Automated reasoning 2016

Lecture 2: Theory of linear rational arithmetic (LRA)

Instructor: Ashutosh Gupta

TIFR, India

Compile date: 2016-02-26



Where are we and where are we going?

We have

► We will



Topic 2.1

Theory of rational linear arithmetic



Rational linear arithmetic

Rational linear arithmetic: quantifier-free first order formulas with structure $\Sigma = (\{+/2, 0, 1, ...\}, \{</2\})$ with a set of axioms

Note: We have seen the axioms in the previous course.



Rational linear arithmetic

Rational linear arithmetic: quantifier-free first order formulas with structure $\Sigma = (\{+/2, 0, 1, ...\}, \{</2\})$ with a set of axioms Note: We have seen the axioms in the previous course.

We will discuss a number of methods to find satisfiability of conjunction of linear inequalities.

- Fourier-Motzkin
- Simplex

We will not cover following methods

- Loos-Weispfenning quantifier elimination
- Omega test method
- Elliposid method
- Kermakar's method



Rational linear arithmetic

Rational linear arithmetic: quantifier-free first order formulas with structure $\Sigma = (\{+/2, 0, 1, ...\}, \{</2\})$ with a set of axioms Note: We have seen the axioms in the previous course.

We will discuss a number of methods to find satisfiability of conjunction of linear inequalities.

- Fourier-Motzkin
- Simplex

We will not cover following methods

- Loos-Weispfenning quantifier elimination
- Omega test method
- Elliposid method
- Kermakar's method

We present the above methods using non-strict linear inequalities. However, they are extendable to strict inequalities, equalities, dis-equalities. $\Theta \oplus \Theta$

The algorithm proceeds by eliminating variables one by one. After eliminating all the variables, if the input reduces to \top then only the input is satisfiable.



The algorithm proceeds by eliminating variables one by one. After eliminating all the variables, if the input reduces to \top then only the input is satisfiable.

For each variable x, any conjunction of linear inequalities can be transformed into the following form.

$$\bigwedge_{j=1}^m s_j \leq x \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^l x \leq t_i \wedge \bigwedge_{k=1}^n u_k \leq 0$$



The algorithm proceeds by eliminating variables one by one. After eliminating all the variables, if the input reduces to \top then only the input is satisfiable.

For each variable x, any conjunction of linear inequalities can be transformed into the following form.



The algorithm proceeds by eliminating variables one by one. After eliminating all the variables, if the input reduces to \top then only the input is satisfiable.

For each variable x, any conjunction of linear inequalities can be transformed into the following form.



The algorithm proceeds by eliminating variables one by one. After eliminating all the variables, if the input reduces to \top then only the input is satisfiable.

For each variable x, any conjunction of linear inequalities can be transformed into the following form.

Exercise 2.1

a. Add support for equality, dis-equality, and strict inequalities

b. What is the complexity?



Example 2.1

Consider: $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_2 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_3 \le 0 \land 1 - x_3 \le -1$



Example 2.1

Consider: $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_2 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_3 \le 0 \land 1 - x_3 \le -1$

Suppose we eliminate x_1 first. We transform the constraints into our format.



Example 2.1

Consider: $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_2 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_3 \le 0 \land 1 - x_3 \le -1$

Suppose we eliminate x_1 first. We transform the constraints into our format. $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_1$ x_1 lower bounded



Example 2.1

Consider: $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_2 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_3 \le 0 \land 1 - x_3 \le -1$

Suppose we eliminate x_1 first. We transform the constraints into our format. $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_1 \land (x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$

 x_1 lower bounded x_1 upper bounded



Example 2.1

Consider: $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_2 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_3 \le 0 \land 1 - x_3 \le -1$

Suppose we eliminate x_1 first. We transform the constraints into our format. $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_1$ x_1 lower bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 upper bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 does not occur



Example 2.1

Consider: $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_2 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_3 \le 0 \land 1 - x_3 \le -1$

Suppose we eliminate x_1 first. We transform the constraints into our format. $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_1$ x_1 lower bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 upper bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 does not occur

Eliminated constraints: $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_2 \land x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_3 \land -x_3 + 1 \le 0$



Example 2.1

Consider: $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_2 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_3 \le 0 \land 1 - x_3 \le -1$

Suppose we eliminate x_1 first. We transform the constraints into our format. $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_1$ x_1 lower bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 upper bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 does not occur

Eliminated constraints: $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_2 \land x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_3 \land -x_3 + 1 \le 0$

After simplification: $x_3 \leq 0 \land x_2 + x_3 \leq 0 \land -x_3 + 1 \leq 0$



Example 2.1

Consider: $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_2 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_3 \le 0 \land 1 - x_3 \le -1$

Suppose we eliminate x_1 first. We transform the constraints into our format. $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_1$ x_1 lower bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 upper bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 does not occur

Eliminated constraints: $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_2 \land x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_3 \land -x_3 + 1 \le 0$

After simplification: $x_3 \leq 0 \land x_2 + x_3 \leq 0 \land -x_3 + 1 \leq 0$

Since x_2 is bounded only from one side, we can trivially eliminate $x_2 \colon x_3 \le 0 \land -x_3 + 1 \le 0$



Example 2.1

Consider: $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_2 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_3 \le 0 \land 1 - x_3 \le -1$

Suppose we eliminate x_1 first. We transform the constraints into our format. $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_1$ x_1 lower bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 upper bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 does not occur

Eliminated constraints: $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_2 \land x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_3 \land -x_3 + 1 \le 0$

After simplification: $x_3 \leq 0 \land x_2 + x_3 \leq 0 \land -x_3 + 1 \leq 0$

Since x_2 is bounded only from one side, we can trivially eliminate x_2 : $x_3 \leq 0 \wedge -x_3 + 1 \leq 0$

Eliminating x_3 : $1 \le 0$



Example 2.1

Consider: $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_2 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_3 \le 0 \land 1 - x_3 \le -1$

