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## Topic 17.1

## Linear integer arithmetic (LIA)

## Linear integer arithmetic (LIA)

Formulas with structure $\Sigma=(\{+/ 2,0,1, \ldots\},\{</ 2\})$ with a set of axioms

|  | $\forall x \neg(x+1=0)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\forall x \forall y(x+1=y+1 \Rightarrow x=y)$ |
|  | $F(0) \wedge(\forall x(F(x) \Rightarrow F(x+1)) \Rightarrow \forall x F(x))$ |
|  | $\forall x(x+0=x)$ |
|  | $\forall x \forall y(x+(y+1)=(x+y)+1)$ |
|  |  |

Syntactically, looks very similar to rational arithmetic.

Note that the theory does not have multiplication.

However, one can simulate multiplication by constants in the theory.

## Example 17.1

The following formulas are in the quantifier-free fragment of the theory (QF_LIA), where $x, y$, and $z$ are the integers.

- $x \geq 0 \vee y+z=5$
- $x<300 \wedge x-z \neq 5$


## Difference in reasoning

Integers are not dense. They are like a lattice in the space.


Subspaces may exist that do not contain any integer.

## Polyhedrons without integers!

We may also have polyhedrons that do not contain integers.


## Reasoning over integer

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\text { ComB] } \frac{t_{1} \leq 0 \quad t_{2} \leq 0}{t_{1} \lambda_{1}+t_{2} \lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3} \leq 0} \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \geq 0\right.} \\
{\left[\text { DIv] } \frac{a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{n} \leq b}{\frac{a_{1}}{g} x_{1}+\cdots+\frac{a_{n}}{g} x_{n} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{b}{g}\right.}\right\rfloor g=\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example: application of DIV rule

## Example 17.2



$$
[\operatorname{DIv}] \frac{2 x_{1}+2 x_{2} \leq 1}{\frac{2}{2} x_{1}+\frac{2}{2} x_{2} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor} 2=\operatorname{gcd}(2,2)
$$

## Completeness

## Are the two rules complete?

We will not do the full completeness. However, we will discuss key ideas when thinking integer.

## Topic 17.2

## Greatest common divisor

## Euclid's method for computing $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)$

1. If $x=0$, return $y$
2. If $y=0$, return $x$
3. If $x>y, x:=x-y\left\lfloor\frac{x}{y}\right\rfloor$ else $y:=y-x\left\lfloor\frac{y}{x}\right\rfloor$
4. goto 1

Theorem 17.1
Euclid's method runs in polynomial time.
Proof.
In each step one of $x$ and $y$ is reduced by half.
Bound on number of iterations: $\log _{2}(x)+\log _{2}(y)+1$

## Topic 17.3

## Hermite normal form

## Find integer solution of equations

Consider a rational matrix $A$ and vector $b$, find integral solution for $x$ such that

$$
A x=b .
$$

## Hermite normal form (HNF)

Definition 17.1
A rational matrix is in Hermite normal form if it has the form [ $B 0$ ], where $B$ is

- lower triangular,
- nonnegative matrix, and
- the unique maximum entry in each row is at diagonal.


## Exercise 17.1

Are the following matrices in Hermite normal form?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& >
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1.5 & 3 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
-\left[\begin{array}{llll}
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 3 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Elementary unimodular column operations

## Definition 17.2

The elementary unimodular column operations are

- exchanging two columns,
- multiplying a column by -1 , and
- adding integral multiple of a column to another


## Exercise 17.2

Can we get the following by applying a single operation on
$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 1 & -3 \\ 1 & 1 & 3\end{array}\right] ?$
$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}3 & 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 1 & 1 & 3\end{array}\right]$
$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 3 & -6 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & -3\end{array}\right]$
$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 3 & 6 \\ 3 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 3\end{array}\right]$
$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 3 & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 4\end{array}\right]$

## Exercise 17.3

The elementary operations on A preserve integral satisfiability of $A x=b$.

## There is a Hermite normal form

## Theorem 17.2

Each rational matrix $A$ of full row rank can be transformed into HNF by a sequence of elementary unimodular column operations.

## Proof.

Wlog $A$ is an integer matrix. The transformation proceeds in two phases
Phase 1:we can transform to lower triangular matrix with positive diagonal.
Assume we have obtained $\left[\begin{array}{ll}B & 0 \\ C & D\end{array}\right]$ where $B$ is lower triangular matrix with positive diagonal.
Now we will apply the elementary operations on the columns of $D$ to make top row zero except the first entry in the row.

