#### CS 747, Autumn 2022: Lecture 10

#### Shivaram Kalyanakrishnan

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

#### Autumn 2022

# Markov Decision Problems

- 1. Action value function
- 2. Policy iteration
  - Policy improvement
  - Policy improvement theorem and proof
  - Policy iteration algorithm
- 3. History-dependent and stochastic policies

# Markov Decision Problems

- 1. Action value function
- 2. Policy iteration
  - Policy improvement
  - Policy improvement theorem and proof
  - Policy iteration algorithm
- 3. History-dependent and stochastic policies

For π ∈ Π, s ∈ S, a ∈ A:

 $Q^{\pi}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{a}) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{r}^{0} + \gamma \boldsymbol{r}^{1} + \gamma^{2} \boldsymbol{r}^{2} + \dots | \boldsymbol{s}^{0} = \boldsymbol{s}; \boldsymbol{a}^{0} = \boldsymbol{a}; \boldsymbol{a}^{t} = \pi(\boldsymbol{s}^{t}) \text{ for } t \geq 1].$ 

• For  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $a \in A$ :  $Q^{\pi}(s, a) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[r^0 + \gamma r^1 + \gamma^2 r^2 + \dots | s^0 = s; a^0 = a; a^t = \pi(s^t) \text{ for } t \ge 1].$ 

 $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$  is the expected long-term reward from starting at state *s*, taking action *a* at *t* = 0, and following policy  $\pi$  for *t* ≥ 1.

• For  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $a \in A$ :  $Q^{\pi}(s, a) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[r^0 + \gamma r^1 + \gamma^2 r^2 + \dots | s^0 = s; a^0 = a; a^t = \pi(s^t) \text{ for } t \ge 1].$ 

 $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$  is the expected long-term reward from starting at state *s*, taking action *a* at t = 0, and following policy  $\pi$  for  $t \ge 1$ .  $Q^{\pi}: S \times A \to \mathbb{R}$  is called the action value function of  $\pi$ .

• For  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $a \in A$ :  $Q^{\pi}(s, a) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[r^0 + \gamma r^1 + \gamma^2 r^2 + \dots | s^0 = s; a^0 = a; a^t = \pi(s^t) \text{ for } t \ge 1].$ 

 $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$  is the expected long-term reward from starting at state *s*, taking action *a* at t = 0, and following policy  $\pi$  for  $t \ge 1$ .  $Q^{\pi}: S \times A \to \mathbb{R}$  is called the action value function of  $\pi$ . Observe that  $Q^{\pi}$  satisfies, for  $s \in S, a \in A$ :

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^{\pi}(oldsymbol{s},oldsymbol{a}) &= \sum_{oldsymbol{s}'\in\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}(oldsymbol{s},oldsymbol{a},oldsymbol{s}') \{ \mathcal{R}(oldsymbol{s},oldsymbol{a},oldsymbol{s}') + \gamma oldsymbol{V}^{\pi}(oldsymbol{s}') \}. \end{aligned}$$

• For  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $a \in A$ :  $Q^{\pi}(s, a) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[r^0 + \gamma r^1 + \gamma^2 r^2 + \dots | s^0 = s; a^0 = a; a^t = \pi(s^t) \text{ for } t \ge 1].$ 

 $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$  is the expected long-term reward from starting at state *s*, taking action *a* at t = 0, and following policy  $\pi$  for  $t \ge 1$ .  $Q^{\pi}: S \times A \to \mathbb{R}$  is called the action value function of  $\pi$ . Observe that  $Q^{\pi}$  satisfies, for  $s \in S, a \in A$ :

$$egin{aligned} m{Q}^{\pi}(m{s},m{a}) &= \sum_{m{s}'\inm{S}}m{T}(m{s},m{a},m{s}')\{m{R}(m{s},m{a},m{s}')+\gammam{V}^{\pi}(m{s}')\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,  $s \in S$ :  $Q^{\pi}(s, \pi(s)) = V^{\pi}(s)$ .

