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- Graph representations, isomorphisms and automorphisms.
- Matchings: perfect, maximal and maximum.


## Characterizations

1. Eulerian graphs: Using degrees of vertices.
2. Bipartite graphs: Using odd length cycles.
3. Connected components: Using cycles.
4. Maximum matchings: Using augmenting paths.

## Matchings

## Definitions

- A matching in a graph $G$ is a set of (non-loop) edges with no shared end-points. The vertices incident to edges in a matching are called matched or saturated. Others are unsaturated.
- A perfect matching in a graph is a matching that saturates every vertex.
- A maximal matching in a graph is a matching that cannot be enlarged by adding an edge.
- A maximum matching is a matching of maximum size (\# edges) among all matchings in a graph.


## Matchings: Pop Quiz



Give an example of the following, if possible:

1. A maximal matching in $G$ which is not a maximum matching.
2. A maximum matching in $G$. How do you know it is maximum?
3. Can there be more than one maximum matching in a graph?
4. A graph which has no perfect matching but has a maximum matching. Is $G$ such a graph?

## Matchings: Pop Quiz



- Perfect matching $\Longrightarrow$ maximum matching $\Longrightarrow$ maximal matching
- The reverse directions in the above implications do not hold.
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## Definition
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## Theorem
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Ex 2: What is the symmetric difference of $M$ (red) and $M^{\prime}$ (green) in the above graph? Can you generalize this?
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## Lemma

Every component of the symmetric difference of two matchings is either a path or an even cycle.

- Let $F=M \triangle M^{\prime} . F$ has at most 2 edges at each vertex, hence every component is a path or a cycle.
- Further every path/cycle alternates between edges of $M \backslash M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime} \backslash M$.
- Thus, each cycle has even length with equal edges from $M$ and $M^{\prime}$.
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## Theorem (Berge'57)

A matching $M$ in $G$ is a maximum matching iff $G$ has no $M$-augmenting path.

Proof:

- One direction is trivial (which one?!).
- $(\Longleftarrow)$ For the other, we will show the contrapositive.
- i.e., if $\exists$ matching $M^{\prime}$ larger than $M$, we will construct an $M$-augmenting path.
- Let $F=M \triangle M^{\prime}$. By Lemma, $F$ has only paths and even cycles with equal no. of edges from $M$ and $M^{\prime}$.
- But then since $\left|M^{\prime}\right|>|M|$ it must have a component with more edges in $M^{\prime}$ than $M$.
- This component can only be a path that starts and ends with an edge of $M^{\prime}$; i.e., it is an $M$-augmenting path in $G$.
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- If there are $m$ jobs and $n$ applicants, when can we find a perfect matching where all $m$ jobs are saturated?
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## Theorem (Hall'35)
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## Characterizing perfect matchings in bipartite graphs

## Theorem (Hall'35)

A bipartite graph $G$ with bipartitions $X, Y$ has a matching that saturates $X$ iff for all $S \subseteq X,|N(S)| \geq|S|$.

Proof: $(\Longrightarrow)$ is straightforward:

- Let $M$ be a matching.
- Then for any $S \subseteq X$, each vertex of $S$ is matched to a distinct vertex in $N(S)$
- So $|N(S)| \geq|S|$.