## There is a Hermite normal form II

Proof.
Let $D=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\delta_{1} & \ldots & \delta_{k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\end{array}\right]$. We apply elementary operations to make the top row positive.
We maximally apply the following iteratively: If $\delta_{i} \geq \delta_{j}>0$, we subtract column $j$ in column $i$.
After finishing the above, exactly one column of $D$ has positive entry at the top. We move the column to the first column.

Now we have larger lower triangular matrix with positive diagonal.

## Exercise 17.4

Why will the repeated operations terminate?

## There is a Hermite normal form III

Proof.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\beta_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ldots & \beta_{i i} & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ldots & \ldots & \ddots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \beta_{n n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Phase 2:We can transform to $0 \leq \beta_{i j}<\beta_{i i}$
Now we apply column operations to bring non-diagonal entries in the range.
For each $i \in 2 . . n$ and $j \in 1 . .(i-1)$, we subtract $j$ th column by $\left\lfloor\frac{\beta_{i j}}{\beta_{i i}}\right\rfloor$ times $i$ th column.

## Example: HNF

Example 17.3
Consider integral matrix $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 1 & -3 \\ 1 & 1 & 3\end{array}\right]$

Phase 1: Make top row lower triangular
$\rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -9 \\ 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right] \rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & -9 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right] \rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & -1 & -9 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right] \rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -9 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$
Phase 1: Make middle row lower triangular
$\rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & 9 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right] \rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2\end{array}\right] \rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 9 & -2\end{array}\right] \rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 9\end{array}\right]$
Phase 2: make non-diagonal nonnegative
$\rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -2 & 9\end{array}\right] \rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 7 & 7 & 9\end{array}\right]$

## Topic 17.4

## Condition of satisfiability

## Condition of satisfiability

Theorem 17.3
$A x=b$ has an integral solution $x$, iff
for each rational vector $y, \quad y A$ is integral $\Rightarrow y b$ is an integer.

## Proof.

$(\Rightarrow)$
Let $x_{0}$ be a solution. If $y A$ is integral, $y A x_{0}$ is an integer. Therefore, $y b$ is an integer.
$(\Leftarrow)$
Assumption implies $\forall y . y A=0 \Rightarrow y b=0$.(Why?)
Therefore, $A x=b$ has rational solutions and we can assume $A$ is full rank.

## Condition of satisfiability II

## Proof(contd.)

Since the elementary operations do not affect the truth values of both sides,(why?) we assume $A=[B 0]$ is in HNF.

Since $B^{-1}[B 0]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right]$, our assumption implies $B^{-1} b$ is integral.
Since $\left[\begin{array}{ll}B & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}B^{-1} b \\ 0\end{array}\right]=b, x:=\left[\begin{array}{c}B^{-1} b \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ is a solution of $A x=b$.

## Example: solving equation

## Example 17.4

Consider problem $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{3}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}5 \\ 5\end{array}\right]$.
$H N F$ of $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2\end{array}\right]$ is $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 0\end{array}\right]$.
Solution of $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{3}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}5 \\ 5\end{array}\right]$ is $\left[\begin{array}{l}x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{3}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}5 \\ -2 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$.

## Exercise 17.5

What is the solution in terms of the original $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$.

# Topic 17.5 

## Lattice

## Lattice

## Definition 17.3

A set $S$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called additive group if

- $0 \in S$
- if $x \in S$, then $-x \in S$, and
- if $x, y \in S$, then $x+y \in S$.

Definition 17.4
A group $S$ is generated by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ if $S=\left\{\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} a_{m} \mid \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$
Definition 17.5
A group $S$ is called lattice if it can be generated by linearly independent $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$. The vectors are called basis of $S$.

## Exercise 17.6

Prove: If $A$ is obtained by applying elementary operations on $B$, the group generated by $A$ and $B$ are same.

## Example: HNF has same lattice

## Example 17.5

Consider our earlier matrix $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2\end{array}\right]$ and its $H N F\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 0\end{array}\right]$


## Exercise

## Exercise 17.7

a) Give Hermite normal form of the following matrices.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 2 \\
-2 & -3 & 6
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
6 & 3 & -9 \\
-3 & 8 & 4
\end{array}\right]
$$

b) Consider the lattices generated by the columns of the above matrices in 2-D space. What fraction of the integral points are not on each of the lattices?
c) If each of entry in the above matrices is multiplied by two, what would be the answers of (b).

