

Mathematical Logic 2016

Lecture 22: Gödel's incompleteness theorem II

Instructor: Ashutosh Gupta

TIFR, India

Compile date: 2016-11-11

Where are we and where are we going?

We have seen

- ▶ Representable
- ▶ Numeralwise determined

We will see

- ▶ Gödel numbers
- ▶ encoding proofs using Gödel numbers
- ▶ recursive relations
- ▶ the incompleteness theorem

Topic 22.1

Road to Gödel numbering

Representable functions for numbering

We need to assign a unique number
to each variable, term, and formula
such that the set of proofs is representable.

Divisibility is representable

Theorem 22.1

$Div = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid b \bmod a = 0\}$ is representable.

Proof.

We can define div as follows.

$$div = \{(a, b) \mid \text{there is } x \text{ s.t. } a \cdot x = b\}$$

The above definition is representable. □

Exercise 22.1

Show the set of primes P is representable.

Consecutive primes

Theorem 22.2

The set of consecutive primes is representable.

Proof.

The following relation defines the set of consecutive primes.

$$Pair = \{(x, y) | x, y \in P \text{ and } x < y \text{ and for each } x < z < y \text{ s.t. } z \notin P\}$$



$(a + 1)$ th prime

Theorem 22.3

Let function $p(a)$ returns $a + 1$ th prime. p is representable.

Proof.

We use the following property of natural numbers.

$p(a) = b$ iff

$b \in P$ and $\exists z < b^{a^2}$ s.t.

1. $(2, z) \notin \text{div}$
2. for each q, r , if $q < r \leq b$ then $(q, r) \in \text{Pair}$ and
for each j , if $j < z$ then $(q^j, z) \in \text{div}$ iff $(r^{s(j)}, z) \in \text{div}$
3. $(b^a, z) \in \text{div}$ and $(b^{a+1}, z) \notin \text{div}$

We need to show that the above encoding indeed finds $a + 1$ th

$(a + 1)$ th prime

Proof.

Let b be $(a + 1)$ th prime then Let $z = 2^0 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 5^2 \dots \cdot b^a$.
 $z < b^{a^2}$

1. 2 does not divide z
2. every next prime divides one extra times
3. b^a divides z and b^{a+1} does not divide z

Other direction:

Let $p(a) = b$.

Due to condition 1-2, $i + 1$ th prime will divide z upto i th power.

Therefore $z = 2^0 \cdot \dots \cdot c^a \cdot \dots \cdot d^n$.

Due to the 3rd condition, b^a must divide z but not b^{a+1} .

Therefore, $b = c$. Hence, b is $a + 1$ th prime. □

Sequence encoding

Definition 22.1

A *sequence encoding* $en : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ maps strings of numbers to numbers as follows.

$$en(a_0, \dots, a_n) = p(0)^{a_0+1} \cdot \dots \cdot p(n)^{a_n+1}$$

Theorem 22.4

For each n , $en(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ is representable

Proof.

the previous theorem and function composition. □

Note: the theorem is parameterized by n . The whole en is not claimed to be representable. For each n , there is a representing formula.

Sequence decoder

Definition 22.2

A *sequence decoder* $de : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined as follows.

$$de(en(a_0, \dots, a_n), i) = a_i$$

Theorem 22.5

de is representable.

Proof.

Let $R = \{(a, i, n) \mid (a \bmod p(i)^{n+2}) \neq 0 \text{ or } a = 0\}$

Let $de(a, i) = \mu n (K_{\bar{R}}(a, i, n) = 0)$



Exercise 22.2

What is the output of $de(2^2 \cdot 5^2, 2)$?

Note: If the first parameter of de is not a sequence encoding for some sequence then it gives an arbitrary answer, which is allowed by the definition.

Sequence numbers

Definition 22.3

The *sequence numbers* set contains the numbers that are sequence encoding of some sequence.

Theorem 22.6

Sequence numbers set is representable.

Proof.

