

CS228 Logic for Computer Science 2023

Lecture 17: FOL - formal proofs : \exists -Elim and Equality

Instructor: Ashutosh Gupta

IITB, India

Compile date: 2023-02-19

Topic 17.1

Elimination rule for \exists

Topic 17.2

Rules for equality

Where is \exists instantiation?

\exists can not behave like \forall .

If there is something, should we not be able to choose it? Not an arbitrary choice.

Example 17.1

Let us suppose we want to prove, "If there is a door in the building, I can steal diamonds."

Intuitively, we do...

1. Assume door x is there
2. :
3. details of robbery
4. :
5. I steal diamonds.
6. We say, therefore the theorem holds.

Formally, we need to do the following.

1. $\Sigma \cup \{D(x)\} \vdash D(x)$ Assumption
2. :
3. symbolic details of robbery
4. :
5. $\Sigma \cup \{D(x)\} \vdash Stolen$...
6. $\Sigma \vdash D(x) \Rightarrow Stolen$ \Rightarrow -Intro applied to 5
7. $\Sigma \vdash \exists x.D(x) \Rightarrow Stolen$ What rule?

Commentary: We expect the *Stolen* formula does not have x free. Therefore, the above reasoning may work as \exists instantiation.

Instantiation rule for exists

The following rule plays the role of \exists instantiation.

$$\exists - \text{ELIM} \frac{\Sigma \vdash F(x) \Rightarrow G}{\Sigma \vdash \exists y. F(y) \Rightarrow G} \quad x \notin FV(\Sigma \cup \{G, F(z)\}), y \notin FV(F(z))$$

Commentary: Note that y and x can be same variables. Whenever we apply the rule, we need to make a distinction between incoming variable x and outgoing variable y .

Example: using \exists -Elim

Example 17.2

The following derivation proves $\emptyset \vdash \exists x.(A(x) \wedge B(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x.A(x)$

1. $\{A(x) \wedge B(x)\} \vdash A(x) \wedge B(x)$ Assumption
2. $\{A(x) \wedge B(x)\} \vdash A(x)$ \wedge -Elim applied to 1
3. $\{A(x) \wedge B(x)\} \vdash \exists x. A(x)$ \exists -Intro applied to 2
4. $\emptyset \vdash A(x) \wedge B(x) \Rightarrow \exists x. A(x)$ \Rightarrow -Intro applied to 3
5. $\emptyset \vdash \exists x.(A(x) \wedge B(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x. A(x)$ \exists -Elim applied to 4

We cannot instantiate \exists out of the blue. We assume instantiated formula (step 1), prove the goal (step 3), and produce an implication (step 4), which is followed by \exists -Elim.

Exercise 17.1

Show $\exists x.(F(x) \vee G(x))$, and $\exists x.F(x) \vee \exists x.G(x)$ are provably equivalent.

Example: Disastrous derivations (midterm 2021)

Example 17.3

Here are two derivations that apply proof rules incorrectly and derive a bad statement.

1. $\{A(x)\} \vdash A(x)$ Assumption
2. $\{A(x)\} \vdash \forall x. A(x)$ $\forall\text{-Intro applied to } 1 \times$
3. $\emptyset \vdash A(x) \Rightarrow \forall x. A(x)$ $\Rightarrow\text{-Intro applied to } 2$
4. $\emptyset \vdash \exists x. A(x) \Rightarrow \forall x. A(x)$ $\exists\text{-Elim applied to } 3$

1. $\{\exists x. A(x)\} \vdash \exists x. A(x)$ Assumption
2. $\{\exists x. A(x)\} \vdash A(x)$ $\exists\text{-Elim applied to } 1 \times$
3. $\{\exists x. A(x)\} \vdash \forall x. A(x)$ $\forall\text{-Intro applied to } 2$
4. $\emptyset \vdash \exists x. A(x) \Rightarrow \forall x. A(x)$ $\Rightarrow\text{-Intro applied to } 3$

Topic 17.3

Rules for equality

Equality rules

For equality

$$\text{REFLEX} \frac{}{\Sigma \vdash t = t}$$

$$\text{EQSUB} \frac{\Sigma \vdash F(t) \quad \Sigma \vdash t = t'}{\Sigma \vdash F(t')}$$

Exercise 17.2

Do we need a side condition for rule EQSUB?

Commentary: Again applying EQSUB gets tricky. You need to identify $F(z)$ for some fresh z like other rules.