## A generated group is a lattice

Theorem 17.4
If a group $S$ is generated by $a_{1}, \ldots . a_{m}, S$ is lattice.
Proof.
Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ be columns of $A$.
Wlog, let us suppose $A$ is full row rank matrix.
We can convert $A$ into HNF $\left[\begin{array}{ll}B & 0\end{array}\right]$.
Since columns of $B$ are linearly independent, $S$ is lattice.

## Exercise 17.8

Prove: If system $A x=b$ has an integral solution, $B^{-1} b$ is integral.

## Hermite normal form is unique

## Theorem 17.5

Let $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ be rational matrices of full row rank, with HNFs $[B 0]$ and $\left[B^{\prime} 0\right]$, respectively. If columns of $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ generate same lattice, iff $B=B^{\prime}$.

Proof.
$(\Leftarrow)$ trivial.
$(\Rightarrow)$
Let lattice $S$ be generated by columns of each $A, B, A^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}$. Let $B:=\left(\beta_{i j}\right)$ and $B^{\prime}:=\left(\beta_{i j}^{\prime}\right)$.
Consider $i$ be the first row where $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ are different. Let it be at $j$ th column.
Let $b_{j}$ and $b_{j}^{\prime}$ be the $j$ th column of $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ respectively.

## Hermite normal form is unique II

## Proof(contd.)

$$
\left[\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{c|c|ccc}
\ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\
\ldots & \beta_{i j}^{\prime} & \ldots & \beta_{i i}^{\prime} & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]
$$

Wlog $\beta_{i i} \geq \beta_{i i}^{\prime}$.(Why?)
Therefore, $b_{j}-b_{j}^{\prime} \in S . b_{j}-b_{j}^{\prime}$ has zeros in the first $i-1$ entries.(Why?) $b_{j}-b_{j}^{\prime}$ is integer combination of $b_{i}, \ldots, b_{n}$.(Why?)
Therefore, $\beta_{i j}-\beta_{i j}^{\prime}$ is integer multiple of $\beta_{i i}$.
Since $0 \leq \beta_{i j}<\beta_{i i}$ and $0 \leq \beta_{i j}^{\prime}<\beta_{i i}^{\prime},\left|\beta_{i j}-\beta_{i j}^{\prime}\right|<\beta_{i i}$. Contradiction.

## Exercise 17.9

Prove: a full row rank matrix $A$ has a unique HNF.

## Exercise: Proof generation

## Exercise 17.10

If there is no solution of $A x=b$, how do we present the proof of unsatisfiability?

# Topic 17.6 

## Hilbert basis

## Hilbert basis

## Definition 17.6

A finite set of vectors $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ is Hilbert basis if each integral vector $b$ in the cone generated by $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ is nonnegative integral combination of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$.

## Example 17.6

Is the following an Hilbert basis?

- $\left\{\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 2\end{array}\right]\right\}$
- $\left\{\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 2\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]\right\}$
- $\left\{\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]\right\}$
- $\left\{\left[\begin{array}{c}1 \\ -1\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]\right\}$


## There is a Hilbert basis for each cone

Theorem 17.6
Each rational cone $C$ is generated by an integral Hilbert basis.

## Proof.

Wlog, let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$ be a set of integral vectors that generate $C$.
Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}$ be all the integral vectors in $\left\{\lambda_{1} b_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} b_{m} \mid 0 \leq \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m} \leq 1\right\}$.

## Example 17.7

## There is a Hilbert basis for each cone II

## Proof(contd.)

Claim: $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}$ form a Hilbert basis
By definitions $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots b_{m}\right\} \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}\right\}$.
Consider integral vector $c \in C$. Therefore, $c=\lambda_{1} b_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} b_{m}$ for $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$.

$$
c=\left(\left\lfloor\lambda_{1}\right\rfloor b_{1}+\cdots+\left\lfloor\lambda_{m}\right\rfloor b_{m}\right)+\underbrace{\left(\left(\lambda_{1}-\left\lfloor\lambda_{1}\right\rfloor\right) b_{1}+\cdots+\left(\lambda_{m}-\left\lfloor\lambda_{m}\right\rfloor\right) b_{m}\right)}_{\in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}\right\}(\text { Why? })}
$$

$c$ is nonnegative integral combination of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}$.
Exercise 17.11
Why the underbraced vector is integral?