Suppose we eliminate x_1 first. We transform the constraints into our format. $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_1$ x_1 lower bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 upper bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 does not occur

Eliminated constraints: $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_2 \land x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_3 \land -x_3 + 1 \le 0$

After simplification: $x_3 \leq 0 \land x_2 + x_3 \leq 0 \land -x_3 + 1 \leq 0$

Since x_2 is bounded only from one side, we can trivially eliminate x_2 : $x_3 \leq 0 \wedge -x_3 + 1 \leq 0$

Eliminating x_3 : $1 \le 0 \leftarrow$ false formula therefore unsat



Example 2.1

Consider: $-x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_2 \le 0 \land x_1 - x_3 \le 0 \land 1 - x_3 \le -1$

Suppose we eliminate x_1 first. We transform the constraints into our format. $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_1$ x_1 lower bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 upper bounded $(x_1 \le x_2 \land x_1 \le x_3)$ x_1 does not occur

Eliminated constraints: $x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_2 \land x_2 + 2x_3 \le x_3 \land -x_3 + 1 \le 0$

After simplification: $x_3 \leq 0 \land x_2 + x_3 \leq 0 \land -x_3 + 1 \leq 0$

Since x_2 is bounded only from one side, we can trivially eliminate x_2 : $x_3 \leq 0 \wedge -x_3 + 1 \leq 0$

Eliminating x_3 : $1 \le 0 \leftarrow$ false formula therefore unsat

Both complexity and practical performance of the algorithm are bad.



Topic 2.2

Simplex



Simplex was originally for linear optimization

A variation of simplex is used to check satisfiablity, which is called incremental simplex

 incremental simplex takes atoms one by one and finds satisfying assignment of so for taken atoms before processing the next atom.



Incremental simplex as theory solver

push(): Add new atom to the simplex state.



Incremental simplex as theory solver

push(): Add new atom to the simplex state.

pop(): inexpensive operation (we will see the details)



Incremental simplex as theory solver

- push(): Add new atom to the simplex state.
- pop(): inexpensive operation (we will see the details)
- unsatCore(): again inexpensive operation



Consider the following constraints in matrix form

 $Ax \leq b$,

where A is a $m \times n$ matrix.



Consider the following constraints in matrix form

$$Ax \leq b$$
,

where A is a $m \times n$ matrix.

By introducing fresh variables, we transform the above into

$$\begin{bmatrix} -I & A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ x \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ and } s \leq b.$$



Consider the following constraints in matrix form

$$Ax \leq b$$
,

where A is a $m \times n$ matrix.

By introducing fresh variables, we transform the above into

$$\begin{bmatrix} -I & A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ x \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ and } s \leq b.$$

s are called slack variables. Since there is no reason to distinguish *x* and *s* in simplex, *A* will refer to $\begin{bmatrix} -I & A \end{bmatrix}$ and *x* will refer to $\begin{bmatrix} s \\ x \end{bmatrix}$.



Consider the following constraints in matrix form

$$Ax \leq b$$
,

where A is a $m \times n$ matrix.

 Θ

By introducing fresh variables, we transform the above into

$$\begin{bmatrix} -I & A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ x \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ and } s \leq b.$$

s are called slack variables. Since there is no reason to distinguish x and s in simplex, A will refer to $\begin{bmatrix} -I & A \end{bmatrix}$ and x will refer to $\begin{bmatrix} s \\ x \end{bmatrix}$.

The above constraints will be denoted by

$$Ax = 0 \text{ and } \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m+n} l_i \le x_i \le u_i.$$

$$l_i \text{ and } u_i \text{ are } +\infty \text{ and } -\infty \text{ if there is no lower and upper bound, respectively.}$$

$$Ax = 0 \text{ and } \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m+n} l_i \leq x_i \leq u_i$$

• A is $m \times (m + n)$ matrix.



$$Ax = 0$$
 and $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m+n} I_i \leq x_i \leq u_i$

- A is $m \times (m + n)$ matrix.
- Since Ax = 0 defines an n-dim subspace in (m + n)-dim space, if we choose values of n variables then we fix values of the other m variables.



$$Ax = 0$$
 and $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m+n} l_i \leq x_i \leq u_i$

- A is $m \times (m + n)$ matrix.
- Since Ax = 0 defines an n-dim subspace in (m + n)-dim space, if we choose values of n variables then we fix values of the other m variables.
- We will refer to *i*th column of A as the column corresponding to x_i .



$$Ax = 0$$
 and $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m+n} l_i \leq x_i \leq u_i$

- A is $m \times (m + n)$ matrix.
- Since Ax = 0 defines an n-dim subspace in (m + n)-dim space, if we choose values of n variables then we fix values of the other m variables.
- We will refer to *i*th column of A as the column corresponding to x_i .

Example 2.2

Consider: $-x + y \le -2 \land x \le 3$



$$Ax = 0$$
 and $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m+n} l_i \leq x_i \leq u_i$

- A is $m \times (m + n)$ matrix.
- Since Ax = 0 defines an n-dim subspace in (m + n)-dim space, if we choose values of n variables then we fix values of the other m variables.
- We will refer to *i*th column of A as the column corresponding to x_i .

Example 2.2

- *Consider:* $-x + y \le -2 \land x \le 3$
- We have slack variables s_1 and s_2 .


Simplex - notation (contd.)

$$Ax = 0$$
 and $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m+n} l_i \leq x_i \leq u_i$

- A is $m \times (m + n)$ matrix.
- Since Ax = 0 defines an n-dim subspace in (m + n)-dim space, if we choose values of n variables then we fix values of the other m variables.
- We will refer to *i*th column of A as the column corresponding to x_i .

