# CS 105: Department Introductory Course on Discrete Structures 

Instructor: S. Akshay

Aug 24, 2023<br>Lecture 08 - Basic Mathematical Structures<br>Countable and Uncountable Sets

## Countable and countably infinite sets

## Definition

- Set $C$ is called countably infinite, if there is a bijection from set $C$ to $\mathbb{N}$.
- A set is countable if it is finite or countably infinite.

Examples: even numbers, number of horses,...
By previous corollary ( $\exists$ surj from any infinite set to $\mathbb{N}$ )
Countably infinite sets are the "smallest" infinite sets.

## Some questions...

## Are the following sets countable?

## That is, is there a bijection from these sets to $\mathbb{N}$ ?

1. the set of all integers $\mathbb{Z}$
2. $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$
3. $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$
4. the set of rationals $\mathbb{Q}$
5. the set of all (finite and infinite) subsets of $\mathbb{N}$
6. the set of all real numbers $\mathbb{R}$
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Theorem: The cartesian product of two countably infinite sets is countably infinite
Proof: Let $A, B$ be countably infinite. Find a way to "number" the elements in $A \times B=\{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$.

- That is, define a bijection from $A \times B$ to $\mathbb{N}$.

$$
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- The set of (positive) rationals is countable.

Hint: Show that $f(a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}a / b \text { if } b \neq 0 \\ 0 \text { if } b=0\end{array}\right.$, is a surjection. How does the result follow?

## Countable sets and functions

Are the following sets countable?

- the set of all integers $\mathbb{Z}$
- $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$
- $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$
- the set of rationals $\mathbb{Q}$
- the set of all (finite and infinite) subsets of $\mathbb{N}$
- the set of all real numbers $\mathbb{R}$
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- $S=\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \notin f(i)\}$ is like the one from Russell's paradox.
- If $\exists j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(j)=S$, then we have a contradiction.
- If $j \in S$, then $j \notin f(j)=S$.
- If $j \notin S$, then $j \notin f(j)$, which implies $j \in S$.
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In fact, using diagonalization Cantor showed that...

- There cannot be a bijection between any set and its power set (i.e., its set of subsets).(H.W)
- So there is an infinite hierarchy of "larger" infinities...
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In fact, using diagonalization Cantor showed that...

- There cannot be a bijection between any set and its power set (i.e., its set of subsets).(H.W)
- So there is an infinite hierarchy of "larger" infinities...
- There is no bijection from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{N}$ (H.W). Moreover, there is a bijection from $\mathbb{R}$ to set of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$.