Let $R = \{(a, n) \mid (a \bmod p(n)) = 0 \text{ and } a \neq 0\}$

Let $R' = \{(a, n, n') \mid n' \leq n \text{ or } (a, n') \notin R\}$

Let $R'' = \{(a, n) \mid (a, n) \in R_{\forall} \text{ and } (a, n, a) \in R'_{\forall}\}$

$\text{sq} = \{a \mid \text{there is an } n < a \text{ s.t. } (a, n) \in R''\}$



Encoding length

Definition 22.4

Let lh be a function that takes sequence number and returns its length, i.e.,

$$lh(en(a_0, \dots, a_n)) = n$$

Theorem 22.7

lh is representable.

Proof.

$$lh(a) = \mu n. ((a \bmod p(n)) \neq 0)$$



Restriction function

Definition 22.5

Let *re* be a restriction function that is defined as follows.

$$re(en(a_0, \dots, a_n), i) = en(a_0, \dots, a_i)$$

Theorem 22.8

re is representable.

Proof.

Let $R = \{(a, i, n, k) \mid \text{if } (a \bmod p(i)^k = 0) \text{ then } (n \bmod p(i)^k = 0)\}$

Let $R' = \{(a, i, n) \mid a = 0 \text{ or, } n \neq 0 \text{ and } (a, i, n, a) \in R_{\forall}\}$

$re(a, i) = \mu n. ((a, i, n) \in R')$



Encoded Recursion

Definition 22.6

Let $\bar{f}(a, \vec{b}) = en(f(0, \vec{b}), \dots, f(a-1, \vec{b}))$

Theorem 22.9

For a function $g : \mathbb{N}^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, there is a unique function $f : \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$f(a, \vec{b}) = g(\bar{f}(a, \vec{b}), a, \vec{b})$$

f is called *encoded recursion function*. If g is representable then so is f .

Proof.

Since f is recursively constructed therefore unique.

Here is a definition of f in $m_{\mathbb{N}}$.

$$\bar{f}(a, \vec{b}) = \mu s. (\text{for each } i < a, de(s, i) = g(re(s, i), i, \vec{b}))$$

Therefore, f is representable (why?).



Primitive recursion

Theorem 22.10

If g and h are representable then so is f that is defined as follows

$$f(0, \vec{b}) = g(\vec{b}) \quad f(a, \vec{b}) = h(f(a-1, \vec{b}), a, \vec{b})$$

Proof.

We need to show that f is well defined, which is straightforward_(why?).

Here is a definition of f in $m_{\mathbb{N}}$ with the help of g' .

$$g'(a, i, b) = \begin{cases} g(\vec{b}) & i = 0 \\ h(f(a, i-1), i, \vec{b}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f(a, \vec{b}) = g'(\vec{f}(a, \vec{b}), a, \vec{b})$$

The constructions are numerically determined, therefore f is representable. \square

Exercise 22.3

Show if f is representable then so is $f'(a, \vec{b}) = \prod_{i < a} f(i, \vec{b})$

Concatenation

Definition 22.7

Let $a * b$ concatenates two sequence numbers, i.e.,

$$\text{en}(a_1, \dots, a_n) * \text{en}(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \text{en}(a_1, \dots, a_n b_1, \dots, b_n).$$

Theorem 22.11

$*$ is representable.

Proof.

Let us define

$$f(i, a, b) = p(i + lh(a))^{de(b, i) + 1}.$$

Here is a definition of $*$ in $m_{\mathbb{N}}$ with the help of f .

$$a * b = a \cdot \prod_{i < lh(b)} f(i, a, b)$$

Again, due to the construction $*$ is representable. □

Exercise 22.4

Show $*_{i < a} f(i) = f(0) * \dots * f(a - 1)$ is representable.

Topic 22.2

Gödel number

Show A_D is powerful

Our goal is to show that A_D has enough reasoning power for making claims about FOL reasoning over natural numbers.

For that we need to represent various objects of FOL reasoning within the language of A_D .