Example 2.2

Consider: $-x + y \le -2 \land x \le 3$

We have slack variables s_1 and s_2 . In matrix form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ -\frac{s}{x} \\ y \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} s_1 \leq -2 \\ s_2 \leq 3 \end{array}$$



Definition 2.1

Simplex assumes all the columns of -I occur in A.



Definition 2.1

Simplex assumes all the columns of -I occur in A. The variables corresponding to the columns are called basic variables. Others are called nonbasic variables.



Definition 2.1

Simplex assumes all the columns of -I occur in A. The variables corresponding to the columns are called basic variables. Others are called nonbasic variables.

Note: the assumption is true about initial A



Definition 2.1

Simplex assumes all the columns of -I occur in A. The variables corresponding to the columns are called basic variables. Others are called nonbasic variables.

Note: the assumption is true about initial A

Definition 2.2 Let B be the set of indexes for basic variables and $NB \triangleq 1..n - B$. For $j \in B$, let k_j be a row s.t. $A_{k_jj} = -1$.



Definition 2.1

Simplex assumes all the columns of -I occur in A. The variables corresponding to the columns are called basic variables. Others are called nonbasic variables.

Note: the assumption is true about initial A

Definition 2.2 Let B be the set of indexes for basic variables and $NB \triangleq 1..n - B$. For $j \in B$, let k_j be a row s.t. $A_{k_j j} = -1$.

Example 2.3

$$\left[\begin{array}{rrrr} -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{rrrr} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ x \\ y \end{array}\right] = 0 \qquad \qquad s_1 \leq -2 \\ s_2 \leq 3 \qquad \qquad s_2 \leq 3$$

Currently, s_1 and s_2 are basic and x and y are nonbasic.



Definition 2.1

Simplex assumes all the columns of -I occur in A. The variables corresponding to the columns are called basic variables. Others are called nonbasic variables.

Note: the assumption is true about initial A

Definition 2.2 Let B be the set of indexes for basic variables and $NB \triangleq 1..n - B$. For $j \in B$, let k_j be a row s.t. $A_{k_j j} = -1$.

Example 2.3

$$\left[\begin{array}{rrrr} -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{rrrr} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ x \\ y \end{array}\right] = 0 \qquad \qquad s_1 \leq -2 \\ s_2 \leq 3 \qquad \qquad s_2 \leq 3$$

Currently, s_1 and s_2 are basic and x and y are nonbasic. B = {1,2}, NB = {3,4}, $k_1 = 1$, and $k_2 = 2$.

Definition 2.3

Simplex maintains current assignment $v : x \to \mathbb{Q}$ s.t.

- ► Av = 0,
- nonbasic variables satisfy their bounds, and,
- consequently values for basic variables in v are fixed and v may violate a bound of at most one basic variable.



Definition 2.3

Simplex maintains current assignment $v : x \to \mathbb{Q}$ s.t.

- ► Av = 0,
- nonbasic variables satisfy their bounds, and,
- consequently values for basic variables in v are fixed and v may violate a bound of at most one basic variable.



Explained later

Definition 2.3

Simplex maintains current assignment $v : x \to \mathbb{Q}$ s.t.

- \blacktriangleright Av = 0.
- nonbasic variables satisfy their bounds, and,
- consequently values for basic variables in v are fixed and v may violate a bound of at most one basic variable.

Example 2.4

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ \frac{s_2}{-\frac{1}{X}} \\ y \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad \qquad s_1 \le -2 \\ s_2 \le 3$$



3

Explained later

Definition 2.3

Simplex maintains current assignment $v : x \to \mathbb{Q}$ s.t.

- ► Av = 0,
- nonbasic variables satisfy their bounds, and,
- consequently values for basic variables in v are fixed and v may violate a bound of at most one basic variable.

Example 2.4

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ -\frac{1}{x} \\ y \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad \qquad s_1 \le -2 \\ s_2 \le 3$$

Initially, $v = \{\underbrace{x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 0}_{, s_1 \mapsto 0, s_2 \mapsto 0\}$

Choose values for nonbasic variables, others follow!



Explained later

Definition 2.3

Simplex maintains current assignment $v : x \to \mathbb{Q}$ s.t.

- ► Av = 0,
- nonbasic variables satisfy their bounds, and,
- consequently values for basic variables in v are fixed and v may violate a bound of at most one basic variable.

Example 2.4

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ -\frac{s_1}{x} \\ y \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Initially,
$$v = \{ \underbrace{x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 0}_{, s_1 \mapsto 0, s_2 \mapsto 0 \}$$

Choose values for nonbasic variables, others follow!



Explained later

Currently violated

 $s_1 \le -2$ $s_2 < 3$

Simplex - state

For variable $i \in NB$, $v(x_i)$ is equal to one of the existing bounds of x_i and if no bounds exists for x_i then $v(x_i) = 0$.

Simplex - state

For variable $i \in NB$, $v(x_i)$ is equal to one of the existing bounds of x_i and if no bounds exists for x_i then $v(x_i) = 0$.

Definition 2.4 A bound on x_i is called active if $v(x_i)$ is equal to the bound. We will mark the active bounds by *.

Definition 2.5

The NB set and bound activity defines the current state of simplex.



Simplex - state

For variable $i \in NB$, $v(x_i)$ is equal to one of the existing bounds of x_i and if no bounds exists for x_i then $v(x_i) = 0$.

Definition 2.4 A bound on x_i is called active if $v(x_i)$ is equal to the bound. We will mark the active bounds by *.

Definition 2.5

The NB set and bound activity defines the current state of simplex.

Example 2.5

$$\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr} -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{rrrr} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ x \\ y \end{array}\right] = 0 \qquad \begin{array}{rrrr} s_1 \leq -2 \\ s_2 \leq 3 \end{array}$$

Since all nonbasic variables have no bounds, no bound is marked active.



If v violates a bound constraint of some basic variable, then simplex correct it by applying pivot operation

Definition 2.6

Let us suppose x_i is basic, column j has -1 at row k, and x_i is nonbasic.





