Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **Discrete Mathematics**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc



# Multicovering hypergraphs

Anand Babu<sup>\*</sup>, Sundar Vishwanathan



Department of Computer Science & Engineering, IIT Bombay, India

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history: Received 9 July 2019 Received in revised form 8 March 2021 Accepted 9 March 2021 Available online 26 March 2021

*Keywords:* Hypergraph Graham–Pollak Multicovering

#### ABSTRACT

Let  $f_r(n, p)$  represent the minimum number of complete *r*-partite *r*-graphs required to cover every edge of the complete *r*-uniform hypergraph on *n* vertices at least once and at most *p* times.

Graham–Pollak theorem states that  $f_2(n, 1) = n - 1$ . Upper and lower bounds were known for r = 2 and a general p. In this note we give bounds for  $f_r(n, p)$  for general r and p.

© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

An *r*-uniform hypergraph *H* (also referred to as an *r*-graph) is said to be *r*-partite if its vertex set V(H) can be partitioned into sets  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_r$ , so that every edge in the edge set E(H) of *H* intersect  $V_i$  in one vertex. The complete *r*-uniform hypergraph with *n* vertices has an edge set consisting of all *r*-sized subsets of [*n*].

Let  $f_r(n)$  be the minimum number of complete *r*-partite *r*-graphs needed to partition the edge set of the complete *r*-uniform hypergraph on *n* vertices. The problem of determining  $f_r(n)$  for r > 2 was proposed by Aharoni and Linial [1]. For r = 2,  $f_2(n)$  is the minimum number of bipartite subgraphs required to partition the edge set of the complete graph on *n* vertices. Graham and Pollak ([10,11] see also [3] and [9]) proved that at least n - 1 bipartite graphs are required to partition the edge set of the complete graph  $K_n$ . Since the edges of the complete graph  $K_n$  can be partitioned into n - 1 disjoint bipartite graphs, this shows that  $f_2(n) = n - 1$ . Other proofs were found by Tverberg [17], Peck [15] and Vishwanathan [18,19].

Alon [1] showed that  $f_3(n) = n - 2$  and showed that there exist positive constants  $c_1(r)$  and  $c_2(r)$  such that  $c_1(r) \cdot n^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} \leq f_r(n) \leq c_2(r) \cdot n^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}$ , for fixed  $r \geq 4$ . Cioabă, Kündgen and Verstraëte [6] improved Alon's bounds in the lower order terms. In a breakthrough result Leader, Milićević and Tan [13] made asymptotic improvements on  $c_2(r)$ . See also [13,14] and [4].

Let  $[p] = \{1, 2, ..., p\}$ . An *r*-partite *p*-multicover of a complete *r*-uniform hypergraph  $K_n^{(r)}$  is a collection of complete *r*-partite *r*-graphs such that every hyperedge of  $K_n^{(r)}$  is contained in *l* of the *r*-partite *r*-graphs for some  $l \in [p]$ . In other words, every edge of the complete *r*-uniform hypergraph appears at least once and at most *p*-times in the collection. The minimum size of such a covering is called the *r*-partite *p*-multicovering number and is denoted by  $f_r(n, p)$ .

The problem of *bipartite p-multicovering* of the complete graph  $K_n$  on n vertices was first studied by Alon [2]. For a list L if each edge occurs in some l r-partite r-graphs for some  $l \in L$ , then the collection of r-graphs is called an L-covering. Cioabă and Tait [7] investigated *bipartite covering* for a general list L. Note that  $f_2(n, \{1\})$ , the biclique partition number of  $K_n$  is the same as  $f_2(n)$ . For fixed  $p \ge 2$ , Alon [2] showed that  $(1+o(1))(p!/2^p)^{1/p}n^{1/p} \le f_2(n, p) \le (1+o(1))pn^{1/p}$ . Huang and Sudakov [12] improved Alon's lower bound to  $(1+o(1))(p!/2^{p-1})^{1/p}n^{1/p} \le f_2(n, p)$ . For a fixed natural number  $\lambda, f_2(n, \{\lambda\})$ 

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2021.112386 0012-365X/© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: anandb@cse.iitb.ac.in (A. Babu).

was studied by De Caen, Gregory, and Pritikin [5]. For list *L* of all odd numbers,  $f_2(n, L)$  was studied by Radhakrishnan, Sen and Vishwanathan [16]. Cioabă and Tait [7] provided lower bound for *bipartite L*-covering number for any list and constructive upper bounds for  $f_2(n, L)$  for several *L*.