The object of concern are

- ▶ symbols in the signature
- ▶ variables
- ▶ terms, atoms, formulas
- ▶ proof steps
- ▶ proofs

Converting the above objects into numbers is called **Gödel numbering**.

Naturally, we want to number them in a way such that they are representable.

Numbering Logical connectives

We will assign a number to each symbol.

h	symbol	h	symbol
0	\neg	9	0
1	\wedge	10	s
2	\vee	11	$<$
3	\Rightarrow	12	$+$
4	\approx	13	\cdot
5	\exists	14	e
6	\forall	15	x_1
7	$($	17	x_2
8	$)$		\vdots

$$h(x_i) = 13 + 2i$$

representable symbols

The following are general definitions wrt any signature.

Definition 22.8

$$\mathit{funcs} = \{(k, n) \mid h(f) = k \text{ and } f/n \in \mathbf{F}\}$$

We assume funcs is representable.

Definition 22.9

$$\mathit{pds} = \{(k, n) \mid h(p) = k \text{ and } p/n \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

We assume pds is representable.

In our setting, funcs and pds are finite, therefore representable.

Gödel number of expressions

We will assign a Gödel number to every expression.

Definition 22.10

For an expression $e = s_1 \dots s_n$, a *Gödel number* $\#e$ is defined as follows.

$$\#e = en(h(s_1), \dots, h(s_n))$$

Example 22.1

1. $\#0 = en(9) = 2^{9+1}$
2. $\#s(0) = en(10, 9) = 2^{10+1} \cdot 3^{9+1}$
3. $\# \approx (0, x_1) = en(4, 9, 15) = 2^{4+1} \cdot 3^{9+1} \cdot 5^{15+1}$

Note that we do not count parenthesis within terms.

Example 22.2

1. $\#\forall x_1. (\exists x_2. \neg \approx (s(x_1), x_2)) = en(6, 15, 7, 5, 17, 0, 4, 10, 15, 17, 8)$

Note that we count parenthesis separating parts of formula because they play a meaning full role.

Gödel numbers for set and sequence of expressions

Definition 22.11

For a set of expressions Σ , we assign as set of Gödel numbers.

$$\#\Sigma = \{\#e \mid e \in \Sigma\}$$

Definition 22.12

For a sequence of expressions e_1, \dots, e_n , we assign a single Gödel number.

$$\#(e_1, \dots, e_n) = en(\#e_1, \dots, \#e_n)$$

Gödel number: variables

Theorem 22.12

The set of Gödel numbers of variables are representable.

Proof.

$$V = \{a \mid \exists b < a. a = en(15 + 2b)\}$$



First time we are using \exists symbol in a proof of a metatheorem! This \exists is not same as the formal \exists

Theorem 22.13

Consider function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $f(n) = \#s^n(0)$. f is representable.

Proof.

We may define the function using primitive recursion.

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= en(h(0)) \\ f(n) &= en(h(s)) * f(n-1) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, f is representable.



Gödel number: terms

Theorem 22.14

The set of Gödel numbers of terms Trs is representable.

Proof.

Let us define the characteristic function for Trs as follows.

$$K_{Trs}(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in V \\ 1 & \text{if } \exists i < a^{lh(a)}, k < a \text{ s.t. } sq(i) \text{ and} \\ & \forall j < lh(i). K_{Trs}(de(i, j)) = 1 \text{ and} \\ & (k, lh(i)) \in funcs \text{ and } a = en(k) * \ast_{j < lh(i)} de(i, j) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

claim: search for i upto $a^{lh(a)}$ finds a satisfying i if $a \in Trs$.

Let us suppose $\#s(t_1, \dots, t_n) = a$

Then $i = 2^{\#t_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p(n-1)^{\#t_n}$

$$\leq 2^a \cdot \dots \cdot p(n-1)^a \leq 2^a \cdot \dots \cdot p(lh(a)-1)^a \leq \underbrace{a^a \cdot \dots \cdot a^a}_{lh(a) \text{ times}} \leq a^{a \cdot lh(a)}$$

□

Exercise 22.5

Translate the above definition into the encoded recursion. Hint: Find a proper g

Gödel number: atoms

Theorem 22.15

The set of Gödel numbers of atoms Ats is representable.