If v violates a bound constraint of some basic variable, then simplex correct it by applying pivot operation

Definition 2.6

Let us suppose x_j is basic, column j has -1 at row k, and x_i is nonbasic. A pivot operation between i and j exchanges the roll between x_i and x_j .





If v violates a bound constraint of some basic variable, then simplex correct it by applying pivot operation

Definition 2.6

Let us suppose x_j is basic, column j has -1 at row k, and x_i is nonbasic. A pivot operation between i and j exchanges the roll between x_i and x_j . The pivot operation applies row operations until column i has -1 at row k and all other entries in the column are zero.





If v violates a bound constraint of some basic variable, then simplex correct it by applying pivot operation

Definition 2.6

Let us suppose x_j is basic, column j has -1 at row k, and x_i is nonbasic. A pivot operation between i and j exchanges the roll between x_i and x_j . The pivot operation applies row operations until column i has -1 at row kand all other entries in the column are zero.





Wlog, let $1 \in B$, $k_1 = 1$, and $v(x_1)$ violates u_1 . We need to decrease $v(x_1)$. We call $v(x_1) - u_1$ violation difference.

- Wlog, let $1 \in B$, $k_1 = 1$, and $v(x_1)$ violates u_1 . We need to decrease $v(x_1)$. We call $v(x_1) - u_1$ violation difference.
- Since $x_1 = \sum_{i \in NB} a_{1i}x_i$, we need to change $v(x_i)$ of some x_i s.t. $a_{1i}x_i$ decreases



- Wlog, let $1 \in B$, $k_1 = 1$, and $v(x_1)$ violates u_1 . We need to decrease $v(x_1)$. We call $v(x_1) - u_1$ violation difference.
- Since $x_1 = \sum_{i \in NB} a_{1i}x_i$, we need to change $v(x_i)$ of some x_i s.t. $a_{1i}x_i$ decreases

Definition 2.7

- A column $i \in NB$ is suitable if
 - ► x_i is unbounded,
 - $x_i = u_i$ and $a_{1i} > 0$, or
 - ▶ $x_i = l_i$ and $a_{1i} < 0$.
- *i* is selected suitable column if *i* is the smallest suitable column.



- Wlog, let $1 \in B$, $k_1 = 1$, and $v(x_1)$ violates u_1 . We need to decrease $v(x_1)$. We call $v(x_1) - u_1$ violation difference.
- Since $x_1 = \sum_{i \in NB} a_{1i}x_i$, we need to change $v(x_i)$ of some x_i s.t. $a_{1i}x_i$ decreases

Definition 2.7

A column $i \in NB$ is suitable if

► x_i is unbounded,

•
$$x_i = u_i$$
 and $a_{1i} > 0$, or

i is selected suitable column if i is the smallest suitable column.

Example 2.6

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad s_1 \le -2 \\ s_2 \le 3$$

Column 3 and 4 are suitable.

- Wlog, let $1 \in B$, $k_1 = 1$, and $v(x_1)$ violates u_1 . We need to decrease $v(x_1)$. We call $v(x_1) - u_1$ violation difference.
- Since $x_1 = \sum_{i \in NB} a_{1i}x_i$, we need to change $v(x_i)$ of some x_i s.t. $a_{1i}x_i$ decreases

Definition 2.7

A column $i \in NB$ is suitable if

► x_i is unbounded,

•
$$x_i = u_i$$
 and $a_{1i} > 0$, or

•
$$x_i = l_i$$
 and $a_{1i} < 0$.

i is selected suitable column if i is the smallest suitable column.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad \begin{array}{c} s_1 \leq -2 & \text{Exercise 2.2} \\ s_2 \leq 3 & \text{Write other cases that are} \\ \text{ignored due to "wlog"} \end{array}$$

Column 3 and 4 are suitable.

v satisfies all bounds except u_1 . Change in $v(x_i)$ may lead to violations, because x_i appears in the definitions of basic variables.

v satisfies all bounds except u_1 . Change in $v(x_i)$ may lead to violations, because x_i appears in the definitions of basic variables.

Wlog, let x_i is unbounded and $a_{1i} < 0$. Therefore, we need to increase $v(x_i)$.



v satisfies all bounds except u_1 . Change in $v(x_i)$ may lead to violations, because x_i appears in the definitions of basic variables.

Wlog, let x_i is unbounded and $a_{1i} < 0$. Therefore, we need to increase $v(x_i)$.

Definition 2.8

We need to find the maximum allowed change.

$$ch := \min \bigcup_{j \in B} \{ \frac{v(x_j) - u_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} < 0 \} \cup \{ \frac{v(x_j) - l_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} > 0 \}$$

Let j be the smallest index for which the above min is attained.



v satisfies all bounds except u_1 . Change in $v(x_i)$ may lead to violations, because x_i appears in the definitions of basic variables.

Wlog, let x_i is unbounded and $a_{1i} < 0$. Therefore, we need to increase $v(x_i)$.

Definition 2.8

We need to find the maximum allowed change.

$$ch := \min \bigcup_{j \in B} \{ \frac{v(x_j) - u_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} < 0 \} \cup \{ \frac{v(x_j) - l_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} > 0 \}$$

Let j be the smallest index for which the above min is attained.
Example 2.7

We change x(selected suitable column) to reduce violation difference.



v satisfies all bounds except u_1 . Change in $v(x_i)$ may lead to violations, because x_i appears in the definitions of basic variables.

Wlog, let x_i is unbounded and $a_{1i} < 0$. Therefore, we need to increase $v(x_i)$.

Definition 2.8

What are the other cases?

