

CS310 : Automata Theory 2019

Lecture 31: Rice's theorem and other undecidable problems

Instructor: S. Akshay

IITB, India

25-03-2019

Recap

Turing machines and computability

1. Definition of Turing machines: high level and low-level descriptions
2. Variants of Turing machines
3. Decidable and Turing recognizable languages
4. Church-Turing Hypothesis
5. Undecidability and a proof technique by diagonalization
 - ▶ A universal TM lang $L_{TM}^A = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts } w\}$
6. Reductions: a powerful way to show undecidability.
7. Rice's theorem

Rice's Theorem

Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e. languages. Then $\mathcal{L}_P = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in P\}$ is undecidable.

Rice's Theorem

Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e. languages. Then $\mathcal{L}_P = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in P\}$ is undecidable.

For the following, is Rice's theorem applicable?

1. $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ runs for 5 steps on word } 010\}$. **No.** Property of TMs.
2. $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ has at most 25 states.}\}$. **No.** Property of TMs.
3. $\{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ is recognized by a TM with at least 25 states.}\}$. **No.** Trivial property.
4. $\{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ is recognized by a TM with at most 25 states and tape alphabet at most 10.}\}$. **Yes.**
5. $\{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ is infinite.}\}$. **Yes.**
6. $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ with alphabet } \{0, 1, \sqcup\} \text{ ever prints three consecutive } 1\text{'s on the tape}\}$. **No.** Property of TMs, but undecidable!

Rice's Theorem

Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e. languages. Then $\mathcal{L}_P = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in P\}$ is undecidable.

For the following, is Rice's theorem applicable?

1. $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ runs for 5 steps on word } 010\}$. **No**. Property of TMs.
2. $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ has at most 25 states.}\}$. **No**. Property of TMs.
3. $\{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ is recognized by a TM with at least 25 states.}\}$. **No**. Trivial property.
4. $\{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ is recognized by a TM with at most 25 states and tape alphabet at most 10.}\}$. **Yes**.
5. $\{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ is infinite.}\}$. **Yes**.
6. $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ with alphabet } \{0, 1, \sqcup\} \text{ ever prints three consecutive } 1\text{'s on the tape}\}$. **No**. Property of TMs, but undecidable!

- ▶ For **No** answers, language can still be decidable or undecidable.
- ▶ If Rice's theorem does not apply, fall back on reductions!

Proof idea

Rice's Theorem

Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e. languages. Then

$\mathcal{L}_P = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in P\}$ is undecidable.

- ▶ Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e., such that $\emptyset \notin P$.

Proof idea

Rice's Theorem

Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e. languages. Then

$\mathcal{L}_P = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in P\}$ is undecidable.

- ▶ Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e., such that $\emptyset \notin P$.
- ▶ Since P is non-trivial, $\exists L, M_L$ with property P .

Proof idea

Rice's Theorem

Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e. languages. Then

$\mathcal{L}_P = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in P\}$ is undecidable.

- ▶ Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e., such that $\emptyset \notin P$.
- ▶ Since P is non-trivial, $\exists L, M_L$ with property P .
- ▶ If P is decidable, there exists an algo M_P for deciding P

Proof idea

Rice's Theorem

Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e. languages. Then

$\mathcal{L}_P = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in P\}$ is undecidable.

- ▶ Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e., such that $\emptyset \notin P$.
- ▶ Since P is non-trivial, $\exists L, M_L$ with property P .
- ▶ If P is decidable, there exists an algo M_P for deciding P
- ▶ We combine M_L and M_P to get algo for A_{TM} .

Proof idea

Rice's Theorem

Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e. languages. Then

$\mathcal{L}_P = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in P\}$ is undecidable.

- ▶ Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e., such that $\emptyset \notin P$.
- ▶ Since P is non-trivial, $\exists L, M_L$ with property P .
- ▶ If P is decidable, there exists an algo M_P for deciding P
- ▶ We combine M_L and M_P to get algo for A_{TM} .
 - ▶ For $\langle M, w \rangle$ i/p, design $\langle M' \rangle$, s.t $L(M') \in P$ iff M acc w .

Proof idea

Rice's Theorem

Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e. languages. Then

$\mathcal{L}_P = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in P\}$ is undecidable.

- ▶ Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e, such that $\emptyset \notin P$.
- ▶ Since P is non-trivial, $\exists L, M_L$ with property P .
- ▶ If P is decidable, there exists an algo M_P for deciding P
- ▶ We combine M_L and M_P to get algo for A_{TM} .
 - ▶ For $\langle M, w \rangle$ i/p, design $\langle M' \rangle$, s.t $L(M') \in P$ iff M acc w .
 - ▶ M' is the foll:
 1. ignore i/p x , simulate M on w . if reject, then rejects x .
 2. if acc, then simulate M_L on x , acc iff M_L acc x .

Proof idea

Rice's Theorem

Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e. languages. Then

$\mathcal{L}_P = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in P\}$ is undecidable.

- ▶ Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e, such that $\emptyset \notin P$.
- ▶ Since P is non-trivial, $\exists L, M_L$ with property P .
- ▶ If P is decidable, there exists an algo M_P for deciding P
- ▶ We combine M_L and M_P to get algo for A_{TM} .
 - ▶ For $\langle M, w \rangle$ i/p, design $\langle M' \rangle$, s.t $L(M') \in P$ iff M acc w .
 - ▶ M' is the foll:
 1. ignore i/p x , simulate M on w . if reject, then rejects x .
 2. if acc, then simulate M_L on x , acc iff M_L acc x .
- ▶ Thus M' either acc \emptyset or L depending on if M acc w .