• For  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $a \in A$ :  $Q^{\pi}(s, a) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[r^0 + \gamma r^1 + \gamma^2 r^2 + \dots | s^0 = s; a^0 = a; a^t = \pi(s^t) \text{ for } t \ge 1].$ 

 $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$  is the expected long-term reward from starting at state *s*, taking action *a* at t = 0, and following policy  $\pi$  for  $t \ge 1$ .  $Q^{\pi}: S \times A \to \mathbb{R}$  is called the action value function of  $\pi$ . Observe that  $Q^{\pi}$  satisfies, for  $s \in S, a \in A$ :

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^{\pi}(oldsymbol{s},oldsymbol{a}) &= \sum_{oldsymbol{s}'\in\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}(oldsymbol{s},oldsymbol{a},oldsymbol{s}') \{ \mathcal{R}(oldsymbol{s},oldsymbol{a},oldsymbol{s}') + \gamma oldsymbol{V}^{\pi}(oldsymbol{s}') \}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,  $\boldsymbol{s} \in \boldsymbol{S}$ :  $\boldsymbol{Q}^{\pi}(\boldsymbol{s}, \pi(\boldsymbol{s})) = \boldsymbol{V}^{\pi}(\boldsymbol{s})$ .

•  $Q^{\pi}$  needs  $O(n^2k)$  operations to compute if  $V^{\pi}$  is available.

• For  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $a \in A$ :  $Q^{\pi}(s, a) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[r^0 + \gamma r^1 + \gamma^2 r^2 + \dots | s^0 = s; a^0 = a; a^t = \pi(s^t) \text{ for } t \ge 1].$ 

 $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$  is the expected long-term reward from starting at state *s*, taking action *a* at t = 0, and following policy  $\pi$  for  $t \ge 1$ .  $Q^{\pi}: S \times A \to \mathbb{R}$  is called the action value function of  $\pi$ . Observe that  $Q^{\pi}$  satisfies, for  $s \in S, a \in A$ :

$$oldsymbol{Q}^{\pi}(oldsymbol{s},oldsymbol{a}) = \sum_{oldsymbol{s}'\in \mathcal{S}} oldsymbol{T}(oldsymbol{s},oldsymbol{a},oldsymbol{s}') \{oldsymbol{R}(oldsymbol{s},oldsymbol{a},oldsymbol{s}') + \gamma oldsymbol{V}^{\pi}(oldsymbol{s}') \}.$$

For  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,  $\boldsymbol{s} \in \boldsymbol{S}$ :  $\boldsymbol{Q}^{\pi}(\boldsymbol{s}, \pi(\boldsymbol{s})) = \boldsymbol{V}^{\pi}(\boldsymbol{s})$ .

- $Q^{\pi}$  needs  $O(n^2k)$  operations to compute if  $V^{\pi}$  is available.
- All optimal policies have the same (optimal) action value function  $Q^*$ .

# Markov Decision Problems

- 1. Action value function
- 2. Policy iteration
  - Policy improvement
  - Policy improvement theorem and proof
  - Policy iteration algorithm
- 3. History-dependent and stochastic policies











Shivaram Kalyanakrishnan (2022)





Given π,
Pick one or more improvable states, and in these states,
Switch to an arbitrary improving action.

Let the resulting policy be  $\pi'$ .



Given  $\pi$ , - Pick one or more improvable states, and in these states, - Switch to an arbitrary improving action.

Let the resulting policy be  $\pi'$ .