## Uniqueness of Hilbert basis

## Theorem 17.7

Let $C$ be a rational cone. If $C$ has zero dimensional vertices, there is a unique minimal Hilbert basis for $C$.

Proof.
Let $H$ be a set of integral vectors defined as follows. $a \in H$ iff

- $a \in C$,
- $a \neq 0$, and
- $a$ is not sum of any of the other two nonzero integral vectors in $C$.



## Exercise 17.12

Show: $H$ is subset of any Hilbert basis generating $C$.

## Uniqueness of Hilbert basis II

Proof(contd.)
Claim: $H$ is a Hilbert basis generating $C$.
Choose $b$ such that $b x>0$ for each $x \in C$.(Why exists?)
Choose $c \in C$ such that $c$ is not a nonnegative integral combination of $H$.
Let $b c$ be smallest.


Since $c \notin H, c_{1}+c_{2}=c$ for some nonzero integral $c_{1}, c_{2} \in C$.
Therefore, $b c_{1}<b c$ and $b c_{2}<b c$.
Therefore, $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are nonnegative integral combinations of $H$.
Therefore, $c$ is nonnegative integral combination of $H$. Contradiction.

## Exercise 17.13

a. Why smallest bc? b. Show if $C$ does not have zero dimensional vertices, $H$ is not unique.

# Topic 17.7 

## Problems

## Finite infinite

## Exercise 17.14

Consider formula $F$ with single free variable in presburger arithmetic. Let $S=\left\{k \mid \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Z}} \models F(k)\right\}$.

- find a formula such that $S \cap \mathbb{Z}^{+}$is finite.
- find a formula such that $\mathbb{Z}^{+}-S$ is finite.


## Topic 17.8

## Extra slides: Integer hull

## Integer hull

Let $P$ be a polyhedron.
Definition 17.7
Let $P_{I}$ be the convex hull of integers in $P$.


## Exercise 17.15

Show: for a polyhedral cone $C, C=C_{1}$.

## $P_{l}$ is a polyhedron

Theorem 17.8
Let $P$ be a rational polyhedron. $P_{I}$ is also a polyhedron.

## Proof.

Let $Q+C$, where $Q$ is a polytope and $C$ is the characteristic cone.
Let $C$ be generated by integral vectors $a_{1}, \ldots . a_{s}$. Let

$$
B:=\left\{\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{s} a_{s} \mid 0 \leq \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s} \leq 1\right\} .
$$

Exercise 17.16
Draw $Q+B$.

## $P_{1}$ is a polyhedron

## Proof(contd.)

Claim: $P_{l}=(Q+B)_{I}+C$
Clearly $(Q+B)_{I} \subseteq P_{l}$. Therefore, $(Q+B)_{I}+C \subseteq P_{I}+C \subseteq P_{I}+C_{I} \subseteq P_{I}$.

Let integral vector $p \in P$ such that $p=q+c$ for some $q \in Q$ and $c \in C$. Let $c=\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{s} a_{s}$ for $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$.
Let $c^{\prime}=\left\lfloor\lambda_{1}\right\rfloor a_{1}+\cdots+\left\lfloor\lambda_{s}\right\rfloor a_{s} \in C$.
Therefore $\left(c-c^{\prime}\right) \in B$ and $q+\left(c-c^{\prime}\right)$ is integral.
$q+\left(c-c^{\prime}\right) \in(Q+B)_{I}$. Hence, $P_{I} \subseteq(Q+B)_{I}+C$.
$P_{l}$ is polyhedron and can be represented by some $A x \leq b$.

## Topic 17.9

## Extra slides: Total duality integrality

## Integral

## Definition 17.8

A polyhedron $P$ is integral if all faces of $P$ have integral vectors.
Faces include any thing that is facing exterior

- Vertices (minimal face)
- Edges
- Many dimensional surfaces



## Some properties of faces

- Faces are obtained by converting one or more inequalities to equality.
- Faces are polyhedron themselves.
- Faces have subfaces
- There are minimal dimensional faces.
- All minimal dimensional faces
- must have same dimension,
- are affine spaces, and
- are translation of each other.


