# CS 105: Department Introductory Course on Discrete Structures

Instructor : S. Akshay

Aug 08, 2024

Lecture 06 – Strong Induction

1

# Recap

### Last week

- ▶ What are discrete structures?
- ► Course outline
- ▶ Chapter 1: Proofs and structures
  - ▶ Propositions: statements that can be assigned a truth value
  - Predicates: propositions with variables
  - Quantifiers
  - ▶ Theorems and different types of proofs

### This week

- Induction
- ▶ Well-ordering principle
- ► Today: Strong Induction

- Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic.

- Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of (one or more) primes

– Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of (one or more) primes

Proof by contradiction using WOP!:

Let S be the set of all integers greater than 1 that cannot be written as a product of (one or more) primes.

– Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of (one or more) primes

Proof by contradiction using WOP!:

- ▶ Let S be the set of all integers greater than 1 that cannot be written as a product of (one or more) primes.
- If S is non-empty, there is a least element in it by WOP.

– Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of (one or more) primes

Proof by contradiction using WOP!:

- ▶ Let S be the set of all integers greater than 1 that cannot be written as a product of (one or more) primes.
- ▶ If S is non-empty, there is a least element in it by WOP.
- ▶ Call this least number n. First, n can't be a prime (why?).

▶ So 
$$n = a \cdot b$$
, where  $n > a, b > 1$ .

– Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of (one or more) primes

Proof by contradiction using WOP!:

- ▶ Let S be the set of all integers greater than 1 that cannot be written as a product of (one or more) primes.
- ▶ If S is non-empty, there is a least element in it by WOP.
- ▶ Call this least number n. First, n can't be a prime (why?).

• So 
$$n = a \cdot b$$
, where  $n > a, b > 1$ .

- Since a and b are smaller than the smallest number in S, they can be written as a product of (one or more) primes.
- Let  $a = p_1 \dots p_k$  and  $b = q_1 \dots q_l$ , for  $k, l \ge 1$ . But then  $n = p_1 \dots p_k \cdot q_1 \dots q_l$ , which is a contradiction.

– Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of (one or more) primes

Proof by contradiction using WOP!:

- ▶ Let S be the set of all integers greater than 1 that cannot be written as a product of (one or more) primes.
- ▶ If S is non-empty, there is a least element in it by WOP.
- ▶ Call this least number n. First, n can't be a prime (why?).

• So 
$$n = a \cdot b$$
, where  $n > a, b > 1$ .

- Since a and b are smaller than the smallest number in S, they can be written as a product of (one or more) primes.
- Let  $a = p_1 \dots p_k$  and  $b = q_1 \dots q_l$ , for  $k, l \ge 1$ . But then  $n = p_1 \dots p_k \cdot q_1 \dots q_l$ , which is a contradiction.

Qn: How do you show uniqueness?

### Fundamental theorem of arithmetic (Uniqueness) Any integer > 1 can be written as a unique product of one or more primes

### Fundamental theorem of arithmetic (Uniqueness) Any integer > 1 can be written as a unique product of one or more primes

How do we show uniqueness?

Fundamental theorem of arithmetic (Uniqueness) Any integer > 1 can be written as a unique product of one or more primes How do we show uniqueness? Proof by contradiction!

Any integer > 1 can be written as a unique product of one or more primes

How do we show uniqueness? Proof by contradiction!

1. Let  $S \neq \emptyset$  be the set integers > 1 that can be written has product of one or more primes in two ways.

Any integer > 1 can be written as a unique product of one or more primes

- 1. Let  $S \neq \emptyset$  be the set integers > 1 that can be written has product of one or more primes in two ways.
- 2. By WOP, let s be the smallest integer in S.

Any integer > 1 can be written as a unique product of one or more primes

- 1. Let  $S \neq \emptyset$  be the set integers > 1 that can be written has product of one or more primes in two ways.
- 2. By WOP, let s be the smallest integer in S.
- 3. Then  $s = p_1, \ldots, p_m = q_1 \ldots, q_n$  where each  $p_i$  is distinct from each  $q_j$ .

Any integer > 1 can be written as a unique product of one or more primes

- 1. Let  $S \neq \emptyset$  be the set integers > 1 that can be written has product of one or more primes in two ways.
- 2. By WOP, let s be the smallest integer in S.
- 3. Then  $s = p_1, \ldots p_m = q_1 \ldots q_n$  where each  $p_i$  is distinct from each  $q_j$ . (else, if dividing by  $p_i$  would give a smaller elt in S)

Any integer > 1 can be written as a unique product of one or more primes

- 1. Let  $S \neq \emptyset$  be the set integers > 1 that can be written has product of one or more primes in two ways.
- 2. By WOP, let s be the smallest integer in S.
- 3. Then  $s = p_1, \ldots, p_m = q_1 \ldots, q_n$  where each  $p_i$  is distinct from each  $q_j$ .
- 4. Without loss of generality, assume  $p_1 < q_1$ .