# Markov Decision Problems

- 1. Action value function
- 2. Policy iteration
  - Policy improvement
  - Policy improvement theorem and proof
  - Policy iteration algorithm
- 3. History-dependent and stochastic policies

$$\mathsf{IA}(\pi, s) \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} \{ a \in \mathsf{A} : Q^{\pi}(s, a) > V^{\pi}(s) \}.$$

$$\mathsf{IA}(\pi, s) \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathsf{def}}}{=} \{ a \in \mathsf{A} : Q^{\pi}(s, a) > \mathsf{V}^{\pi}(s) \}.$$

• For  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,

$$|\mathbf{S}(\pi) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \{ \boldsymbol{s} \in \boldsymbol{S} : ||\mathbf{A}(\pi, \boldsymbol{s})| \geq 1 \}.$$

$$\mathsf{IA}(\pi, s) \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathsf{def}}}{=} \{ a \in \mathsf{A} : Q^{\pi}(s, a) > V^{\pi}(s) \}.$$

• For  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,

$$\mathsf{IS}(\pi) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \{ s \in S : |\mathsf{IA}(\pi, s)| \geq 1 \}.$$

 Suppose IS(π) ≠ Ø and π' ∈ Π is obtained by policy improvement on π. Thus, π' satisfies

 $\forall s \in S : [\pi'(s) = \pi(s) \text{ or } \pi'(s) \in IA(\pi, s)] \text{ and } \exists s \in S : \pi'(s) \in IA(\pi, s).$ 

$$\mathsf{IA}(\pi, s) \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathsf{def}}}{=} \{ a \in \mathsf{A} : Q^{\pi}(s, a) > V^{\pi}(s) \}.$$

• For  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,

$$\mathsf{IS}(\pi) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \{ s \in S : |\mathsf{IA}(\pi, s)| \geq 1 \}.$$

 Suppose IS(π) ≠ Ø and π' ∈ Π is obtained by policy improvement on π. Thus, π' satisfies

 $\forall s \in S : [\pi'(s) = \pi(s) \text{ or } \pi'(s) \in IA(\pi, s)] \text{ and } \exists s \in S : \pi'(s) \in IA(\pi, s).$ 

#### Policy Improvement Theorem:

(1) If  $IS(\pi) = \emptyset$ , then  $\pi$  is optimal, else

(2) if  $\pi'$  is obtained by policy improvement on  $\pi$ , then  $\pi' \succ \pi$ .

Policy Improvement Theorem: (1) If  $IS(\pi) = \emptyset$ , then  $\pi$  is optimal, else (2) if  $\pi'$  is obtained by policy improvement on  $\pi$ , then  $\pi' \succ \pi$ .

• If  $\pi \in \Pi$  is such that  $IS(\pi) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $\pi' \in \Pi$  such that  $\pi' \succ \pi$ .

- If  $\pi \in \Pi$  is such that  $IS(\pi) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $\pi' \in \Pi$  such that  $\pi' \succ \pi$ .
- But  $\Pi$  has a finite number of policies  $(k^n)$ .

- If  $\pi \in \Pi$  is such that  $IS(\pi) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $\pi' \in \Pi$  such that  $\pi' \succ \pi$ .
- But  $\Pi$  has a finite number of policies  $(k^n)$ .
- Hence, there must exist a policy  $\pi^* \in \Pi$  such that  $IS(\pi^*) = \emptyset$ .

- If  $\pi \in \Pi$  is such that  $IS(\pi) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $\pi' \in \Pi$  such that  $\pi' \succ \pi$ .
- But  $\Pi$  has a finite number of policies  $(k^n)$ .
- Hence, there must exist a policy  $\pi^* \in \Pi$  such that  $IS(\pi^*) = \emptyset$ .
- The theorem itself also tells us that  $\pi^*$  must be optimal.

- If  $\pi \in \Pi$  is such that  $IS(\pi) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $\pi' \in \Pi$  such that  $\pi' \succ \pi$ .
- But  $\Pi$  has a finite number of policies  $(k^n)$ .
- Hence, there must exist a policy  $\pi^* \in \Pi$  such that  $IS(\pi^*) = \emptyset$ .
- The theorem itself also tells us that  $\pi^*$  must be optimal.
- Observe that  $\mathsf{IS}(\pi^*) = \emptyset \iff B^*(V^{\pi^*}) = V^{\pi^*}$ .