## Condition for being integral

## Theorem 17.9

The hyperplanes that are "touching" $P$

A rational polyhedron $P$ is integral, iff each supprrting hyperplane of $P$ has integral vectors.

## Proof.

$(\Rightarrow)$ trivial.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Assume $\neg$ LHS. We prove $\neg$ RHS.
Let $P=\{x \mid A x \leq b\}$ for integral $A$ and $b$, and $F=\left\{x \mid A^{\prime} x=b^{\prime}\right\}$ be a minimal face of $P$, where $A^{\prime} x \leq b^{\prime}$ is a subsystem of $A x \leq b$, without integral vectors.


Due to theorem 17.3, there is a $y$ such that $y A^{\prime}$ is integral and $y b^{\prime}$ is not. We add positive integers to components of $y$ to make it positive.
Still $y A^{\prime}$ is integral and $y b^{\prime}$ is not. Let $c=y A^{\prime}$ and $\delta=y b^{\prime}$.
Clearly, $c x=\delta$ has no integral vectors.
Since $F \subseteq c x=\delta$ and $P \subseteq c x \leqq \delta$ (why?), $c x=\delta$ is a supporting hyperplane.

## Total duality integrality(TDI)

## Definition 17.9

A rational system $A x \leq b$ is totally dual integral if the minimum in the $L P$-duality equation

$$
\max \{c x \mid A x \leq b\}=\min \{y b \mid y \geq 0 \wedge y A=c\}
$$

has an integral optimum y for each integral c for which the minimum is finite.

## Example 17.8

max reaches optima at the corner of the red polyhedron,
if $c$ is in the green cone.
TDI says that integral $c$ is nonnegative integral combination of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$.
Therefore, $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ form an Hilbert basis.

## Exercise 17.17

Prove: If $A x \leq b$ is TDI, and $A x \leq b \Rightarrow a x \leq \beta, A x \leq b \wedge a x \leq \beta$ is a TDI.

## TDI has integral optimum solutions

Theorem 17.10
If $A x \leq b$ is TDI and $b$ is integral, $\{x \mid A x \leq b\}$ is integral.

## Proof.

Let $c$ be an integral row vector such that $\max \{c x \mid A x \leq b\}$ is finite.
Since $A x \leq b$ is TDI and $b$ is integral, $\min \{y b \mid y \geq 0 \wedge y A=c\}$ is integer.(Why?)
$\delta=\max \{c x \mid A x \leq b\}$ is integer.
Let $H=\{x \mid c x=\delta\}$. $H$ is a supporting hyperplane.
Wlog, we assume $\operatorname{gcd}(c)=1$. Therefore, $c x=\delta$ has integer solutions.
Due to theorem 17.9, $\{x \mid A x \leq b\}$ is integral.

## Exercise 17.18

Let $A x \leq b$ be TDI. If $b$ and $c$ are integral, and $\max \{c x \mid A x \leq b\}$ is finite, the max achieves optima at integral $x$.

## A face of TDI-system is TDI-system

## Theorem 17.11

Let $A x \leq b \wedge a x \leq \beta$ be TDI. Then, $A x \leq b \wedge a x=\beta$ is also TDI.
Proof.
Let $c$ be an integral vector, with

$$
\max \{c x \mid A x \leq b \wedge a x=\beta\}=\min \{y b+(\lambda-\mu) \beta \mid y, \lambda, \mu \geq 0 \wedge y A+(\lambda-\mu) a=c\}
$$

Let $x^{*}, y^{*}, \lambda^{*}$ and $\mu^{*}$ attain the optima.


## A face of TDI-system is TDI-system II

## Proof(contd.)

Let $c^{\prime}=c+N a$ for some integer $N$ such that $N \geq \mu *$ and $N a$ is integral.

Removes negative a component from $c$

## A face of TDI-system is TDI-system III

## Proof(contd.)

Since $A x \leq b \wedge a x \leq \beta$ is TDI, the minimum in the above is attained by integral solution, say $y_{0}, \lambda_{0}$. Therefore, $y_{0} b+\lambda_{0} \beta \leq y^{*} b+\left(\lambda^{*}+N-\mu^{*}\right) \beta$.