Proof idea

Rice's Theorem

Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e. languages. Then

$\mathcal{L}_P = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \in P\}$ is undecidable.

- ▶ Let P be a non-trivial property of r.e. such that $\emptyset \notin P$.
- ▶ Since P is non-trivial, $\exists L, M_L$ with property P .
- ▶ If P is decidable, there exists an algo M_P for deciding P
- ▶ We combine M_L and M_P to get algo for A_{TM} .
 - ▶ For $\langle M, w \rangle$ i/p, design $\langle M' \rangle$, s.t $L(M') \in P$ iff M acc w .
 - ▶ M' is the foll:
 1. ignore i/p x , simulate M on w . if reject, then rejects x .
 2. if acc, then simulate M_L on x , acc iff M_L acc x .
 - ▶ Thus M' either acc \emptyset or L depending on if M acc w .
- ▶ Thus $\langle M' \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_P$ iff $L(M') \in P$ iff $L(M') = L$ iff M acc w .
- ▶ This gives an algo for A_{TM} so contradiction!

Proof idea

- ▶ But what if P has \emptyset in it!?

Proof idea

- ▶ But what if P has \emptyset in it!?
- ▶ Take \overline{P} .
- ▶ Now $\emptyset \notin \overline{P}$.

Proof idea

- ▶ But what if P has \emptyset in it!?
- ▶ Take \overline{P} .
- ▶ Now $\emptyset \notin \overline{P}$.
- ▶ Apply proof to get undecidability of $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{P}}$.
- ▶ Conclude undecidability of \mathcal{L}_P .

More “useful” undecidability?

Are only problems about Turing machines undecidable?

More “useful” undecidability?

Are only problems about Turing machines undecidable?

- ▶ Computers, C-programs, counter machines

More “useful” undecidability?

Are only problems about Turing machines undecidable?

- ▶ Computers, C-programs, counter machines But these are just Turing machines?

More “useful” undecidability?

Are only problems about Turing machines undecidable?

- ▶ Computers, C-programs, counter machines
- ▶ Problems on CFLs: Given CFG G , is $L(G) = \Sigma^*$?
- ▶ Problems on Tiling

More “useful” undecidability?

Are only problems about Turing machines undecidable?

- ▶ Computers, C-programs, counter machines
- ▶ Problems on CFLs: Given CFG G , is $L(G) = \Sigma^*$?
- ▶ Problems on Tiling
- ▶ Problems on String Matching

A simple programming exercise

A string matching problem

Given two lists $A = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ and $B = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, over the same alphabet, is there a sequence of combining elements that produces the same string in both lists?

A simple programming exercise

A string matching problem

Given two lists $A = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ and $B = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, over the same alphabet, is there a sequence of combining elements that produces the same string in both lists?

- ▶ Does there exist a finite sequence $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n$ such that

$$s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_m} = t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_m}$$

A simple programming exercise

A string matching problem

Given two lists $A = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ and $B = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, over the same alphabet, is there a sequence of combining elements that produces the same string in both lists?

- ▶ Does there exist a finite sequence $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n$ such that

$$s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_m} = t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_m}$$

Consider the following lists

- ▶ $A = \{110, 0011, 0110\}$ and $B = \{110110, 00, 110\}$

A simple programming exercise

A string matching problem

Given two lists $A = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ and $B = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, over the same alphabet, is there a sequence of combining elements that produces the same string in both lists?

- ▶ Does there exist a finite sequence $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n$ such that

$$s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_m} = t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_m}$$

Consider the following lists

- ▶ $A = \{110, 0011, 0110\}$ and $B = \{110110, 00, 110\}$ 2, 3, 1!

A simple programming exercise

A string matching problem

Given two lists $A = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ and $B = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, over the same alphabet, is there a sequence of combining elements that produces the same string in both lists?

- ▶ Does there exist a finite sequence $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n$ such that

$$s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_m} = t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_m}$$

Consider the following lists

- ▶ $A = \{110, 0011, 0110\}$ and $B = \{110110, 00, 110\}$ 2, 3, 1!
- ▶ $A = \{0011, 11, 1101\}$ and $B = \{101, 011, 110\}$

A simple programming exercise

A string matching problem

Given two lists $A = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ and $B = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, over the same alphabet, is there a sequence of combining elements that produces the same string in both lists?

- ▶ Does there exist a finite sequence $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n$ such that

$$s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_m} = t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_m}$$

Consider the following lists

- ▶ $A = \{110, 0011, 0110\}$ and $B = \{110110, 00, 110\}$ 2, 3, 1!
- ▶ $A = \{0011, 11, 1101\}$ and $B = \{101, 011, 110\}$
- ▶ $A = \{100, 0, 1\}$ and $B = \{1, 100, 0\}$

A simple programming exercise

A string matching problem

Given two lists $A = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ and $B = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, over the same alphabet, is there a sequence of combining elements that produces the same string in both lists?

- ▶ Does there exist a finite sequence $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n$ such that

$$s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_m} = t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_m}$$

Consider the following lists

- ▶ $A = \{110, 0011, 0110\}$ and $B = \{110110, 00, 110\}$ 2, 3, 1!
- ▶ $A = \{0011, 11, 1101\}$ and $B = \{101, 011, 110\}$
- ▶ $A = \{100, 0, 1\}$ and $B = \{1, 100, 0\}$

Can you write an algorithm for solving this?