Proof.

Let us define the characteristic function for Ats as follows.

$$K_{Ats}(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \exists i < a^{lh(a)}, k < a \text{ s.t. } sq(i), \\ & \forall j < lh(i).de(i,j) \in Trs, \\ & (k, lh(i)) \in prds, \text{ and } a = en(k) * \ast_{j < lh(i)} de(i,j) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Rest is similar argument as the previous theorem. However, there is no recursion here. □

Gödel number: formulas

Theorem 22.16

The set of Gödel numbers of formulas $Frms$ is representable.

Proof.

Let us define the characteristic function for $Frms$ as follows.

$$K_{Frms}(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in Ats \\ 1 & \text{if } \exists i < a, \text{ s.t. } i \in Frs \text{ and } a = en(h(\neg)) * op * i * cl \\ 1 & \text{if } \exists i, j < a, \text{ s.t. } i, j \in Frs \text{ and } a = op * i * en(h(\circ)) * j * cl \\ 1 & \text{if } \exists i, j < a, \text{ s.t. } i \in V \text{ and } j \in Frs \text{ and } a = en(h(\forall)) * i * op * j * cl \\ 1 & \text{if } \exists i, j < a, \text{ s.t. } i \in V \text{ and } j \in Frs \text{ and } a = en(h(\exists)) * i * op * j * cl \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where \circ is some boolean binary operator, $op = en(h(()))$ and $cl = en(h()))$



Topic 22.3

Encoding proofs

Substitution

Theorem 22.17

$$\text{sub}(\#F(x), \#x, \#t) = \#F(t)$$

Proof.

sub is recursively defined.

$\text{sub}(a, b, c) =$

1. c if $a \in V$ and $a = b$
2. $\text{en}(k) * \ast_{j < lh(i)} \text{sub}(\text{de}(i, j), b, c)$ if $i < a^{a \cdot lh(a)}$, $k < a$, for each $j < lh(i)$, $\text{de}(i, j) \in Trs$ and $(k, lh(i)) \in \text{funcs} \cup prds$
3. $\text{en}(h(\forall)) * i * op * \text{sub}(j, b, c) * cl$ if $i, j < a$, $i \in V$, $j \in Frms$, and $i \neq b$
4. ... similarly for boolean operators and existential quantifier...
5. a , otherwise



Gödel number: variable occurs

Definition 22.13

Let $Oc = \{(\#F, \#x) \mid x \text{ occurs in } F\}$

Theorem 22.18

Oc is representable.

Proof.

$(a, b) \in Oc$ iff $Sb(a, b, \#0) \neq a$



Theorem 22.19

Let $snts$ is the set of Gödel numbers of sentences. $snts$ is representable.

Proof.

$snts = \{a \mid a \in frms \text{ and } \forall b < a. \text{ if } b \in V \text{ then } (a, b) \notin Oc\}$



Recall : Resolution proofs

Definition 22.14

A *resolution derivation* R for a set of **S**-sentences Σ is a finite sequence of clauses that are generated by the following resolution expansion rules.

$$\text{INTRO} \frac{}{\{F\}} F \in \Sigma \quad \text{DB-NEG} \frac{\{\neg\neg F\} \cup C}{\{F\} \cup C} \quad \alpha\text{-RULE} \frac{\{\alpha\} \cup C}{\{\alpha_1\} \cup C \quad \{\alpha_2\} \cup C}$$

$$\beta\text{-RULE} \frac{\{\beta\} \cup C}{\{\beta_1, \beta_2\} \cup C} \quad \text{RES} \frac{\{\neg F\} \cup C \quad \{F\} \cup D}{C \cup D}$$

