

---

## CS615 Theory Quiz 3 (Autumn 2017)

Max marks: 15

Time: 60 mins

---

- *Be brief, complete and stick to what has been asked.*
- *Unless asked for explicitly, you may cite results/proofs covered in class without reproducing them.*
- *If you need to make any assumptions, state them clearly.*
- **Do not copy solutions from others. Penalty for offenders: FR grade.**

1. [15 marks] Consider the predicate  $BT(x, n)$  that defines a special kind of binary tree of height  $n$  and with root  $r$ , as follows:

$$BT(x, n) \equiv (x \neq NULL) \wedge \left( ((x \mapsto [l : NULL, r : NULL]) \wedge (n = 1)) \vee \left( \exists u, v, j, k ((x \mapsto [l : u, r : v]) \star BT(u, j) \star BT(v, k) \wedge (n = \max(j, k) + 1)) \right) \right)$$

In the above formulation, we have used  $l$  and  $r$  to denote the “left child” and “right child” fields of a node in a binary tree.

Now consider the function `myFunc1` given below:

```
myFunc(BTNodePtr x) {
    lchild = x->l;
    rchild = x->r;
    if (lchild == NULL) {
        addChildren(x);
    }
    else {
        myFunc(lchild);
        myFunc(rchild);
    }
}
```

You are given the following specification of the function `addChildren(BTNodePtr x)`:

$$\{BT(x, 1)\} \text{ addChildren}(x) \{BT(x, 2)\}$$

Use the Frame Rule and separation-logic based Hoare-style reasoning to show that

$$\{BT(x, n)\} \text{ myFunc}(x) \{BT(x, n + 1)\}$$