Claim: $y=y_{0}, \lambda=\lambda_{0}, \mu=N$ also attains minimum in

$$
\max \{c x \mid A x \leq b \wedge a x=\beta\}=\min \{y b+(\lambda-\mu) \beta \mid y, \lambda, \mu \geq 0 \wedge y A+(\lambda-\mu) a=c\}
$$

Since $y_{0} b+\lambda_{0} \beta \leq y^{*} b+\left(\lambda^{*}+N-\mu^{*}\right) \beta$, after moving $N \beta$ rhs to lhs

$$
y_{0} b+\left(\lambda_{0}-N\right) \beta \leq y^{*} b+\left(\lambda^{*}-\mu^{*}\right) \beta
$$

Since $y=y^{*}, \lambda=\lambda^{*}, \mu=\mu^{*}$ attains the minimum, therefore $y=y_{0}, \lambda=\lambda_{0}, \mu=N$ attains the minimum.

## Hilbert basis and TDI

## Theorem 17.12

An inequality $a x \leq \delta$ of $A x \leq b$ is active in $F$ if $F \Rightarrow a x=\delta$

Let $A x \leq b$ be TDI iff, for each face $F$ of $\{x \mid A x \leq b\}$, the inequalities of $A x \leq b$ that are active in F form a Hilbert basis.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$
Let $a_{1} \leq \delta_{1}, \ldots, a_{t} \leq \delta_{t}$ be active on $F$.
Choose an integral vector $c$ in the cone of $\left\{a_{1}, . ., a_{t}\right\}$.
The maximum attained in the following

$$
\max \{c x \mid A x \leq b\}=\min \{y b \mid y \geq 0 \wedge y A=c\}
$$

is achieved by $x$ in $F$.(Why?)
Since $A x \leq b$ is TDI, the minimum is achieved by integral $y$.
Due to complementary slackness, the components of $y$ for non-active rows is 0 .
Hence $c$ is nonnegative integral combination of $a_{1}, \ldots a_{t}$.

## Hilbert basis and TDI

Proof(contd.)
$(\Leftarrow)$
Let $c$ be an integral row vector for which the following is finite.

$$
\max \{c x \mid A x \leq b\}=\min \{y b \mid y \geq 0 \wedge y A=c\}
$$

Consider the largest $F$ such that all $x$ in $F$ attain the maximum.(Why?)
Let $a_{1} \leq \delta_{1}, \ldots, a_{t} \leq \delta_{t}$ be active on $F$.
$c$ must be in the cone of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}$.
Since they form an Hilbert basis $c=\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{t} a_{t}$ for $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{t} \geq 0$.
By zero padding, we can construct integral $y$ such that $y A=c$ and $y b=y A x=c x$ for each $x$ in $F$.
Therefore, $y$ achives the minimum. Therefore, $A x \leq b$ is TDI.

## Exercise 17.19

Why we need largest face F?

## There is a TDI-system for each polyhedron

## Theorem 17.13

For each rational polyhedron $P$, there is a TDI-system $A x \leq b$ with $A$ integral matrix and rational vector $b$ such that $P=\{x \mid A x \leq b\}$.

Proof.
Consider a minimal face $F$ of $P$.
Let $C_{F}$ be the cone of vectors $c$ such that $\max \{c x \mid x \in P\}$ is attained by $x \in F$
Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}$ be integral Hilbert basis of $C_{F}$.
Let $x_{0} \in F$. Therefore, for $1 \leq i \leq t, P \Rightarrow a_{i} x \leq a_{i} x_{0}$.
Let $A_{F}=\left\{a_{1} x \leq a_{1} x_{0}, \ldots, a_{t} x \leq a_{t} x_{0}\right\}$.
Let $A x \leq b$ be union of inequalities $A_{F}$ for each minimal $F$.
$A x \leq b$ defines $P($ Why? $)$ and is TDI due to theorem 17.12.

## Exercise 17.20

a. Why we need minimal face $F$ ?

## Topic 17.10

## Extra slides: cutting planes

## Cutting half spaces

Let $H=\{x \mid c x \leq \beta\}$ be half space, where $\operatorname{gcd}(c)=1$.
Definition 17.10
For a polyhedron $P$. Let $P^{\prime}=\bigcap_{P} \Longrightarrow H H_{l}$


Clearly, $P \supseteq P^{\prime} \supseteq P^{\prime \prime} \ldots \supseteq P^{t} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq P_{l} . \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { We will show that the chain } \\ \text { will saturate in finite steps. }\end{array}\right.$
Exercise 17.21
Give a $P$ such that the saturation takes take multiple steps.