$$\gamma\text{-RULE} \frac{\{\gamma\} \cup C}{\{\gamma(t)\} \cup C} t \in \hat{T}_{\text{Spar}} \quad \delta\text{-RULE} \frac{\{\delta\} \cup C}{\{\delta(c)\} \cup C} \text{fresh } c \in \mathbf{par}$$

$$\text{REF} \frac{}{\{t \approx t\}} t \in \hat{T}_{\text{Spar}} \quad \text{REPLACE} \frac{\{t \approx u\} \cup C \quad \{F(t)\} \cup D}{\{F(u)\} \cup C \cup D}$$

Some changes in resolution derivation

To enable encoding of the derivation, we need to make the following changes in resolution proof system

- ▶ A clause is viewed as a sequence not a set
- ▶ Due to the above change, we need a factoring rule.

$$\text{FACTOR} \frac{C \vee F \vee D \vee F \vee E}{C \vee F \vee D \vee E}$$

- ▶ We assume each derivation is for some theorem $\Sigma \vdash_r F$ and $\neg F$ is introduced first in the derivation.

Recognizing proof steps

Definition 22.15

For each resolution proof RULE. Let $\# \text{RULE}$ be a relation s.t.

$$\text{RULE} \frac{C_1..C_k}{C} \quad \text{iff} \quad (\#C_1, \dots, \#C_k, \#C) \in \# \text{RULE}.$$

Theorem 22.20

$\# \text{RULE}$ is representable.

Proof.

We show a couple of examples. Rest should follow similarly.

case $(a, b) \in \# \text{DB-NEG}$:

$lh(a) = lh(b)$ for some $i < lh(a)$, $de(a, i) = en(\neg) * en(\neg) * de(b, i)$ and

for each $i \neq j < lh(a)$, $de(a, j) = de(b, j)$

case $(\) \# \delta\text{-NEG}$ $lh(a) = lh(b)$ for some $i < lh(a)$,



Exercise 22.6

Finish the above case

Gödel number: proofs

Theorem 22.21

For a finite set of sentences Σ the set of resolution proofs are representable

$$\text{proofs}(\Sigma) = \{\#Pr \mid \text{There is a } F \text{ s.t. } Pr \text{ is a resolution proof for } \Sigma \vdash_r F\}$$

Proof.

Our goal is to check proofs. Let $r \in \text{proofs}(\Sigma)$.

We need to show

1. $de(r, 0) \in \text{stncls}$
2. $last(r) = 1$ encoding empty clause

For each $0 < i < lh(r)$, $j = de(r, i)$, we need to show either of the following

3. $j \in \#\Sigma = \#\text{INTRO}$
4. $(de(r, i_1), \dots, de(r, i_k), j) \in \#\text{RULE}$, for some RULE and $i_1, \dots, i_k < i$



Topic 22.4

Recursive Relations

Recursive relations

Definition 22.16

A relation $R \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is *recursive* if it is representable in some consistent finitely axiomatizable theory.

Theorem 22.22

Let R be a relation. If R is recursive then R is decidable.

Proof.

The members of axiomatizable theory are enumerable. (Recall)

Let $F(\vec{x})$ represents R in the theory.

Consider $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Therefore, either $F(s^{\vec{a}}(0))$ or $\neg F(s^{\vec{a}}(0))$ in the theory.

Since the theory is consistent, only one of the two can be in the theory.

Therefore, either of the two will eventually occur in the enumeration.

Hence, R is decidable. □

Recursive relations are representable in \mathcal{T}_D

Theorem 22.23

A relation R is recursive iff R is representable in \mathcal{T}_D .

Proof.

