

CS 105: DIC on Discrete Structures

Instructor : S. Akshay

Sept 30, 2024

Lecture 24 – Counting and Combinatorics

Principle of Inclusion Exclusion and Pigeon-Hole Principle

Logistics

Quiz 2: Oct 18 Friday 8.15am

- ▶ Syllabus: Counting and Combinatorics, bit of Graph theory
- ▶ Venue: To be announced

Logistics

Quiz 2: Oct 18 Friday 8.15am

- ▶ Syllabus: Counting and Combinatorics, bit of Graph theory
- ▶ Venue: To be announced

Pop Quiz: Anytime

Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Theorem: Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be finite sets. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n| &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j| \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} |A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n| \end{aligned}$$

Proof: (H.W): Prove PIE by induction.

Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Theorem: Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be finite sets. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n| &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j| \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} |A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n| \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

- ▶ We will show that each element in the union is counted exactly once in the r.h.s

Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Theorem: Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be finite sets. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n| &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j| \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} |A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n| \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

- ▶ We will show that each element in the union is counted exactly once in the r.h.s
- ▶ Let a belong to exactly r of the sets A_1, \dots, A_n .

Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Theorem: Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be finite sets. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n| &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j| \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} |A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n| \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

- ▶ We will show that each element in the union is counted exactly once in the r.h.s
- ▶ Let a belong to exactly r of the sets A_1, \dots, A_n .
- ▶ Then a is counted $\binom{r}{1}$ times by $\sum |A_i|$, etc.

Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Theorem: Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be finite sets. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n| &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j| \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} |A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n| \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

- ▶ We will show that each element in the union is counted exactly once in the r.h.s
- ▶ Let a belong to exactly r of the sets A_1, \dots, A_n .
- ▶ Then a is counted $\binom{r}{1}$ times by $\sum |A_i|$, etc.
- ▶ Thus, overall count = $\binom{r}{1} - \binom{r}{2} + \dots + (-1)^{r+1} \binom{r}{r}$.

Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Theorem: Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be finite sets. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n| &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j| \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} |A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n| \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

- ▶ We will show that each element in the union is counted exactly once in the r.h.s
- ▶ Let a belong to exactly r of the sets A_1, \dots, A_n .
- ▶ Then a is counted $\binom{r}{1}$ times by $\sum |A_i|$, etc.
- ▶ Thus, overall count $= \binom{r}{1} - \binom{r}{2} + \dots + (-1)^{r+1} \binom{r}{r}$.
- ▶ What is this number?!

Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Theorem: Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be finite sets. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n| &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j| \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} |A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n| \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

- ▶ We will show that each element in the union is counted exactly once in the r.h.s
- ▶ Let a belong to exactly r of the sets A_1, \dots, A_n .
- ▶ Then a is counted $\binom{r}{1}$ times by $\sum |A_i|$, etc.
- ▶ Thus, overall count = $\binom{r}{1} - \binom{r}{2} + \dots + (-1)^{r+1} \binom{r}{r}$.
- ▶ What is this number?! = 1!

Application: Number of surjections

- ▶ How many surjections are there from $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ to $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$?

Application: Number of surjections

- ▶ How many surjections are there from $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ to $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$?
- ▶ $\# \text{ surjections} = \text{total } \# \text{ functions} - \text{those that miss some element in range.}$

Application: Number of surjections

- ▶ How many surjections are there from $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ to $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$?
- ▶ $\# \text{ surjections} = \text{total } \# \text{ functions} - \text{those that miss some element in range.}$
- ▶ Let $A_i = \{f : [n] \rightarrow [m] \mid i \notin \text{Range}(f)\}$
- ▶ Then, $\# \text{ surjections} = m^n - |\cup_{i \in [m]} A_i|.$

Application: Number of surjections

- ▶ How many surjections are there from $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ to $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$?
- ▶ $\# \text{ surjections} = \text{total } \# \text{ functions} - \text{those that miss some element in range.}$
- ▶ Let $A_i = \{f : [n] \rightarrow [m] \mid i \notin \text{Range}(f)\}$
- ▶ Then, $\# \text{ surjections} = m^n - |\cup_{i \in [m]} A_i|$.