Any integer > 1 can be written as a unique product of one or more primes

- 1. Let  $S \neq \emptyset$  be the set integers > 1 that can be written has product of one or more primes in two ways.
- 2. By WOP, let s be the smallest integer in S.
- 3. Then  $s = p_1, \ldots, p_m = q_1 \ldots, q_n$  where each  $p_i$  is distinct from each  $q_j$ .
- 4. Without loss of generality, assume  $p_1 < q_1$ .
- 5. Then,  $s = p_1 \cdot P = q_1 \cdot Q$  for some Q < P.

Any integer > 1 can be written as a unique product of one or more primes

- 1. Let  $S \neq \emptyset$  be the set integers > 1 that can be written has product of one or more primes in two ways.
- 2. By WOP, let s be the smallest integer in S.
- 3. Then  $s = p_1, \ldots, p_m = q_1 \ldots, q_n$  where each  $p_i$  is distinct from each  $q_j$ .
- 4. Without loss of generality, assume  $p_1 < q_1$ .
- 5. Then,  $s = p_1 \cdot P = q_1 \cdot Q$  for some Q < P.
- 6.  $s p_1 Q = (q_1 p_1)Q = p_1(P Q) < s$  which implies  $p_1 < s$ .
- 7. But by 2.,  $p_1$  must have a unique prime factorization, so it must occur in factorization of Q or  $(q_1 p_1)$ .

Any integer > 1 can be written as a unique product of one or more primes

- 1. Let  $S \neq \emptyset$  be the set integers > 1 that can be written has product of one or more primes in two ways.
- 2. By WOP, let s be the smallest integer in S.
- 3. Then  $s = p_1, \ldots, p_m = q_1 \ldots, q_n$  where each  $p_i$  is distinct from each  $q_j$ .
- 4. Without loss of generality, assume  $p_1 < q_1$ .
- 5. Then,  $s = p_1 \cdot P = q_1 \cdot Q$  for some Q < P.
- 6.  $s p_1 Q = (q_1 p_1)Q = p_1(P Q) < s$  which implies  $p_1 < s$ .
- 7. But by 2.,  $p_1$  must have a unique prime factorization, so it must occur in factorization of Q or  $(q_1 p_1)$ .
  - If  $p_1$  occurs in factorization of Q, then  $p_1 = q_j$  so violates 3.

Any integer > 1 can be written as a unique product of one or more primes

- 1. Let  $S \neq \emptyset$  be the set integers > 1 that can be written has product of one or more primes in two ways.
- 2. By WOP, let s be the smallest integer in S.
- 3. Then  $s = p_1, \ldots, p_m = q_1 \ldots, q_n$  where each  $p_i$  is distinct from each  $q_j$ .
- 4. Without loss of generality, assume  $p_1 < q_1$ .
- 5. Then,  $s = p_1 \cdot P = q_1 \cdot Q$  for some Q < P.
- 6.  $s p_1 Q = (q_1 p_1)Q = p_1(P Q) < s$  which implies  $p_1 < s$ .
- 7. But by 2.,  $p_1$  must have a unique prime factorization, so it must occur in factorization of Q or  $(q_1 p_1)$ .
  - ▶ If p₁ occurs in factorization of Q, then p₁ = qj so violates 3.
    ▶ If p₁ occurs in factorization of q₁ − p₁ then p₁ must divide q₁ − p₁ and hence also q₁, which is impossible since p₁, q₁ are primes.

Any integer > 1 can be written as a unique product of one or more primes

- 1. Let  $S \neq \emptyset$  be the set integers > 1 that can be written has product of one or more primes in two ways.
- 2. By WOP, let s be the smallest integer in S.
- 3. Then  $s = p_1, \ldots, p_m = q_1 \ldots, q_n$  where each  $p_i$  is distinct from each  $q_j$ .
- 4. Without loss of generality, assume  $p_1 < q_1$ .
- 5. Then,  $s = p_1 \cdot P = q_1 \cdot Q$  for some Q < P.
- 6.  $s p_1 Q = (q_1 p_1)Q = p_1(P Q) < s$  which implies  $p_1 < s$ .
- 7. But by 2.,  $p_1$  must have a unique prime factorization, so it must occur in factorization of Q or  $(q_1 p_1)$ .
  - ▶ If p₁ occurs in factorization of Q, then p₁ = qj so violates 3.
    ▶ If p₁ occurs in factorization of q₁ − p₁ then p₁ must divide q₁ − p₁ and hence also q₁, which is impossible since p₁, q₁ are primes.
- 8. Hence we have a contradiction, and we conclude  $S = \emptyset$ .

Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic.
Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of one or more primes
Proof by induction:

– Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of one or more primes

Proof by induction:

▶ Base case: n = 2, done.

– Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of one or more primes

Proof by induction:

▶ Base case: n = 2, done.

• Assume induction hypothesis for n = k, i.e.,  $k = p_1 \cdots p_n$ .

- Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of one or more primes

Proof by induction:

- ▶ Base case: n = 2, done.
- Assume induction hypothesis for n = k, i.e.,  $k = p_1 \cdots p_n$ .
- Consider n = k + 1.

▶ If k + 1 is a prime, then done. Else  $k + 1 = p \cdot q, p, q > 1$ .

– Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of one or more primes

Proof by induction:

- ▶ Base case: n = 2, done.
- Assume induction hypothesis for n = k, i.e.,  $k = p_1 \cdots p_n$ .
- Consider n = k + 1.
- ▶ If k + 1 is a prime, then done. Else  $k + 1 = p \cdot q, p, q > 1$ .
- ▶ But now it may be that  $p, q \neq k$ , so we can't use induction hypothesis.
  - ▶ For example, if k + 1 = 21, then  $21 = 7 \cdot 3$ , but k = 20, we need P(7), P(3) to hold!

– Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of one or more primes

Proof by induction:

- ▶ Base case: n = 2, done.
- Assume induction hypothesis for n = k, i.e.,  $k = p_1 \cdots p_n$ .
- Consider n = k + 1.
- ▶ If k + 1 is a prime, then done. Else  $k + 1 = p \cdot q, p, q > 1$ .
- ▶ But now it may be that  $p, q \neq k$ , so we can't use induction hypothesis.
  - ▶ For example, if k + 1 = 21, then  $21 = 7 \cdot 3$ , but k = 20, we need P(7), P(3) to hold!

▶ Let us strengthen our induction hypothesis. That is...

– Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of one or more primes

Proof by induction:

- ▶ Base case: n = 2, done.
- Assume strong induction hypothesis, i.e., for all  $1 \le r \le k$ ,  $k = p_1 \cdots p_m$ .
- Consider n = k + 1.

▶ If k + 1 is a prime, then done. Else  $k + 1 = p \cdot q, p, q > 1$ .

- Proving one part of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic.

Theorem: Any integer > 1 can be written as a product of one or more primes

Proof by induction:

- ▶ Base case: n = 2, done.
- Assume strong induction hypothesis, i.e., for all  $1 \le r \le k$ ,  $k = p_1 \cdots p_m$ .
- Consider n = k + 1.
- ▶ If k + 1 is a prime, then done. Else  $k + 1 = p \cdot q, p, q > 1$ .
- ▶ By the stronger hypothesis, we can write  $p = p_1 \dots p_k$  and  $q = q_1 \dots q_l$ .
- Therefore  $k + 1 = p_1 \cdots p_k \cdot q_1 \cdots q_k$ .
- Thus, the statement holds for all n > 1.

# Strong Induction

### Strong Induction

Let P(n) be a property of non-negative integers. If

- $\blacktriangleright$  P(0) is true (Base case)
- ▶ for all  $k \ge 0$ ,  $(P(0) \land P(1) \land \dots \land P(k)) \implies P(k+1)$ then P(n) is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (Induction Step)

# Strong Induction

### Strong Induction

Let P(n) be a property of non-negative integers. If

- $\blacktriangleright$  P(0) is true (Base case)
- ▶ for all  $k \ge 0$ ,  $(P(0) \land P(1) \land \dots \land P(k)) \implies P(k+1)$ then P(n) is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (Induction Step)

### Induction

Let P(n) be a property of non-negative integers. If

- $\blacktriangleright$  P(0) is true (Base case)
- for all  $k \ge 0$ ,  $P(k) \implies P(k+1)$  (Induction step)

then P(n) is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Theorem: Strong Induction iff Induction iff WOP

An odd number of students stand at mutually distinct distances. At the same time, each student throws a paper rocket at their nearest neighbour, hitting this person. Use mathematical induction, to show that there is at least one survivor, i.e., at least one student who is not hit by a rocket! How to show this? What is P(n)?

▶ P(n) be the statement that there is a survivor whenever 2n + 1 students stand at distinct mutual distances and each student throws a rocket at their nearest neighbour. Show that P(n) is true for all positive integers n.