## TDI-systems quickly finds $P^{\prime}$

Theorem 17.14
Let $A x \leq b$ be TDI and $A$ is integral. Let $P=\{x \mid A x \leq b\} . P^{\prime}=\{x \mid A x \leq\lfloor b\rfloor\}$ Proof.

If $P=\emptyset$, trivial.(Why?)
Let us assume $P \neq \emptyset$.
Clearly, $P^{\prime} \subseteq\{x \mid A x \leq\lfloor b\rfloor\}$.(Why?)
Claim: $P^{\prime} \supseteq\{x \mid A x \leq\lfloor b\rfloor\}$

Let $H=\{x \mid c x \leq \delta\}$ be a rational half-space such that $P \subseteq H$.
Wlog we assume $\operatorname{gcd}(c)=1$. Then, $H_{l}=\{x \mid c x \leq\lfloor\delta\rfloor\}$.
We have $\delta \geq \max \{c x \mid A x \leq b\}=\min \{y b \mid y \geq 0 \wedge y A=c\}$.
Since $A x \leq b$ is TDI, the above min is attained by an integral $y_{0}$.
Chose $x$ such that $A x \leq\lfloor b\rfloor$. Therefore, $c x=y_{0} A x \leq y_{0}\lfloor b\rfloor \leq\left\lfloor y_{0} b\right\rfloor \leq\lfloor\delta\rfloor$.
So $\{x \mid A x \leq\lfloor b\rfloor\} \subseteq H_{l}$.
As this is true for each rational half-space, the claim holds.

## $P^{\prime}$ carries over to faces

## Theorem 17.15

Let $F$ be face of a rational polyhedron $P$. Then $F^{\prime}=P^{\prime} \cap F$
Proof.
Let $P=\{x \mid A x \leq b\}$, with $A$ integral and $A x \leq b$ TDI.
Let $F=\{x \mid A x \leq b \wedge a x=\beta\}$ for integral $a$ and $\beta$ and $P \Rightarrow a x \leq \beta$.(Why?)
Since $A x \leq b \wedge a x \leq \beta$ is $\operatorname{TDI}_{(W h y ?)}, A x \leq b \wedge a x=\beta$ is TDI.

Therefore,

$$
P^{\prime} \cap F=\{x \mid A x \leq\lfloor b\rfloor \wedge a x=\beta\}=\{x \mid A x \leq\lfloor b\rfloor \wedge a x \leq\lfloor\beta\rfloor \wedge a x \geq\lfloor\beta\rfloor\}=F^{\prime}
$$

## $P^{t}=P_{1}$

Theorem 17.16
For each rational polyhedron $P$, there exists a number $t$ such that $P^{t}=P_{I}$.
Proof.
We apply induction over dimension $d$ of $P$.

The case $P=\emptyset$ and $d=0$ are trivial.
case: Let us suppose affine. Hull( $P$ ) has no integers.

Therefore, there is integral vector $c$ and non-integer $\delta$ such that affine. $H u l l(P) \subseteq\{x \mid c x=\delta\}$. Hence,

$$
P^{\prime} \subseteq\{x \mid c x \leq\lfloor\delta\rfloor \wedge c x \geq\lceil\delta\rceil\}=\emptyset
$$

Therefore, $P^{\prime}=P_{I}$.
$P^{t}=P_{l} \quad$ II
Proof(contd).
case: Let us suppose affine. $\mathrm{Hull}(P)$ has integers.
If affine.Hull( $P$ ) is not full dimensional, we project it to lower dimensions using Hermite Normal form and apply induction hypothesis.(How?)

Therefore, we may assume affine. $\operatorname{Hull}(P)$ is full dimensional.
Due to theorem ??, we know $P_{I}=\left\{x \mid A x \leq b^{\prime}\right\}$ and $P=\{x \mid A x \leq b\}$.
Let $a x \leq \beta^{\prime}$ in $A x \leq b^{\prime}$, and there is a corresponding $a x \leq \beta$ in $A x \leq b$.
Let $H=\left\{x \mid a x \leq \beta^{\prime}\right\}$.