Theorem: Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion (PIE)

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be finite sets. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n| &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j| \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} |A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n| \end{aligned}$$

Application: Number of surjections

- ▶ How many surjections are there from $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ to $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$?
- ▶ $\#$ surjections = total $\#$ functions - those that miss some element in range.
- ▶ Let $A_i = \{f : [n] \rightarrow [m] \mid i \notin \text{Range}(f)\}$
- ▶ Then, $\#$ surjections = $m^n - |\cup_{i \in [m]} A_i|$.
- ▶ $|\cup_{i \in [m]} A_i| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} |A_i \cap A_j| + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| - \dots + (-1)^{m+1} |A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m|$

Application: Number of surjections

- ▶ How many surjections are there from $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ to $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$?
- ▶ $\# \text{ surjections} = \text{total } \# \text{ functions} - \text{those that miss some element in range.}$
- ▶ Let $A_i = \{f : [n] \rightarrow [m] \mid i \notin \text{Range}(f)\}$
- ▶ Then, $\# \text{ surjections} = m^n - |\cup_{i \in [m]} A_i|$.
- ▶ $|\cup_{i \in [m]} A_i| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} |A_i \cap A_j| + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| - \dots + (-1)^{m+1} |A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m|$
- ▶ But now what is $|A_i|, |A_i \cap A_j|, |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k|, \dots$?

Application: Number of surjections

- ▶ How many surjections are there from $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ to $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$?
- ▶ $\# \text{ surjections} = \text{total } \# \text{ functions} - \text{those that miss some element in range.}$
- ▶ Let $A_i = \{f : [n] \rightarrow [m] \mid i \notin \text{Range}(f)\}$
- ▶ Then, $\# \text{ surjections} = m^n - |\cup_{i \in [m]} A_i|$.
- ▶ $|\cup_{i \in [m]} A_i| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} |A_i \cap A_j| + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| - \dots + (-1)^{m+1} |A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m|$
- ▶ But now what is $|A_i|, |A_i \cap A_j|, |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k|, \dots$?
- ▶ $|A_i| = (m-1)^n, |A_i \cap A_j| = (m-2)^n \dots$

Application: Number of surjections

- ▶ How many surjections are there from $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ to $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$?
- ▶ $\# \text{ surjections} = \text{total } \# \text{ functions} - \text{those that miss some element in range.}$
- ▶ Let $A_i = \{f : [n] \rightarrow [m] \mid i \notin \text{Range}(f)\}$
- ▶ Then, $\# \text{ surjections} = m^n - |\cup_{i \in [m]} A_i|$.
- ▶ $|\cup_{i \in [m]} A_i| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} |A_i \cap A_j| + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| - \dots + (-1)^{m+1} |A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m|$
- ▶ But now what is $|A_i|, |A_i \cap A_j|, |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k|, \dots$?
- ▶ $|A_i| = (m-1)^n, |A_i \cap A_j| = (m-2)^n \dots$
- ▶ What about the summation? terms $1 \leq i < j \leq m =$

Application: Number of surjections

- ▶ How many surjections are there from $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ to $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$?
- ▶ $\#$ surjections = total $\#$ functions - those that miss some element in range.
- ▶ Let $A_i = \{f : [n] \rightarrow [m] \mid i \notin \text{Range}(f)\}$
- ▶ Then, $\#$ surjections = $m^n - |\cup_{i \in [m]} A_i|$.
- ▶ $|\cup_{i \in [m]} A_i| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} |A_i \cap A_j| + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| - \dots + (-1)^{m+1} |A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m|$
- ▶ But now what is $|A_i|, |A_i \cap A_j|, |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k|, \dots$?
- ▶ $|A_i| = (m-1)^n, |A_i \cap A_j| = (m-2)^n \dots$
- ▶ What about the summation? terms $1 \leq i < j \leq m = \binom{m}{2}$

Thus, we have $\#$ surjections from $[n]$ to $[m] =$

$$m^n - \binom{m}{1}(m-1)^n + \binom{m}{2}(m-2)^n - \dots + (-1)^{m-1} \binom{m}{m-1} \cdot 1^n.$$

Pop Quiz!

1. Does there exist an injective function from a set of $k + 1$ elements to a set with k elements? Why or why not?
2. How many cards **must** be selected from a pack of 52 cards so that at least three cards of the same suit are chosen?
3. Prove or disprove
 - 3.1 For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a multiple of n whose decimal expansion only has 0's and 1's.
 - 3.2 Every sequence of $n^2 + 1$ distinct real numbers contains a subsequence of length $n + 1$ which is either increasing or decreasing.
 - 3.3 If there are $n \geq 1 + r(\ell - 1)$ objects which are colored with r different colors, then there exist ℓ objects all with the same color.

This lecture

Pigeon-Hole Principle (PHP) and its applications

This lecture

Pigeon-Hole Principle (PHP) and its applications

A simple formulation

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k + 1$ (or more) objects are to be placed in k boxes, then at least one box will have 2 (or more) objects.

This lecture

Pigeon-Hole Principle (PHP) and its applications

A simple formulation

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k + 1$ (or more) objects are to be placed in k boxes, then at least one box will have 2 (or more) objects.