Example : example for equality

Example 17.4

Let us prove $\emptyset \vdash \forall x, y. (x \neq y \vee f(x) = f(y))$

1. $\{x = y\} \vdash x = y$ *Assumption*
2. $\{x = y\} \vdash f(x) = f(x)$ *Reflex*
3. $\{x = y\} \vdash f(x) = f(y)$ *EqSub applied to 1 and 2*
4. $\{\} \vdash \neg(x = y) \vee f(x) = f(y)$ *propositional rules applied to 3*
5. $\{\} \vdash \forall x, y. (\neg(x = y) \vee f(x) = f(y))$ *\forall -Intro applied twice to 4*

Exercise 17.3

Write $F(z)s$ in the application of \forall -Intro.

Deriving symmetry for equality

Theorem 17.1

If we have $\Sigma \vdash s = t$, we can derive $\Sigma \vdash t = s$

Proof.

1. $\Sigma \vdash s = t$ Premise
2. $\Sigma \vdash s = s$ Reflex
3. $\Sigma \vdash t = s$ EqSub applied to 2 and 1 where $F(z) = (z = s)$

□

Therefore, we declare the following as a derived proof rule.

$$\text{EQSYMM} \frac{\Sigma \vdash s = t}{\Sigma \vdash t = s}$$

Example : finding evidence of \exists is hard

There are magic terms that can provide evidence of \exists . Here is an extreme example.

Example 17.5

Consider $\emptyset \vdash \exists x_4, x_3, x_2, x_1. f(x_1, x_3, x_2) = f(g(x_2), j(x_4), h(x_3, a))$

Let us construct a proof for the above as follows

1. $\emptyset \vdash f(g(h(j(c), a)), j(c), h(j(c), a)) = f(g(h(j(c), a)), j(c), h(j(c), a))$ Reflex
2. $\emptyset \vdash \exists x_1. f(x_1, j(c), h(j(c), a)) = f(g(h(j(c), a)), j(c), h(j(c), a))$ $\exists\text{-Intro applied to 1}$
3. $\emptyset \vdash \exists x_2. \exists x_1. f(x_1, j(c), x_2) = f(g(x_2), j(c), h(j(c), a))$ $\exists\text{-Intro applied to 2}$
4. $\emptyset \vdash \exists x_3. \exists x_2. \exists x_1. f(x_1, x_3, x_2) = f(g(x_2), j(c), h(x_3, a))$ $\exists\text{-Intro applied to 3}$
5. $\emptyset \vdash \exists x_4. \exists x_3. \exists x_2. \exists x_1. f(x_1, x_3, x_2) = f(g(x_2), j(x_4), h(x_3, a))$ $\exists\text{-Intro applied to 4}$

Topic 17.4

Problems

Practice formal proofs

Exercise 17.4

Prove the following statements

1. $\emptyset \vdash \forall x. \exists y. \forall z. \exists w. (R(x, y) \vee \neg R(w, z))$
2. $\emptyset \vdash \forall x. \exists y. x = y$
3. $\emptyset \vdash \forall x. \forall y. ((x = y \wedge f(y) = g(y)) \Rightarrow (h(f(x)) = h(g(y))))$
4. $\emptyset \vdash \exists x_1, x_2, x_3. f(g(x_1), x_2) = f(x_3, x_1)$

Exercise: modeling equality using a predicate and axioms

Exercise 17.5

1. Give a formal proof that shows that following formulas are mutually unsatisfiable.

- ▶ $\forall x, y. x = y$
- ▶ $\forall x. \neg R(x, x)$
- ▶ $\exists x, y. R(x, y)$

2. Give a model that satisfies the following set of formulas.

- ▶ $\forall x. E(x, x)$
- ▶ $\forall x, y. E(x, y) \Rightarrow E(y, x)$
- ▶ $\forall x, y, z. (E(x, y) \wedge E(y, z) \Rightarrow E(x, z))$
- ▶ $\forall x, y. \neg R(x, x)$
- ▶ $\exists x, y. R(x, y)$

3. Give a formal proof that shows that the following formulas are mutually unsatisfiable.

- ▶ $\forall x. E(x, x)$
- ▶ $\forall x, y. (E(x, y) \Rightarrow E(y, x))$
- ▶ $\forall x, y, z. (E(x, y) \wedge E(y, z) \Rightarrow E(x, z))$
- ▶ $\forall x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2. (E(x_1, x_2) \wedge E(y_1, y_2) \wedge R(x_1, y_1) \Rightarrow R(x_2, y_2))$
- ▶ $\forall x, y. E(x, y)$
- ▶ $\forall x. \neg R(x, x)$
- ▶ $\exists x, y. R(x, y)$

Exercise : derived rules for equality

Exercise 17.6

Prove the following derived rules

$$\text{EQTRANS} \frac{\Sigma \vdash s = t \quad \Sigma \vdash t = r}{\Sigma \vdash s = r}$$

$$\text{PARAMODULATION} \frac{\Sigma \vdash s = t}{\Sigma \vdash r(s) = r(t)}$$

Exercise: bad orders

Exercise 17.7

Prove that the following formulas are mutually unsatisfiable.