- If  $\pi \in \Pi$  is such that  $IS(\pi) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $\pi' \in \Pi$  such that  $\pi' \succ \pi$ .
- But  $\Pi$  has a finite number of policies  $(k^n)$ .
- Hence, there must exist a policy  $\pi^* \in \Pi$  such that  $IS(\pi^*) = \emptyset$ .
- The theorem itself also tells us that  $\pi^*$  must be optimal.
- Observe that  $IS(\pi^*) = \emptyset \iff B^*(V^{\pi^*}) = V^{\pi^*}$ .
- In other words, V<sup>π\*</sup> satisfies the Bellman optimality equations—which we know has a unique solution. It is a convention to denote V<sup>π\*</sup> = V\*.

• For  $\pi \in \Pi$ , we define  $B^{\pi} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  as follows. For  $X : S \to \mathbb{R}$  and for  $s \in S$ ,

$$(B^{\pi}(X))(s) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi(s), s') \left( R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma X(s') \right).$$

• For  $\pi \in \Pi$ , we define  $B^{\pi} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  as follows. For  $X : S \to \mathbb{R}$  and for  $s \in S$ .

$$(B^{\pi}(X))(s) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi(s), s') \left( R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma X(s') \right).$$

• One Bellman operator for each  $\pi \in \Pi$ . No "max" like  $B^*$ .

• For  $\pi \in \Pi$ , we define  $B^{\pi} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  as follows. For  $X : S \to \mathbb{R}$  and for  $s \in S$ ,

$$(B^{\pi}(X))(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi(s), s') \left( R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma X(s') \right).$$

- One Bellman operator for each  $\pi \in \Pi$ . No "max" like  $B^*$ .
- Some facts about  $B^{\pi}$  for all  $\pi \in \Pi$ . Similar proofs as for  $B^{\star}$ .
- $B^{\pi}$  is a contraction mapping with contraction factor  $\gamma$ .
- For  $X: \mathcal{S} 
  ightarrow \mathbb{R}: \lim_{l 
  ightarrow \infty} (B^{\pi})^l (X) = V^{\pi}.$
- For  $X: S \to \mathbb{R}, \ Y: S \to \mathbb{R}$ :  $X \succeq Y \implies B^{\pi}(X) \succeq B^{\pi}(Y)$ .

• For  $\pi \in \Pi$ , we define  $B^{\pi} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  as follows. For  $X : S \to \mathbb{R}$  and for  $s \in S$ ,

$$(B^{\pi}(X))(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi(s), s') \left( R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma X(s') \right).$$

- One Bellman operator for each  $\pi \in \Pi$ . No "max" like  $B^*$ .
- Some facts about  $B^{\pi}$  for all  $\pi \in \Pi$ . Similar proofs as for  $B^{\star}$ .
- $B^{\pi}$  is a contraction mapping with contraction factor  $\gamma$ .
- For  $X: \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R} : \lim_{l \to \infty} (B^{\pi})^l (X) = V^{\pi}.$
- For  $X: S \to \mathbb{R}, \ Y: S \to \mathbb{R}: X \succeq Y \implies B^{\pi}(X) \succeq B^{\pi}(Y).$

• Observe that for  $\pi, \pi' \in \Pi, \forall s \in S$ :  $B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi})(s) = Q^{\pi}(s, \pi'(s))$ .

$$IS(\pi) = \emptyset$$

10/16

$$\mathsf{IS}(\pi) = \emptyset \implies \forall \pi' \in \mathsf{\Pi} : \mathbf{V}^{\pi} \succeq \mathbf{B}^{\pi'}(\mathbf{V}^{\pi})$$

$$\mathbf{IS}(\pi) = \emptyset \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi})$$
$$\implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi})$$

10/16

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{IS}(\pi) &= \emptyset \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \succeq \cdots \succeq \lim_{l \to \infty} (B^{\pi'})^l (V^{\pi}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{IS}(\pi) &= \emptyset \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \succeq \cdots \succeq \lim_{l \to \infty} (B^{\pi'})^l (V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq V^{\pi'}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{IS}(\pi) &= \emptyset \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2 (V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2 (V^{\pi}) \succeq \cdots \succeq \lim_{l \to \infty} (B^{\pi'})^l (V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq V^{\pi'}. \end{split}$$