## $P^{t}=P_{I} \quad$ III

## Proof(contd.)

Claim: $P^{s} \subseteq H$ for some $s$
Let us suppose for each s, we have $P^{s} \nsubseteq H$.
Therefore, there is an integer $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ and an integer $r$ such that $\beta^{\prime}<\beta^{\prime \prime} \leq\lfloor\beta\rfloor$.

$$
\left\{x \mid a x \leq \beta^{\prime \prime}-1\right\} \nsupseteq P^{s} \subseteq\left\{x \mid a x \leq \beta^{\prime \prime}\right\} \quad \text { for each } s \geq r
$$



Let $F=P^{r} \cap\left\{x \mid a x=\beta^{\prime \prime}\right\}$.
Due to $\operatorname{dim}(F)<\operatorname{dim}(P), F$ does not contain any integer(Why?), and induction hypothesis, $F^{u}=\emptyset$ for some $u$.
Therefore,

$$
\emptyset=F^{u}=P^{(r+u)} \cap F=P^{(r+u)} \cap\left\{x \mid a x=\beta^{\prime \prime}\right\}
$$



## Cutting plane proofs

Let $A x \leq b$ be a system of inequalities, and let $c x \leq \delta$ be an inequality.

## Definition 17.11

A sequence of inequalities $c_{1} x \leq \delta_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} x \leq \delta_{m}$ is a cutting plane proof of $c x \leq \delta$ from $A x \leq b$ if

- $c_{m}=c, \delta_{m}=\delta$,
- $c_{1}, \ldots . c_{m}$ are integral,
- $c_{i}=\Lambda A+\lambda_{1} c_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{i-1} c_{i-1}$, and
- $\delta_{i} \geq\left\lfloor\Lambda \delta+\lambda_{1} \delta_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{i-1} \delta_{i-1}\right\rfloor$, where $\Lambda, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{i-1} \geq 0$.
$m$ is the length of the proof.


## Cutting plane proofs always exist

## Theorem 17.17

Let $P=\{x \mid A x \leq b\}$ be a nonempty rational polyhedron.

- If $P_{I} \neq \emptyset$ and $P_{I} \Rightarrow c x \leq \delta$, then there is a cutting plane proof of $c x \leq \delta$ from $A x \leq b$.
- If $P_{I}=\emptyset$, then there is a cutting plane proof of $0 \leq-1$ from $A x \leq b$.


## Proof.

Let $t$ be such that $P^{t}=P_{I}$.
For each $i \geq 1$, there is a system $A_{i} x \leq b_{i}$ that defines $P^{i}$ such that

- For each $\alpha x \leq \beta$ in $A_{i} x \leq b_{i}$, there is $y A_{i-1}=\alpha$ and $\beta=\left\lfloor y b_{i-1}\right\rfloor$.
- $A_{0}=A$ and $b_{0}=b$.


## Cutting plane proofs always exist

## Proof(contd.)

If $P_{I} \neq \emptyset$ and $P_{I} \Rightarrow c x \leq \delta$, due to the Farkas lemma (affine form) $y A_{t}=c$ and $\delta \geq y b_{t}$. Therefore, the following is the cutting proof of $c x \leq b$ from $A x \leq b$,

$$
A_{1} x \leq b_{1}, \ldots ., A_{t} x \leq b_{t}, c x \leq b
$$

If $P_{I}=\emptyset$, then $y A_{t}=0$ and $y b_{t}=-1$ for some $y \geq 0$.
Therefore, the following is the cutting proof of $0 \leq-1$ from $A x \leq b$.

$$
A_{1} x \leq b_{1}, \ldots . ., A_{t} x \leq b_{t}, 0 x \leq-1
$$

## Length of cutting plane proofs

The number of cutting planes depends on the size of numbers!

The following will trigger at least $k$ cuts.


# Topic 17.11 

## Problems

## Find a TDI-system

## Exercise 17.22

Write a program that takes an integral system $A x \leq b$ as input, and finds a TDI-system that also defines polyhedron $\{x \mid A x \leq b\}$.

- All groups will implement the program in $C++$
- Please feel free to consult any literature to implement the procedure efficiently but refrain from using high level libraries.
- Each group will submit 30 random inputs in the following format $\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 \\ \mathbf{b} & 5 \\ 6 \\ 8\end{array}$
- First row defines the size of matrix A [row_size] [column_size]
- Afterwards rows of integral $A$ are written one after another
- Afterwards b indicates the start of vector $b$.
- Afterwards entries of $b$ are listed.


## Evaluation:

- We will pool submitted inputs and run all the submissions on the inputs



## End of Lecture 17