We need to find the maximum allowed change.

$$ch := \min \bigcup_{j \in B} \{ \frac{v(x_j) - u_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} < 0 \} \cup \{ \frac{v(x_j) - l_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} > 0 \}$$

Let j be the smallest index for which the above min is attained.
Example 2.7
We change x(selected suitable column) to reduce violation difference

Since
$$v(y) = 0$$
 and we are varying x , $s_1 = -x$ and $s_2 = x$.
We have $s_1 \le -2$, and $s_2 \le 0$.

v satisfies all bounds except u_1 . Change in $v(x_i)$ may lead to violations, because x_i appears in the definitions of basic variables.

Wlog, let x_i is unbounded and $a_{1i} < 0$. Therefore, we need to increase $v(x_i)$.

Definition 2.8

We need to find the maximum allowed change.

$$ch := \min \bigcup_{j \in B} \{ \frac{v(x_j) - u_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} < 0 \} \cup \{ \frac{v(x_j) - l_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} > 0 \}$$

Let j be the smallest index for which the above min is attained.
Example 2.7
We change x(selected suitable column) to reduce violation difference.
Since v(y) = 0 and we are varying x, $s_1 = -x$ and $s_2 = x$.
We have $s_1 \leq -2$ and $s_2 \leq 0$

 ≤ -2 , and $s_2 \leq 0$.



v satisfies all bounds except u_1 . Change in $v(x_i)$ may lead to violations, because x_i appears in the definitions of basic variables.

Wlog, let x_i is unbounded and $a_{1i} < 0$. Therefore, we need to increase $v(x_i)$.

Definition 2.8

We need to find the maximum allowed change.

$$ch := \min \bigcup_{j \in B} \{ \frac{v(x_j) - u_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} < 0 \} \cup \{ \frac{v(x_j) - l_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} > 0 \}$$

Let j be the smallest index for which the above min is attained.
Example 2.7
We change x(selected suitable column) to reduce violation difference.
Since v(y) = 0 and we are varying x, s_1 = -x and s_2 = x.
We have s_1 < -2, and s_2 < 0.



v satisfies all bounds except u_1 . Change in $v(x_i)$ may lead to violations, because x_i appears in the definitions of basic variables.

Wlog, let x_i is unbounded and $a_{1i} < 0$. Therefore, we need to increase $v(x_i)$.

Definition 2.8

What are the other cases?

We need to find the maximum allowed change.

$$ch := \min \bigcup_{j \in B} \{ \frac{v(x_j) - u_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} < 0 \} \cup \{ \frac{v(x_j) - l_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} > 0 \}$$

Let j be the smallest index for which the above min is attained.

Example 2.7

We change x(selected suitable column) to reduce violation difference.

Since v(y) = 0 and we are varying x, $s_1 = -x$ and $s_2 = x$. We have $s_1 \le -2$, and $s_2 \le 0$. Therefore, s_1 allows $2 \le x$





v satisfies all bounds except u_1 . Change in $v(x_i)$ may lead to violations, because x_i appears in the definitions of basic variables.

Wlog, let x_i is unbounded and $a_{1i} < 0$. Therefore, we need to increase $v(x_i)$.

Definition 2.8

What are the other cases?

We need to find the maximum allowed change.

$$ch := \min \bigcup_{j \in B} \{ \frac{v(x_j) - u_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} < 0 \} \cup \{ \frac{v(x_j) - l_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} > 0 \}$$

Let j be the smallest index for which the above min is attained.

Example 2.7

We change x(selected suitable column) to reduce violation difference.

Since v(y) = 0 and we are varying x, $s_1 = -x$ and $s_2 = x$. We have $s_1 \le -2$, and $s_2 \le 0$. Therefore, s_1 allows $2 \le x$ and s_2 allows $x \le 3$.



N

v satisfies all bounds except u_1 . Change in $v(x_i)$ may lead to violations, because x_i appears in the definitions of basic variables.

Wlog, let x_i is unbounded and $a_{1i} < 0$. Therefore, we need to increase $v(x_i)$.

Definition 2.8

(What are the other cases?

We need to find the maximum allowed change.

$$ch := \min \bigcup_{j \in B} \{ \frac{v(x_j) - u_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} < 0 \} \cup \{ \frac{v(x_j) - l_j}{a_{k_j i}} | a_{k_j i} > 0 \}$$

Let j be the smallest index for which the above min is attained.

Example 2.7

We change x(selected suitable column) to reduce violation difference.

Since v(y) = 0 and we are varying $x, s_1 = -x$ and $s_2 = x$. We have $s_1 \le -2$, and $s_2 \le 0$. Therefore, s_1 allows $2 \le x$ and s_2 allows $x \le 3$. Clearly, ch = 3 and j = 2.



Simplex - pivoting operation to reduce violation difference We carry *ch* and *j* from the last slide. Wlog, $ch = \frac{v(x_j) - u_j}{a_{k_j i}}$. Simplex - pivoting operation to reduce violation difference We carry *ch* and *j* from the last slide. Wlog, $ch = \frac{v(x_j) - u_j}{a_{k_j i}}$. Now there are three possibilities

- If $ch = u_i = +\infty$, pivot between *i* and 1 and activate u_1
- If ch > (u_i − l_i), we assign v(x_i) = l_i update values of basic variables and no pivoting
- Otherwise, we choose j for the basic variable for pivoting and apply pivoting between i and j. We activate u_j bound on variable x_j.


Simplex - pivoting operation to reduce violation difference We carry *ch* and *j* from the last slide. Wlog, $ch = \frac{v(x_j) - u_j}{a_{k_j i}}$. Now there are three possibilities

- If $ch = u_i = +\infty$, pivot between *i* and 1 and activate u_1
- If ch > (u_i − l_i), we assign v(x_i) = l_i update values of basic variables and no pivoting
- Otherwise, we choose j for the basic variable for pivoting and apply pivoting between i and j. We activate u_j bound on variable x_j.
- If violation still persists then look for further pivot operations.