How do you prove it?

This lecture

Pigeon-Hole Principle (PHP) and its applications

A simple formulation

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k + 1$ (or more) objects are to be placed in k boxes, then at least one box will have 2 (or more) objects.

How do you prove it?

A simple corollary

- ▶ Can a function from a set of $k + 1$ or more elements to a set with k elements be injective?

Pigeon-Hole Principle (PHP)

Another simple application

For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a multiple of n whose decimal expansion only has 0's and 1's.

Pigeon-Hole Principle (PHP)

Another simple application

For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a multiple of n whose decimal expansion only has 0's and 1's.

- ▶ Consider $n + 1$ integers $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 11, k_3 = 111, \dots, k_{n+1} = 1 \dots 1$ (with $n + 1$ 1's).

Pigeon-Hole Principle (PHP)

Another simple application

For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a multiple of n whose decimal expansion only has 0's and 1's.

- ▶ Consider $n + 1$ integers $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 11, k_3 = 111, \dots, k_{n+1} = 1 \dots 1$ (with $n + 1$ 1's).
- ▶ When any integer is divided by n , the remainder can be either $0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, i.e., n choices.

Pigeon-Hole Principle (PHP)

Another simple application

For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a multiple of n whose decimal expansion only has 0's and 1's.

- ▶ Consider $n + 1$ integers $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 11, k_3 = 111, \dots, k_{n+1} = 1 \dots 1$ (with $n + 1$ 1's).
- ▶ When any integer is divided by n , the remainder can be either $0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, i.e., n choices.
- ▶ So among the $n + 1$ integers, by PHP, at least 2 must have the same remainder.

Pigeon-Hole Principle (PHP)

Another simple application

For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists a multiple of n whose decimal expansion only has 0's and 1's.

- ▶ Consider $n + 1$ integers $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 11, k_3 = 111, \dots, k_{n+1} = 1 \dots 1$ (with $n + 1$ 1's).
- ▶ When any integer is divided by n , the remainder can be either $0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, i.e., n choices.
- ▶ So among the $n + 1$ integers, by PHP, at least 2 must have the same remainder.
- ▶ That is, $\exists i, j, k_i = pn + d, k_j = qn + d$.
- ▶ But then $|k_i - k_j|$ is a multiple of n and its decimal expansion only has 0's and 1's. □

A (slightly) more general PHP

Pigeon-Hole Principle (Variant 1)

If N objects are placed into k boxes then there is at least one box with at least $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects.

A (slightly) more general PHP

Pigeon-Hole Principle (Variant 1)

If N objects are placed into k boxes then there is at least one box with at least $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects.

Suppose not. Then each box has strictly less than $\lfloor N/k \rfloor$ objects. Therefore, totally there can be strictly less than N objects, which is a contradiction.

A (slightly) more general PHP

Pigeon-Hole Principle (Variant 1)

If N objects are placed into k boxes then there is at least one box with at least $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects.

Suppose not. Then each box has strictly less than $\lfloor N/k \rfloor$ objects. Therefore, totally there can be strictly less than N objects, which is a contradiction.

A simple example

How many cards **must** be selected from a pack of 52 cards so that at least three cards of the same suit are chosen?

A (slightly) more general PHP

Pigeon-Hole Principle (Variant 1)

If N objects are placed into k boxes then there is at least one box with at least $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects.

Suppose not. Then each box has strictly less than $\lfloor N/k \rfloor$ objects. Therefore, totally there can be strictly less than N objects, which is a contradiction.

A simple example

How many cards **must** be selected from a pack of 52 cards so that at least three cards of the same suit are chosen?

- 4 boxes, one for each suit; each selected card is put in one.

A (slightly) more general PHP

Pigeon-Hole Principle (Variant 1)

If N objects are placed into k boxes then there is at least one box with at least $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects.

Suppose not. Then each box has strictly less than $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects. Therefore, totally there can be strictly less than N objects, which is a contradiction.

A simple example

How many cards **must** be selected from a pack of 52 cards so that at least three cards of the same suit are chosen?

- ▶ 4 boxes, one for each suit; each selected card is put in one.
- ▶ If N cards are selected then at least 1 box has $\lceil N/4 \rceil$ cards.

A (slightly) more general PHP

Pigeon-Hole Principle (Variant 1)

If N objects are placed into k boxes then there is at least one box with at least $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects.

Suppose not. Then each box has strictly less than $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects. Therefore, totally there can be strictly less than N objects, which is a contradiction.

A simple example

How many cards **must** be selected from a pack of 52 cards so that at least three cards of the same suit are chosen?