- ▶ $\forall x. \neg E(x, x)$
- ▶ $\forall x, y. (E(x, y) \wedge E(y, x) \Rightarrow x = y)$
- ▶ $\forall x, y, z. (E(x, y) \wedge E(y, z) \Rightarrow \neg E(x, z))$
- ▶ $\forall x, y, z. (E(x, y) \wedge E(x, z) \Rightarrow E(y, x) \vee E(z, y))$
- ▶ $\exists x, y. E(x, y)$

Proofs on arrays(midterm 2022)

Exercise 17.8

Let Σ contain the following FOL sentences (all free symbols are functions or constants)

1. $\forall z, i, x. \text{read}(\text{store}(z, i, x), i) = x$
2. $\forall z, i, j, v. (i = j \vee \text{read}(\text{store}(z, i, v), j) = \text{read}(z, j))$
3. $\text{store}(a, n, \text{read}(b, n)) = \text{store}(b, n, \text{read}(a, n))$
4. $\text{read}(b, m) \neq \text{read}(a, m)$

Using the formal proof system, show that Σ can derive contradiction.

Commentary: **Solution:** The following proof is repetitive. Key observation is what to substitute for v and x and aim to derive $m = n$.

1. $\Sigma \vdash \text{store}(a, n, \text{read}(b, n)) = \text{store}(b, n, \text{read}(a, n))$ Assumption
2. $\Sigma \vdash \forall z, i, j, v. (i = j \vee \text{read}(\text{store}(z, i, v), j) = \text{read}(z, j))$ Assumption
3. $\Sigma \vdash (n = m \vee \text{read}(\text{store}(a, n, \text{read}(b, n)), m) = \text{read}(a, m))$ $\forall\text{-Elim}$ applied to 1 with substitutions $\{z \mapsto a, i \mapsto n, j \mapsto m, v \mapsto \text{read}(b, n)\}$
4. $\Sigma \vdash (n = m \vee \text{read}(\text{store}(b, n, \text{read}(a, n)), m) = \text{read}(b, m))$ $\forall\text{-Elim}$ applied to 1 with substitutions $\{z \mapsto b, i \mapsto n, j \mapsto m, v \mapsto \text{read}(a, n)\}$
5. $\Sigma \vdash (n = m \vee \text{read}(\text{store}(b, n, \text{read}(a, n)), m) = \text{read}(a, m))$ EqSub applied to 3 and 1
6. $\Sigma \vdash (n = m \vee \text{read}(b, m) = \text{read}(a, m))$ EqSub applied to 3 and 5, and some propositional reasoning
7. $\Sigma \vdash \text{read}(b, m) \neq \text{read}(a, m)$ Assumption
8. $\Sigma \vdash n = m$ Resolution applied to 6 and 7
9. $\Sigma \vdash \forall z, i, x. \text{read}(\text{store}(z, i, x), i) = x$ Assumption
10. $\Sigma \vdash \text{read}(\text{store}(a, n, \text{read}(b, n)), n) = \text{read}(b, n)$ $\forall\text{-Elim}$ applied to 9 with substitutions $\{z \mapsto a, i \mapsto n, x \mapsto \text{read}(b, n)\}$
11. $\Sigma \vdash \text{read}(\text{store}(b, n, \text{read}(a, n)), n) = \text{read}(a, n)$ $\forall\text{-Elim}$ applied to 9 with substitutions $\{z \mapsto b, i \mapsto n, x \mapsto \text{read}(a, n)\}$
12. $\Sigma \vdash \text{read}(\text{store}(b, n, \text{read}(a, n)), n) = \text{read}(b, n)$ EqSub applied to 10 and 11
13. $\Sigma \vdash \text{read}(b, n) = \text{read}(a, n)$ EqSub applied to 11 and 12
14. $\Sigma \vdash \text{read}(b, m) = \text{read}(a, m)$ EqSub applied to 13 and 8

Proofs on set theory**

Exercise 17.9

Consider the following axioms of set theory

$$\Sigma = \{ \forall x, y, z. ((z \in x \Leftrightarrow z \in y) \Rightarrow x = y), \\ \forall x, y. (x \subseteq y \Leftrightarrow \forall z. (z \in x \Rightarrow z \in y)), \\ \forall x, y, z. (z \in x - y \Leftrightarrow (z \in x \wedge z \notin y)) \}.$$

Prove the following

$$\Sigma \vdash \forall x, y. x \subseteq y \Rightarrow \exists z. (y - z = x)$$

End of Lecture 17