Theorem 2.1

Pivoting operation never increases violation difference



Simplex - pivoting operation to reduce violation difference We carry *ch* and *j* from the last slide. Wlog, $ch = \frac{v(x_j) - u_j}{a_{k_j i}}$. Now there are three possibilities

- If $ch = u_i = +\infty$, pivot between *i* and 1 and activate u_1
- If ch > (u_i − l_i), we assign v(x_i) = l_i update values of basic variables and no pivoting
- Otherwise, we choose j for the basic variable for pivoting and apply pivoting between i and j. We activate u_j bound on variable x_j.
- If violation still persists then look for further pivot operations.

Theorem 2.1

Pivoting operation never increases violation difference

Example 2.8 After pivoting between 3 and 2.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad \begin{array}{c} s_1 \leq -2 \\ s_2 \leq 3^* \end{bmatrix}$$

Simplex - pivoting operation to reduce violation difference We carry *ch* and *j* from the last slide. Wlog, $ch = \frac{v(x_j) - u_j}{a_{k_j i}}$. Now there are three possibilities

- If $ch = u_i = +\infty$, pivot between *i* and 1 and activate u_1
- If ch > (u_i − l_i), we assign v(x_i) = l_i update values of basic variables and no pivoting
- Otherwise, we choose j for the basic variable for pivoting and apply pivoting between i and j. We activate u_j bound on variable x_j.
- If violation still persists then look for further pivot operations.

Theorem 2.1

Pivoting operation never increases violation difference

Example 2.8 After pivoting between 3 and 2.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad s_1 \leq -2 \\ s_2 \leq 3^*$$
Now v is satisfying.

Incremental simplex and single violation assumption

Before adding next atom, incremental simplex finds a solution of atoms added so far.



Incremental simplex and single violation assumption

Before adding next atom, incremental simplex finds a solution of atoms added so far.

New atom $ax \leq b$ is added in the following steps.

- A fresh slack variable s is introduced
- s = ax is added as a row in A and $s \le b$ is added in the bounds
- The new row may have non-zeros in basic columns. They are removed by row operations on the new row.
- ▶ *s* is added to *B*.



Incremental simplex and single violation assumption

Before adding next atom, incremental simplex finds a solution of atoms added so far.

New atom $ax \leq b$ is added in the following steps.

- A fresh slack variable s is introduced
- s = ax is added as a row in A and $s \le b$ is added in the bounds
- The new row may have non-zeros in basic columns. They are removed by row operations on the new row.
- ▶ *s* is added to *B*.

Therefore, current assignment can only violate the bound of s.



Example 2.9

Let us add atom $-2x - y \le -8$ in our running example



Example 2.9

Let us add atom $-2x - y \le -8$ in our running example We add a new slack variable s_3 and a corresponding row.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cccc} s_3 \\ s_1 \\ s_2 \\ x \\ y \end{array}\right] = 0 \qquad s_1 \leq -2 \\ s_2 \leq 3^*$$



Example 2.9

Let us add atom $-2x - y \le -8$ in our running example We add a new slack variable s_3 and a corresponding row.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cccc} s_3 \\ s_1 \\ s_2 \\ x \\ y \end{array}\right] = 0 \qquad s_1 \leq -2 \\ s_2 \leq 3^*$$

After remove basic variables $({s_1, x})$ from the top row

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} -1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} s_3 \\ s_1 \\ s_2 \\ x \\ y \end{array}\right] = 0 \qquad \begin{array}{c} s_3 \leq -8 \\ s_1 \leq -2 \\ x \\ s_2 \leq 3^* \end{array}$$



Example 2.9

Let us add atom $-2x - y \le -8$ in our running example We add a new slack variable s_3 and a corresponding row.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cccc} s_3 \\ s_1 \\ s_2 \\ x \\ y \end{array}\right] = 0 \qquad s_1 \leq -2 \\ s_2 \leq 3^*$$

After remove basic variables $({s_1, x})$ from the top row

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} -1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} s_3 \\ s_1 \\ s_2 \\ x \\ y \end{array}\right] = 0 \qquad \begin{array}{c} s_3 \leq -8 \\ s_1 \leq -2 \\ x \\ s_2 \leq 3^* \end{array}$$

Exercise 2.3

 $\Theta \oplus \Theta$

Now s_3 is violated. Pivot if possible. Automated reasoning 2016

Simplex - iterations

Simplex is a sequence of pivot operations

- If simplex fails to find a suitable column for some violation then input is unsat.
- If a state is reached without violation then v is a satisfying assignment.

Example 2.10

s₃ is still in violation.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_3 \\ s_1 \\ s_2 \\ x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad s_1 \le -2^* \\ s_2 \le 3^*$$
Now, we can not

find a suitable column. Therefore, the constraints are unsat.



Run simplex on $x_1 \leq 5 \land 4x_1 + x_2 \leq 25 \land -2x_1 - x_2 \leq -25$



Run simplex on $x_1 \leq 5 \land 4x_1 + x_2 \leq 25 \land -2x_1 - x_2 \leq -25$

After push of the first atom

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad s_1 \le 5 \qquad v = \{x_1 \mapsto 0, s_1 \mapsto 0\}$$



Run simplex on $x_1 \leq 5 \wedge 4x_1 + x_2 \leq 25 \wedge -2x_1 - x_2 \leq -25$

After push of the first atom

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad s_1 \le 5 \qquad v = \{x_1 \mapsto 0, s_1 \mapsto 0\}$$

After push of the second atom

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_2 \\ s_1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad s_1 \le 5 \\ s_2 \le 25 \qquad \qquad v = \{ _ \mapsto 0 \}$$