- ▶ P(n) be the statement that there is a survivor whenever 2n + 1 students stand at distinct mutual distances and each student throws a rocket at their nearest neighbour. Show that P(n) is true for all positive integers n.
- ▶ Base case: n=1.

- ▶ P(n) be the statement that there is a survivor whenever 2n + 1 students stand at distinct mutual distances and each student throws a rocket at their nearest neighbour. Show that P(n) is true for all positive integers n.
- ▶ Base case: n=1.
- Assume for groups of 2k + 1 students. Now consider a group of 2k + 3 students.

- ▶ P(n) be the statement that there is a survivor whenever 2n + 1 students stand at distinct mutual distances and each student throws a rocket at their nearest neighbour. Show that P(n) is true for all positive integers n.
- ▶ Base case: n=1.
- Assume for groups of 2k + 1 students. Now consider a group of 2k + 3 students.
- Consider the closest pair A-B and divide into two cases based on whether someone threw rocket at them.

### Quotient-Remainder Theorem

$$n = q \cdot m + r, \quad 0 \le r < m$$

### Quotient-Remainder Theorem

For any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \neq 0$ , there exists a unique quotient q and remainder  $r \ (q, r \in \mathbb{N})$ , such that

$$n = q \cdot m + r, \quad 0 \le r < m$$

1. Fix any m > 0, we use strong induction on n.

### Quotient-Remainder Theorem

For any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \neq 0$ , there exists a unique quotient q and remainder  $r \ (q, r \in \mathbb{N})$ , such that

$$n = q \cdot m + r, \quad 0 \le r < m$$

1. Fix any m > 0, we use strong induction on n.

2. Base cases:  $n \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ . What should q and r be?

#### Quotient-Remainder Theorem

For any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \neq 0$ , there exists a unique quotient q and remainder  $r \ (q, r \in \mathbb{N})$ , such that

$$n = q \cdot m + r, \quad 0 \le r < m$$

1. Fix any m > 0, we use strong induction on n.

- 2. Base cases:  $n \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ . What should q and r be?
- 3. Induction step: We prove for all  $k \ge m$ ,

#### Quotient-Remainder Theorem

$$n = q \cdot m + r, \quad 0 \le r < m$$

- 1. Fix any m > 0, we use strong induction on n.
- 2. Base cases:  $n \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ . What should q and r be?
- 3. Induction step: We prove for all  $k \ge m$ , 3.1 (ind hyp): if  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \le k, \exists q, r \text{ s.t. } n = qm + r, 0 \le r < m$ .

#### Quotient-Remainder Theorem

$$n = q \cdot m + r, \quad 0 \le r < m$$

- 1. Fix any m > 0, we use strong induction on n.
- 2. Base cases:  $n \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ . What should q and r be?
- 3. Induction step: We prove for all  $k \ge m$ ,
  - 3.1 (ind hyp): if  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq k, \exists q, r \text{ s.t. } n = qm + r, 0 \leq r < m$ . 3.2 (to prove):  $\exists q^*, r^* \text{ s.t., } k + 1 = q^*m + r^* \text{ for } 0 \leq r^* < m$ .

#### Quotient-Remainder Theorem

$$n = q \cdot m + r, \quad 0 \le r < m$$

- 1. Fix any m > 0, we use strong induction on n.
- 2. Base cases:  $n \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ . What should q and r be?
- 3. Induction step: We prove for all  $k \ge m$ , 3.1 (ind hyp): if  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \le k, \exists q, r \text{ s.t. } n = qm + r, 0 \le r < m$ . 3.2 (to prove):  $\exists q^*, r^* \text{ s.t.}, k + 1 = q^*m + r^*$  for  $0 \le r^* < m$ .
- 4. Take  $k' = (k+1) m = k (m-1) \le k$ . i.e.,  $k' \le k$ , so we can apply ind hyp on k'.

#### Quotient-Remainder Theorem

$$n = q \cdot m + r, \quad 0 \le r < m$$

- 1. Fix any m > 0, we use strong induction on n.
- 2. Base cases:  $n \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ . What should q and r be?
- 3. Induction step: We prove for all  $k \ge m$ , 3.1 (ind hyp): if  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \le k, \exists q, r \text{ s.t. } n = qm + r, 0 \le r < m$ . 3.2 (to prove):  $\exists q^*, r^* \text{ s.t.}, k + 1 = q^*m + r^*$  for  $0 \le r^* < m$ .
- 4. Take  $k' = (k+1) m = k (m-1) \le k$ . i.e.,  $k' \le k$ , so we can apply ind hyp on k'.
- 5. By Ind Hyp, k' = q'm + r' for some  $q', 0 \le r' < m$ .