 $\mathsf{IS}(\pi) \neq \emptyset; \pi \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P.I.}} \pi'$ 

10/16

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{IS}(\pi) &= \emptyset \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \succeq \cdots \succeq \lim_{l \to \infty} (B^{\pi'})^l (V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq V^{\pi'}. \end{split}$$

 $\mathsf{IS}(\pi) \neq \emptyset; \pi \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P.I.}} \pi' \implies B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succ V^{\pi}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{IS}(\pi) &= \emptyset \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \succeq \cdots \succeq \lim_{l \to \infty} (B^{\pi'})^l (V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq V^{\pi'}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{IS}(\pi) \neq \emptyset; \pi \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P.I.}} \pi' \implies B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succ V^{\pi} \\ \implies (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succ V^{\pi} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{IS}(\pi) &= \emptyset \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \succeq \cdots \succeq \lim_{l \to \infty} (B^{\pi'})^l (V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq V^{\pi'}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{IS}(\pi) \neq \emptyset; \pi \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P.L.}} \pi' \implies B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succ V^{\pi} \\ \implies (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succ V^{\pi} \\ \implies \lim_{l \to \infty} (B^{\pi'})^l(V^{\pi}) \succeq \cdots \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succ V^{\pi} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{IS}(\pi) &= \emptyset \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \succeq \cdots \succeq \lim_{l \to \infty} (B^{\pi'})^l (V^{\pi}) \\ \implies \forall \pi' \in \Pi : V^{\pi} \succeq V^{\pi'}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{IS}(\pi) \neq \emptyset; \pi \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P.L.}} \pi' \implies B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succ V^{\pi} \\ \implies (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succ V^{\pi} \\ \implies \lim_{l \to \infty} (B^{\pi'})^l(V^{\pi}) \succeq \cdots \succeq (B^{\pi'})^2(V^{\pi}) \succeq B^{\pi'}(V^{\pi}) \succ V^{\pi} \\ \implies V^{\pi'} \succ V^{\pi}. \end{split}$$

# Markov Decision Problems

- 1. Action value function
- 2. Policy iteration
  - Policy improvement
  - Policy improvement theorem and proof
  - Policy iteration algorithm
- 3. History-dependent and stochastic policies

```
\pi \leftarrow Arbitrary policy.

While \pi has improvable states:

\pi' \leftarrow PolicyImprovement(\pi).

\pi \leftarrow \pi'.

Return \pi.
```













 $\pi \leftarrow$  Arbitrary policy. **While**  $\pi$  has improvable states:  $\pi' \leftarrow$  PolicyImprovement( $\pi$ ).  $\pi \leftarrow \pi'$ . **Return**  $\pi$ .

Path taken (and hence the number of iterations) in general depends on the switching strategy.



# Markov Decision Problems

- 1. Action value function
- 2. Policy iteration
  - Policy improvement
  - Policy improvement theorem and proof
  - Policy iteration algorithm
- 3. History-dependent and stochastic policies

- In principle, an agent can follow a policy λ that maps every possible history s<sup>0</sup>, a<sup>0</sup>, r<sup>0</sup>, s<sup>1</sup>, a<sup>1</sup>, r<sup>1</sup>, ..., s<sup>t</sup> for t ≥ 0 to a probability distribution over A.
- Let Λ be the set of such policies λ (which are in general non-Markovian, non-stationary, and stochastic).

- In principle, an agent can follow a policy  $\lambda$  that maps every possible history  $s^0, a^0, r^0, s^1, a^1, r^1, \dots, s^t$  for  $t \ge 0$  to a probability distribution over A.
- Let Λ be the set of such policies λ (which are in general non-Markovian, non-stationary, and stochastic).
- Recall that we only considered Π, the set of all policies π : S → A (which are Markovian, stationary, and deterministic). Observe that Π ⊂ Λ.
- We have shown that there exists  $\pi^* \in \Pi$  such that for all  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,  $\pi^* \succeq \pi$ .