- ▶ 4 boxes, one for each suit; each selected card is put in one.
- ▶ If N cards are selected then at least 1 box has $\lceil N/4 \rceil$ cards.
- ▶ To have ≥ 3 cards from same suit, suffices $\lceil N/4 \rceil \geq 3$.

A (slightly) more general PHP

Pigeon-Hole Principle (Variant 1)

If N objects are placed into k boxes then there is at least one box with at least $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects.

Suppose not. Then each box has strictly less than $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects. Therefore, totally there can be strictly less than N objects, which is a contradiction.

A simple example

How many cards **must** be selected from a pack of 52 cards so that at least three cards of the same suit are chosen?

- ▶ 4 boxes, one for each suit; each selected card is put in one.
- ▶ If N cards are selected then at least 1 box has $\lceil N/4 \rceil$ cards.
- ▶ To have ≥ 3 cards from same suit, suffices $\lceil N/4 \rceil \geq 3$.
- ▶ Thus, $N = 9$.

A (slightly) more general PHP

Pigeon-Hole Principle (Variant 1)

If N objects are placed into k boxes then there is at least one box with at least $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects.

Suppose not. Then each box has strictly less than $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects. Therefore, totally there can be strictly less than N objects, which is a contradiction.

A simple example

How many cards **must** be selected from a pack of 52 cards so that at least three cards of the same suit are chosen?

- ▶ 4 boxes, one for each suit; each selected card is put in one.
- ▶ If N cards are selected then at least 1 box has $\lceil N/4 \rceil$ cards.
- ▶ To have ≥ 3 cards from same suit, suffices $\lceil N/4 \rceil \geq 3$.
- ▶ Thus, $N = 9$. But can we do better?

A (slightly) more general PHP

Pigeon-Hole Principle (Variant 1)

If N objects are placed into k boxes then there is at least one box with at least $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects.

Suppose not. Then each box has strictly less than $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects. Therefore, totally there can be strictly less than N objects, which is a contradiction.

A simple example

How many cards **must** be selected from a pack of 52 cards so that at least three cards of the same suit are chosen?

- ▶ 4 boxes, one for each suit; each selected card is put in one.
- ▶ If N cards are selected then at least 1 box has $\lceil N/4 \rceil$ cards.
- ▶ To have ≥ 3 cards from same suit, suffices $\lceil N/4 \rceil \geq 3$.
- ▶ Thus, $N = 9$. But can we do better? **No.** □

Another application of PHP

Question: Give a sequence of 10 real numbers with no subsequence of length 4 which is increasing or decreasing.

Another application of PHP

Question: Give a sequence of 10 real numbers with no subsequence of length 4 which is increasing or decreasing.

Theorem

Every sequence of $n^2 + 1$ distinct real numbers contains a subsequence of length $n + 1$ which is either increasing or decreasing.

Another application of PHP

Theorem

Every sequence of $n^2 + 1$ distinct real numbers contains a subsequence of length $n + 1$ which is either increasing or decreasing.

1. Let a_1, \dots, a_{n^2+1} be a sequence of distinct real numbers.

Another application of PHP

Theorem

Every sequence of $n^2 + 1$ distinct real numbers contains a subsequence of length $n + 1$ which is either increasing or decreasing.

1. Let a_1, \dots, a_{n^2+1} be a sequence of distinct real numbers.
2. For each $k \in \{1 \dots n^2 + 1\}$, let (i_k, d_k) denote a pair:
 - i_k = length of longest increasing subsequence starting from a_k
 - d_k = length of longest decreasing subsequence starting from a_k

Another application of PHP

Theorem

Every sequence of $n^2 + 1$ distinct real numbers contains a subsequence of length $n + 1$ which is either increasing or decreasing.

1. Let a_1, \dots, a_{n^2+1} be a sequence of distinct real numbers.
2. For each $k \in \{1 \dots n^2 + 1\}$, let (i_k, d_k) denote a pair:
 i_k = length of longest increasing subsequence starting from a_k
 d_k = length of longest decreasing subsequence starting from a_k
3. Suppose, there are no increasing/decreasing subsequences of length $n + 1$. Then $\forall k, i_k \leq n$ and $d_k \leq n$.
4. \therefore by PHP, $\exists \ell, m, 1 \leq \ell < m \leq n^2 + 1$ s.t. $(i_\ell, d_\ell) = (i_m, d_m)$
5. We will show that this is not possible:
 - ▶ Case 1: $a_\ell < a_m$. Then $i_m \geq i_\ell + 1$, a contradiction.
 - ▶ Case 2: $a_\ell > a_m$. Then $d_\ell \geq d_m + 1$, a contradiction.
6. All a_i 's are distinct so this completes the proof. □