#### Quotient-Remainder Theorem

$$n = q \cdot m + r, \quad 0 \le r < m$$

- 1. Fix any m > 0, we use strong induction on n.
- 2. Base cases:  $n \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ . What should q and r be?
- 3. Induction step: We prove for all  $k \ge m$ , 3.1 (ind hyp): if  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \le k, \exists q, r \text{ s.t. } n = qm + r, 0 \le r < m$ . 3.2 (to prove):  $\exists q^*, r^* \text{ s.t.}, k + 1 = q^*m + r^*$  for  $0 \le r^* < m$ .
- 4. Take  $k' = (k+1) m = k (m-1) \le k$ . i.e.,  $k' \le k$ , so we can apply ind hyp on k'.
- 5. By Ind Hyp, k' = q'm + r' for some  $q', 0 \le r' < m$ .
- 6. Now to prove 3.2, we choose  $q^* = q' + 1, r^* = r'$

#### Quotient-Remainder Theorem

$$n = q \cdot m + r, \quad 0 \le r < m$$

- 1. Fix any m > 0, we use strong induction on n.
- 2. Base cases:  $n \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ . What should q and r be?
- 3. Induction step: We prove for all  $k \ge m$ , 3.1 (ind hyp): if  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \le k, \exists q, r \text{ s.t. } n = qm + r, 0 \le r < m$ . 3.2 (to prove):  $\exists q^*, r^* \text{ s.t.}, k + 1 = q^*m + r^*$  for  $0 \le r^* < m$ .
- 4. Take  $k' = (k+1) m = k (m-1) \le k$ . i.e.,  $k' \le k$ , so we can apply ind hyp on k'.
- 5. By Ind Hyp, k' = q'm + r' for some  $q', 0 \le r' < m$ .
- 6. Now to prove 3.2, we choose  $q^* = q' + 1, r^* = r'$
- 7. Then,  $q^*m + r^* = (q'+1)m + r' = q'm + r' + m = k' + m = ((k+1) m) + m = k + 1.$

#### Quotient-Remainder Theorem

For any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \neq 0$ , there exists a unique quotient q and remainder  $r \ (q, r \in \mathbb{N})$ , such that

$$n = q \cdot m + r, \quad 0 \le r < m$$

- 1. Fix any m > 0, we use strong induction on n.
- 2. Base cases:  $n \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ . What should q and r be?
- 3. Induction step: We prove for all  $k \ge m$ , 3.1 (ind hyp): if  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \le k, \exists q, r \text{ s.t. } n = qm + r, 0 \le r < m$ . 3.2 (to prove):  $\exists q^*, r^* \text{ s.t.}, k + 1 = q^*m + r^*$  for  $0 \le r^* < m$ .
- 4. Take  $k' = (k+1) m = k (m-1) \le k$ . i.e.,  $k' \le k$ , so we can apply ind hyp on k'.
- 5. By Ind Hyp, k' = q'm + r' for some  $q', 0 \le r' < m$ .
- 6. Now to prove 3.2, we choose  $q^* = q' + 1$ ,  $r^* = r'$
- 7. Then,  $q^*m + r^* = (q'+1)m + r' = q'm + r' + m = k' + m = ((k+1) m) + m = k + 1.$

Qns: Show uniqueness (H.W).

#### Quotient-Remainder Theorem

For any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \neq 0$ , there exists a unique quotient q and remainder  $r \ (q, r \in \mathbb{N})$ , such that

$$n = q \cdot m + r, \quad 0 \le r < m$$

- 1. Fix any m > 0, we use strong induction on n.
- 2. Base cases:  $n \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ . What should q and r be?
- 3. Induction step: We prove for all  $k \ge m$ , 3.1 (ind hyp): if  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \le k, \exists q, r \text{ s.t. } n = qm + r, 0 \le r < m$ . 3.2 (to prove):  $\exists q^*, r^* \text{ s.t.}, k + 1 = q^*m + r^*$  for  $0 \le r^* < m$ .
- 4. Take  $k' = (k+1) m = k (m-1) \le k$ . i.e.,  $k' \le k$ , so we can apply ind hyp on k'.
- 5. By Ind Hyp, k' = q'm + r' for some  $q', 0 \le r' < m$ .
- 6. Now to prove 3.2, we choose  $q^* = q' + 1, r^* = r'$
- 7. Then,  $q^*m + r^* = (q'+1)m + r' = q'm + r' + m = k' + m = ((k+1) m) + m = k + 1.$

Qns: Show uniqueness (H.W). Also, what if  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0$ ?