



Computer Programming

Dr. Deepak B Phatak

Dr. Supratik Chakraborty

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

IIT Bombay

Session: Parameter Passing in Function Calls

Quick Recap of Relevant Topics



- Use of simple functions in programs
- Contract-centric view of programming with functions
- Flow of control in function call and return
- Activation records and call stack

Overview of This Lecture



- Paradigms of parameter passing in function calls
 - Call by value
 - Call by reference
- Functions without return values

Recall: Encoding Example



- We want to store quiz 1 and quiz 2 marks of CS101 students in an encoded form
- Encoding strategy:
The ordered pair of marks (m, n) is encoded as $2^m \times 3^n$
- Assume all marks are integers in $\{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$

Recall: C++ Program Structure



```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
int myEncode(int q1Marks,int q2Marks);
int power(int base, int exponent);
int main() { ...
    for ( ... ) { ...
        cipher = myEncode(q1Marks, q2Marks);
    ...
}
...
}
```

// PRECONDITION: ...

```
int myEncode(int q1Marks,
             int q2Marks)
{
    ...
    twoRaisedQ1 = power(2, q1Marks);
    threeRaisedQ2 = power(3, q2Marks);
    ...
}
```

// POSTCONDITION: ...

// PRECONDITION: ...

```
int power(int base, int exponent)
{
    ...
}
```


// POSTCONDITION: ...

Recall: Activation Records in Call Stack

When a function (**caller**) calls a function (**callee**)

- a **fresh** activation record for callee created
- **Values of function parameters from caller copied to space allocated for formal parameters of callee**
- PC of caller saved
- Other book-keeping information updated
- Activation record for callee pushed on call stack

```
int  
myEncode(int q1Marks, int q2Marks)  
{ ....  
    twoRaisedQ1 = power(2, q1Marks);  
...}
```



Call-by-Value Paradigm



Values of function parameters copied from activation record of caller to activation record of callee

Recall:

Formal parameters of callee (**power**) are its local variables

Not confused with parameters used in caller (**myEncode**) when invoking callee (**power**)

Only way in which callee (**power**) can let caller (**myEncode**) see effects of its computation is through return value of callee

Caveat When Using Call-by-Value



Any changes done on local variables of callee completely lost when callee returns to caller

Recall: Space for local variables of callee allocated in activation record of callee

Local variables of a function also called its **stack variables**

When callee returns to caller, activation record of callee freed up (lost forever!)

Program For Swapping Numbers



```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
```

Values of m and n as local variables of **swap**
(in **swap**'s activation record) are swapped

```
status = swap(a, b);
cout << "a: " << a << " b: " << b << endl;
return 0;
}
```

```
int swap(int m, int n)
{
    int temp;
    temp = m;
    m = n;
    n = temp;
    return 0;
}
```

How Could We Fix This?



```
#include <iostream>
```

Can we let the formal parameters refer to the variables used in **main** when calling **swap**?

Can caller and callee refer to the same variable?

```
}
```

```
int swap(int &m, int &n)
```

```
{
```

```
    int temp;  
    temp = m;  
    m = n;  
    n = temp;  
    return 0;  
}
```

Program For Swapping Numbers



```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
int swap(int &m, int &n);
int main() {
    m and n are NOT local variables of swap,
    but references (or aliases) to caller
    variables (a and b) used to pass parameters
    cout << "Enter value of a: ";
    cin >> a;
    cout << "Enter value of b: ";
    cin >> b;
    swap(a, b);
    cout << "Value of a after swap: " << a;
    cout << endl;
    cout << "Value of b after swap: " << b;
    cout << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

```
int swap(int &m, int &n)
{
    int temp;
    temp = m;
    m = n;
    n = temp;
    return 0;
}
```

Call-by-Reference Paradigm



```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
```

```
+
```

Since m and n are not local variables of swap, **no space allocated for m and n in activation record of swap**

Can lead to significant savings in memory required for call stack

```
}
```

```
}
```

Caveat When Using Call-by-Reference



Cannot pass a constant as parameter of a function called by reference (otherwise constant could be “changed” by callee)

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
int swap(int &m, int &n);
int main() { int status;
    cout << "Give an integer: "; cin >> a;
    status = swap(2, a);
    cout << "a: " << a << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

```
int swap(int &m, int &n)
{
    int temp;
    temp = m;
    m = n;
    n = temp;
    return 0;
}
```

Call-by-Value vs Call-by-Reference



- Call-by-reference allows functions to share variables
- Need to be careful
 - Inadvertent updates: **Formal parameters are not local variables, but shared with caller**
Variables declared in body of function are local variables
- Can save significant memory for activation records on call stack for deeply nested function calls

Call-by-Value vs Call-by-Reference



- Call-by-value by far the safest
 - No change to caller except through returned value
- Clean separation of variables of caller and callee
- Can lead to significant memory usage in activation records for deeply nested function calls
- Specific choice is context-dependent

Functions Not Returning Values



```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
```

No scope for errors here!
swap also not used to compute an
int result.

**Can we let swap return nothing
(no value)?**

```
}
```

```
int swap(int &m, int &n)
{
    int temp;
    temp = m;
    m = n;
    n = temp;
    return 0;
}
```

Functions Not Returning Values



```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
void swap(int &m, int &n);
int main()
{
    int status;
    cout << "Give two numbers: ";
    >> a >> b;
    status = swap(a, b);
    cout << "a: " << a << " b: " << b << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

Return type “void”

```
void swap(int &m, int &n)
{
    int temp;
    temp = m;
    m = n;
    n = temp;
    return;
}
```

Simply “return”
without argument

Summary



- Parameter passing in function calls
 - Call-by-value
 - Call-by-reference
- Caveats and benefits of each paradigm
- Functions without return values