Run simplex on $x_1 \leq 5 \wedge 4x_1 + x_2 \leq 25 \wedge -2x_1 - x_2 \leq -25$ After push of the first atom $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} s_1 \\ x_1 \end{vmatrix} = 0 \qquad s_1 \le 5 \qquad v = \{x_1 \mapsto 0, s_1 \mapsto 0\}$ After push of the second atom $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} s_2 \\ s_1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{vmatrix} = 0 \qquad \begin{array}{c} s_1 \leq 5 \\ s_2 \leq 25 \end{array}$ $v = \{ _ \mapsto 0 \}$ After push of the last atom $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_3 \\ s_2 \\ s_1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \le 5 \\ 0 & s_2 \le 25 \\ s_3 \le -25 \end{bmatrix} \quad v = \{ _ \mapsto 0 \}$



Run simplex on $x_1 \leq 5 \wedge 4x_1 + x_2 \leq 25 \wedge -2x_1 - x_2 \leq -25$ After push of the first atom $\left[\begin{array}{ccc} -1 & 1 \end{array}\right] \left|\begin{array}{ccc} s_1 \\ x_1 \end{array}\right| = 0 \qquad s_1 \leq 5$ $v = \{x_1 \mapsto 0, s_1 \mapsto 0\}$ After push of the second atom $\left[\begin{array}{cccc} -1 & 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right] \left|\begin{array}{c} s_2 \\ s_1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array}\right| = 0 \quad \begin{array}{c} s_1 \leq 5 \\ s_2 \leq 25 \end{array}$ $v = \{ _ \mapsto 0 \}$ After push of the last atom $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_3 \\ s_2 \\ s_1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \le 5 \\ 0 & s_2 \le 25 \\ s_3 \le -25 \end{bmatrix} \quad v = \{ _ \mapsto 0 \}$

Exercise 2.4

Finish the run

Popping atoms

If we want to remove some atom from simplex state, we



If we want to remove some atom from simplex state, we

- make the corresponding slack variable basic variable and
- remove the corresponding row and bound constraints on the slack variable



If input is unsat, then there must be a basic variable whose value is violated.

- we collect the slack variables that appear in the row that defines the basic variable
- ▶ the atoms corresponding to the slack variables are part of unsat core



Simplex- geometric intuition

Now we will connect the algorithm with a geometric intuition.



Now we will connect the algorithm with a geometric intuition.

For ease of exposition, we will assume that all l_i s and u_i s are finite. This restriction can be easily dropped.



Now we will connect the algorithm with a geometric intuition.

For ease of exposition, we will assume that all l_i s and u_i s are finite. This restriction can be easily dropped.

We will add supper script p to various objects to denote their value at pth iteration.



Now we will connect the algorithm with a geometric intuition.

For ease of exposition, we will assume that all l_i s and u_i s are finite. This restriction can be easily dropped.

We will add supper script p to various objects to denote their value at pth iteration.

For example, A^p is the value of A at pth iteration.



Simplex - geometric intuition: meaning of suitable column Let us introduce the following object in each iterations

• Let μ^p be a row vector of length 2(m+n) s.t.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1&\underbrace{0}_{m-1}&\mu^{p}\\&\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}A^{p}\\I\\-I\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1&\underbrace{0}_{m+n-1}\\&\end{array}\right]$$

 $\mu_{k}^{p} = \begin{cases} -A_{1k}^{p} & k \in NB^{p} \text{ and } u_{k} \text{ is active at } p\text{th iteration} \\ A_{1(k-(m+m))}^{p} & (k-(m+n)) \in NB^{p} \text{ and } I_{k-(m+n)} \text{ is active at } p\text{th} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$



Simplex - geometric intuition: meaning of suitable column Let us introduce the following object in each iterations

• Let μ^p be a row vector of length 2(m+n) s.t.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1&\underbrace{0}_{m-1}&\mu^{p}\\&\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}A^{p}\\I\\-I\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1&\underbrace{0}_{m+n-1}\\&\end{array}\right]$$

$$\mu_k^p = \begin{cases} -A_{1k}^p & k \in NB^p \text{ and } u_k \text{ is active at } p\text{th iteration} \\ A_{1(k-(m+m))}^p & (k-(m+n)) \in NB^p \text{ and } I_{k-(m+n)} \text{ is active at } p\text{th} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.2

Let i' be the smallest index for which μ^p has a negative number and i be the selected suitable column for the next pivoting. Then,

$$i = \begin{cases} i' & i' \leq m+n \\ i' - (m+n) & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Simplex - geometric intuition: meaning of suitable column Let us introduce the following object in each iterations

• Let μ^p be a row vector of length 2(m+n) s.t.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \underbrace{0}_{m-1} & \mu^p \\ & \underbrace{-1}_{m-1} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} A^p \\ I \\ -I \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} -1 & \underbrace{0}_{m+n-1} \\ & \underbrace{-1}_{m+n-1} \end{array}\right]$$

$$\mu_k^p = \begin{cases} -A_{1k}^p & k \in NB^p \text{ and } u_k \text{ is active at } p\text{th iteration} \\ A_{1(k-(m+m))}^p & (k-(m+n)) \in NB^p \text{ and } I_{k-(m+n)} \text{ is active at } p\text{th} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.2

Let i' be the smallest index for which μ^p has a negative number and i be the selected suitable column for the next pivoting. Then,

$$i = egin{cases} i' & i' \leq m+n \ i' - (m+n) & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Exercise 2.5

Selection of suitable column induces the idea of update direction

• Let y^p be a vector of length m + n. y^p indicates the direction of change due to pivot operation after *p*th iteration.



Selection of suitable column induces the idea of update direction

 Let y^p be a vector of length m + n. y^p indicates the direction of change due to pivot operation after pth iteration. Let i ∈ NB^p be the selected suitable column.

I_i is active

$$y_j^p = egin{cases} 1 & j = i, \ A_{k_j i}^p & j \in B^p \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Selection of suitable column induces the idea of update direction

 Let y^p be a vector of length m + n. y^p indicates the direction of change due to pivot operation after pth iteration. Let i ∈ NB^p be the selected suitable column.