- In principle, an agent can follow a policy λ that maps every possible history s<sup>0</sup>, a<sup>0</sup>, r<sup>0</sup>, s<sup>1</sup>, a<sup>1</sup>, r<sup>1</sup>, ..., s<sup>t</sup> for t ≥ 0 to a probability distribution over A.
- Let Λ be the set of such policies λ (which are in general non-Markovian, non-stationary, and stochastic).
- Recall that we only considered Π, the set of all policies π : S → A (which are Markovian, stationary, and deterministic). Observe that Π ⊂ Λ.
- We have shown that there exists  $\pi^* \in \Pi$  such that for all  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,  $\pi^* \succeq \pi$ .

Could there exist  $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Pi$  such that  $\neg(\pi^* \succeq \lambda)$ ?

- In principle, an agent can follow a policy λ that maps every possible history s<sup>0</sup>, a<sup>0</sup>, r<sup>0</sup>, s<sup>1</sup>, a<sup>1</sup>, r<sup>1</sup>, ..., s<sup>t</sup> for t ≥ 0 to a probability distribution over A.
- Let Λ be the set of such policies λ (which are in general non-Markovian, non-stationary, and stochastic).
- Recall that we only considered Π, the set of all policies π : S → A (which are Markovian, stationary, and deterministic). Observe that Π ⊂ Λ.
- We have shown that there exists  $\pi^* \in \Pi$  such that for all  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,  $\pi^* \succeq \pi$ .

Could there exist  $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Pi$  such that  $\neg(\pi^* \succeq \lambda)$ ? No.

 In MDPs, the agent can sense state, and the consequence of each action depends solely on state.

- In MDPs, the agent can sense state, and the consequence of each action depends solely on state.
- We are maximising an infinite sum of expected discounted rewards—the challenge at each time step is the same: to maximise the expected long-term reward starting from the current state!

- In MDPs, the agent can sense state, and the consequence of each action depends solely on state.
- We are maximising an infinite sum of expected discounted rewards—the challenge at each time step is the same: to maximise the expected long-term reward starting from the current state!
- History and stochasticity can help if the agent is unable to sense state perfectly. Such a situation arises in an abstraction called the Partially Observable MDP (POMDP).

- In MDPs, the agent can sense state, and the consequence of each action depends solely on state.
- We are maximising an infinite sum of expected discounted rewards—the challenge at each time step is the same: to maximise the expected long-term reward starting from the current state!
- History and stochasticity can help if the agent is unable to sense state perfectly. Such a situation arises in an abstraction called the Partially Observable MDP (POMDP).
- Optimal policies for the finite horizon reward setting are in general non-stationary (time-dependent).

- In MDPs, the agent can sense state, and the consequence of each action depends solely on state.
- We are maximising an infinite sum of expected discounted rewards—the challenge at each time step is the same: to maximise the expected long-term reward starting from the current state!
- History and stochasticity can help if the agent is unable to sense state perfectly. Such a situation arises in an abstraction called the Partially Observable MDP (POMDP).
- Optimal policies for the finite horizon reward setting are in general non-stationary (time-dependent).
- Optimal policies ("strategies") in many types of multi-player games are in general stochastic ("mixed") because the next state depends on all the players' actions, but each player chooses only their own.

# Markov Decision Problems

- 1. Action value function
- 2. Policy iteration
  - Policy improvement
  - Policy improvement theorem and proof
  - Policy iteration algorithm
- 3. History-dependent and stochastic policies

# Markov Decision Problems

- 1. Action value function
- 2. Policy iteration
  - Policy improvement
  - Policy improvement theorem and proof
  - Policy iteration algorithm
- 3. History-dependent and stochastic policies

Next class: Running time of policy iteration, review of MDP planning.