cdot (avy load)$ Wreedy 1 Counter 3 processors 3 jobs 1000,1,1 makerpon = 1000 (also appind) But awy = $\frac{1002}{3}$ = 334

and so our som 42. (weg) eventhough its optimal So not a great L.B Attempt 2 (rreedy | < 2. 2 mose lood of a jole 3 3 processors (1), | (1000 Solog) (reedy) makerpon = <u>100</u>, = 334 (also aptiml) mosc lood = 1 .. Creedy | & Z. LB .. Bod LB (: eventhough our aly quees optimul, doern'do well with (B) lains avery lood + moz. lood of any job Creedy 1 < < 2. mox (aug, mor) =) (preedy] < 2. aptimal

NW do the same proof for Round Rolein E Eti (aug) Lood on P. 1000 lood on P2 was minimum among all provensors 5 mosl : lood on P2 <= Zti + mesc (This concludes h frog) > average is over processors (not joles) for a 3 - approse Greedy? -> Solt the joles + Greedy 1 in decreasing order claim : Creedy 2 guees a 3 approximations

Cy where Greedy) does leadly 5,5,5,5,1,1,1,1,1,5 P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4 P_5 S S S S I 5 $\begin{pmatrix} n_{0x} = 2n \\ out = n+1 \end{pmatrix}$ Mon = 10 oft = 6 ratio = 211 1+1) $\begin{array}{c} Prow \ of \ claim \\ P_1 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_2 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_2 \\ P_2 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_2 \\ P_2 \\ P_2 \\ P_2 \\ P_2 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_2 \\ P_2 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_2$ total lood layone K & aveg & appl Ps Case 1 k > n (no of processors) By PNP (Pijeon hole) Some processor gets 2 joles among prit k. (.: arring sorted, 2 jobs ≥ k (: sort) :. Optimul 22th

 \therefore $t_h \leftarrow opt_{\overline{z}}$ Cun 2 KEn Then this processor has only / job k < opt