I_i is active

$$y_j^p = egin{cases} 1 & j=i, \ A_{k_ji}^p & j\in B^p \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

u_i is active

$$y_j^p = egin{cases} -1 & j=i, \ -A_{k_ji}^p & j\in B^p \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Selection of suitable column induces the idea of update direction

 Let y^p be a vector of length m + n. y^p indicates the direction of change due to pivot operation after pth iteration. Let i ∈ NB^p be the selected suitable column.

I_i is active

$$y_j^p = egin{cases} 1 & j=i, \ A_{k_ji}^p & j\in B^p \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

u_i is active

$$y_j^p = \begin{cases} -1 & j = i, \\ -A_{k_j i}^p & j \in B^p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Exercise 2.6 Show $[-1 \ 0]y^p > 0$

Simplex - geometric intuition: limit on update

The change in direction y only violate bounds on basic variables

$$ch := \min \bigcup_{j \in 1..m} \{ \frac{u_j - v(x_j)}{y_j^p} | y_j^p > 0 \} \cup \{ \frac{l_j - v(x_j)}{-y_j^p} | y_j^p > 0 \}$$

Let j be the smallest index for which the above min is attained, which is used for pivoting.

Exercise 2.7

Check the basis column j selected above is same as the pivot basis column selected earlier



Lemma 2.1

Simplex terminates.

Proof.

In every step the violation difference $(v(x_1) - u_1)$ reduces or stays same.



Lemma 2.1 Simplex terminates.

Proof.

In every step the violation difference $(v(x_1) - u_1)$ reduces or stays same.

Since there are finitely many states, simplex terminates if $v(x_1) - u_1$ cannot stay same forever.



Lemma 2.1 Simplex terminates.

Proof.

In every step the violation difference $(v(x_1) - u_1)$ reduces or stays same.

Since there are finitely many states, simplex terminates if $v(x_1) - u_1$ cannot stay same forever.

For that we prove that same state can not repeat in a simplex run.



Lemma 2.1 Simplex terminates.

Proof.

In every step the violation difference $(v(x_1) - u_1)$ reduces or stays same.

Since there are finitely many states, simplex terminates if $v(x_1) - u_1$ cannot stay same forever.

For that we prove that same state can not repeat in a simplex run.

Wlog, let us suppose the states of sth and tth iterations of simplex is same and there is no change in $v(x_1) - u_1$ from p to q.



Lemma 2.1 Simplex terminates.

Proof.

In every step the violation difference $(v(x_1) - u_1)$ reduces or stays same.

Since there are finitely many states, simplex terminates if $v(x_1) - u_1$ cannot stay same forever.

For that we prove that same state can not repeat in a simplex run.

Wlog, let us suppose the states of sth and tth iterations of simplex is same and there is no change in $v(x_1) - u_1$ from p to q.

Let r be the largest index column which left and reentered NB at iteration p and q respectively, where $s \le p < q \le t$.


$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \mu^p \\ & m-1 & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^p & \\ I \\ -I \end{bmatrix} y^q = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} y^q > 0$$

Now we will show that the above term cannot be > 0.



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \mu^p \\ 0 & m-1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^p & I \\ I & -I \end{bmatrix} y^q = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} y^q > 0$$

Now we will show that the above term cannot be > 0. Let us apply a different calculation on the above term.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 \underbrace{0}_{m-1} \mu^{p}\end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c}A^{p}\\I\\-I\end{array}\right] y^{q}$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \underbrace{0}_{m-1} & \mu^p \\ & \underbrace{-1}_{m-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^p \\ I \\ -I \end{bmatrix} y^q = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} y^q > 0$$

Now we will show that the above term cannot be > 0. Let us apply a different calculation on the above term.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1&0\\m-1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}A^p\\I\\-I\end{array}\right]y^q = \left[\begin{array}{ccc}1&0\\m-1\end{array}\right]\mu^p \\ -Iy^q \\ -Iy^q\end{array}\right]$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \underbrace{0}_{m-1} & \mu^p \\ & \underbrace{-1}_{m-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^p \\ I \\ -I \end{bmatrix} y^q = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} y^q > 0$$

Now we will show that the above term cannot be > 0. Let us apply a different calculation on the above term.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \underbrace{0}_{m-1} \mu^{p} \\ 0 \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^{p} \\ I \\ -I \end{bmatrix} y^{q} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \underbrace{0}_{m-1} \mu^{p} \\ 0 \\ -Iy^{q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^{p}y^{q} \\ Iy^{q} \\ -Iy^{q} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \underbrace{0}_{m-1} \mu^{p} \\ 0 \\ Iy^{q} \\ -Iy^{q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1y^{q} \\ -Iy^{q} \end{bmatrix} = \mu^{p} \begin{bmatrix} y^{q} \\ -y^{q} \end{bmatrix}$$



Automated reasoning 2016

-

Termination

Let
$$\hat{y}^p \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} y^q \\ -y^q \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we show every $\mu_j \hat{y}_j^p$ is non-positive.

▶
$$j \in B^p$$
 or $j - n \in B^p$ or j th bound is inactive, $\mu_j^p = 0$

▶ $j \in NB^{p}$ or $j - n \in NB^{p}$, and jth bound is active

►
$$j > r$$
, $y_i^q = 0$
► $j = r$, $u_r^p < 0$ and $y_r^q > 0(why?)$
because r is selected to leave NB^p

•
$$j > r$$
, $u_j^p \ge 0$ and $y_j^q \le 0$ (why?)



Simplex - complexity

Simplex is average time linear and worst case exponential.



Simplex - complexity

Simplex is average time linear and worst case exponential.

In practice, none of the above complexities are observed



Simplex is average time linear and worst case exponential.

In practice, none of the above complexities are observed

Ellipsoid method is a polynomial time algorithm for linear constraints. In practice, simplex performs better in many classes of problems.



End of Lecture 2

