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## Turing machines and computability

1. Turing machines
(i) Definition \& variants
(ii) Decidable and Turing recognizable languages
(iii) Church-Turing Hypothesis
2. Undecidability
(i) A proof technique by diagonalization
(ii) Via reductions
(iii) Rice's theorem
3. Applications: showing (un)decidability of other problems
(i) A string matching problem: Post's Correspondance Problem
(ii) A problem for compilers: Unambiguity of Context-free languages
(iii) Between TM and PDA: Linear Bounded Automata
4. Efficiency in computation: run-time complexity.
(i) Running time complexity
(ii) Polynomial and exponential time complexity
(iii) Nondeterministic polynomial time, and the $P$ vs NP problem.
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- $P$ : class of problems solvable in polynomial time
- NP: class of problems verifiable in polynomial time
$=$ class of problems solvable in polynomial time in a non-determistic TM.
- EXP: class of problems solvable in exponential time.
- Co $-\mathcal{C}$ : class of problems whose complement is solvable in $\mathcal{C}$.
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## Verifier

for language $A$ is an algorithm $V$ s.t. $w \in A$ iff $V$ accepts $\langle w, c\rangle$ for some witness or proof string $c$.

## Exercises

- Define an EXP-time verifier.
- Prove or disprove: a language is in NEXP iff it has a exp-time verifier
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## NP completeness

The problem of satisfiability SAT
Given a Boolean formula, i.e., an expression with Boolean variables and operations, does it have a satisfying assignment?

$$
S A T=\{\langle\phi\rangle \mid \phi \text { is a satisfiable Boolean formula. }\}
$$

Example: $\phi=(\bar{x} \wedge y \wedge z) \vee(x \wedge z)$
Theorem
$S A T \in P$ iff $P=N P$
$S A T=\{\langle\phi\rangle \mid \phi$ is a satisfiable 3-cnf-formula. $\}$
where 3-cnf-formula is a formula in special form:

- conjunction of "clauses"
- each clause has literals, i.e., variables or their negations, separated by disjunction
- each clause has 3 literals
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## Polynomial time reduction

Language $A$ polynomtial time reducible to $B$, denoted $A \leq_{P} B$ if there is a Ptime computable function $f$ s.t.

$$
w \in A \Leftrightarrow f(w) \in B
$$

Such a function is called a Ptime reduction of $A$ to $B$.

## Theorem

If $A \leq_{p} B$ and $B \in P$, then $A \in P$
(Note: if there is a "halting TM" reduction from $A$ to $B$, then $A$ undecidable implied $B$ undecidable!)

## Exercise (H.W)

- Show that 3SAT is polytime reducible to CLIQUE.
- Show that $3 S A T$ is polytime reducible to SUBSETSUM.