The cumbersome construction culminates here.

forward direction:

Let R is represented by $F(\vec{x})$ in consistent finitely axiomatizable theory A .
Let

$f(\vec{a}) = \min\{d \mid d \in \text{proofs}(A) \text{ and } de(d, 0) = \#F(s^{\vec{a}}(0)) \text{ or } \#\neg F(s^{\vec{a}}(0))\}.$

$$\vec{a} \in R \quad \text{iff} \quad de(f(\vec{a}), 0) = \#\neg F(s^{\vec{a}}(0))$$

Since R is decidable, RHS is representable in \mathcal{T}_D (why?).

backward direction: claim is immediate. □

Now we can use representable and recursive synonymously.

Exercise 22.7

Any recursive relation R is definable in $m_{\mathbb{N}}$.

Definable

$\#Cn(A)$ may not
be recursive

Theorem 22.24

Let A be a set of sentences s.t. $\#A$ is recursive. $\#Cn(A)$ is definable.

Proof.

$a \in \#Cn(A)$ iff there is d s.t. $d \in proofs(A)$, $en(h(\neg)) * a = de(d, 0)$, and $a \in frms$. □

Since there is **no upper bound** on d , $\#Cn(A)$ is definable but not recursive.

Topic 22.5

Incompleteness theorem

Fixed point lemma

Theorem 22.25

For a formula $F(x)$ (single free variable), there is a sentence G s.t.

$$A_D \vdash (G \Leftrightarrow F(s^{\#G}(0)))$$

Proof.

Consider a function $f : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ that satisfies $f(\#H(x), n) = \#H(s^n(0))$.

f is functionally representable in A_D (why?).

Let $F'(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ functionally represents f .

Now consider

$$F''(x_1) \triangleq \forall x_3. (F'(x_1, x_1, x_3) \Rightarrow F(x_3))$$

Let $q = \#F''(x_1)$. We define

$$G \triangleq F''(q) = \forall x_3. (F'(q, q, x_3) \Rightarrow F(x_3)).$$

Fixed point lemma (contd.)

Proof(contd.)

We know

$$A_D \vdash \forall y. (F'(q, q, y) \Leftrightarrow y \approx s^{\#G}(0)) \quad (*)$$

claim: $A_D \vdash G \Rightarrow F(s^{\#G}(0))$

- ▶ Using backward implication in (*), $A_D \vdash F'(q, q, s^{\#G}(0))$.
- ▶ Therefore, $A_D \cup \{G\} \vdash F(s^{\#G}(0))$.
- ▶ Therefore, $A_D \vdash G \Rightarrow F(s^{\#G}(0))$.

claim: $A_D \vdash F(s^{\#G}(0)) \Rightarrow G$

- ▶ Due to the fwd implication in (*), $A_D \cup \{F'(q, q, y)\} \vdash y \approx s^{\#G}(0)$
- ▶ Therefore, $A_D \cup \{F'(q, q, y), F(s^{\#G}(0))\} \vdash F(y)$
- ▶ Therefore, $A_D \cup \{F(s^{\#G}(0))\} \vdash \underbrace{\forall y. (F'(q, q, y) \Rightarrow F(y))}_G$

□

Gödel's Incompleteness theorem

Theorem 22.26

For each recursive $A \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{N}}$, there is a sentence G s.t. $m_{\mathbb{N}} \models G$ and $A \not\models G$

Proof.

Since A is recursive, there is a formula $F(x)$ that defines $\#Cn(A)$ in $m_{\mathbb{N}}$.

Defines not represents

Due to the fixed point lemma, there is G s.t.

$$A_D \vdash (G \Leftrightarrow \neg F(s^{\#G}(0))).$$

Therefore, $m_{\mathbb{N}} \models (G \Leftrightarrow \neg F(s^{\#G}(0)))$.

two cases

$m_{\mathbb{N}} \not\models G$ and $m_{\mathbb{N}} \models F(s^{\#G}(0))$

Therefore, $G \in Cn(A)$ (why?)

$m_{\mathbb{N}} \models G$. **Contradiction.**

$m_{\mathbb{N}} \models G$ and $m_{\mathbb{N}} \models \neg F(s^{\#G}(0))$

Therefore, $G \notin Cn(A)$

$A \not\models G$. □

End of Lecture 22