In Section 2, the following lower bound of  $f_r(n, p)$  is proved. For even r > 2,

$$f_r(n,p) \geq \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2p}} \cdot p}{e^{\frac{3r-2}{2p}+2-r} \cdot r^{(r+1)(1-\frac{1}{2p})-\frac{1}{2}}}$$

and for odd r > 2,

$$f_r(n,p) \ge \frac{n^{\frac{r-1}{2p}}p}{e^{\frac{3r-5}{2p}+3-r} \cdot (r-1)^{r(1-\frac{1}{2p})-\frac{1}{2}}}(1-o(1))$$

In Section 3, we achieve the following upper bound for  $f_r(n, p)$  for r > 2.

$$f_r(n,p) \leq rac{n^{rac{t}{p} \lfloor rac{t}{2} 
floor} \cdot p e^{(rac{t}{p}+1) \lfloor rac{t}{2} 
floor}}{2^{\lfloor rac{r}{2} 
floor} r^{(rac{r}{p}-2) \lfloor rac{r}{2} 
floor}}$$

#### 2. Lower bound

In this section, we obtain lower bounds for  $f_r(n, p)$ , the *r*-partite *p*-multicovering number for the complete *r*-graph  $K_n^{(r)}$ . The proof is a considerable generalization of the proof for the biclique covering of the complete graph  $K_n$ .

### 2.1. Preliminaries

Let *r* be even. Consider an *r*-uniform hypergraph *H*. Define the adjacency matrix of *H*,  $A_H$  as an  $\binom{n}{r/2} \times \binom{n}{r/2}$  matrix, with rows and columns indexed by r/2 sized subsets of [n], as follows:

$$A_H(e_1, e_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & e_1 \cup e_2 \in E(H) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When *H* is the complete *r*-uniform hypergraph the matrix *A* can also be defined as follows:

$$A(e_1, e_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & e_1 \cap e_2 = \phi \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For even *r*, the *Kneser graph*, KN(n, r/2), is the graph whose vertex set is  $\binom{[n]}{r/2}$ . Two vertices are adjacent if and only if they correspond to disjoint subsets. The adjacency matrix of the complete *r*-uniform hypergraph can be viewed as the adjacency matrix of the *Kneser graph*, KN(n, r/2).

**Lemma 1** ([8]). The eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of Kneser graph, KN(n, r/2) are the integers  $(-1)^{i} \binom{n-r/2-i}{r/2-i}$ , for i = 0, 1, ..., r/2.

**Proof.** Refer Theorem 9.4.3. (Page 200). □

**Lemma 2.** Let A be the adjacency matrix of a Kneser graph, KN(n.r/2), then  $rank(A) = \binom{n}{r/2}$ .

**Proof.** Using Lemma 1, since all the eigenvalues of *Kneser* graph(n, r/2) are non-zero, the adjacency matrix A has full rank.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 1.** The *r*-partite *p*-multicovering number of the complete *r*-graph  $K_n^{(r)}$ ,  $f_r(n, p) \ge n^{r/2p} \cdot \frac{1}{(2r)^{r/2+1/2}}(1-o(1))$ , for even r > 2.

**Proof.** As in the graph case, we associate a matrix *A* with the complete *r*-uniform hypergraph and matrices  $N_i$  with each complete *r*-partite *r*-uniform hypergraph. Then write *A* as a sum of the  $N_i$ s. The bound on the number of  $N_i$ s follows by showing that the rank of *A* is large while the rank of each  $N_i$  is small. The adjacency matrix for complete *r*-partite *r*-graphs was used by Alon [1] and also by S.M. Cioabă, A. Kündgen and J. Verstraëte [6] for obtaining lower bounds for  $f_r(n)$ . It also uses a similar proof idea Huang and Sudakov [12] used for r = 2.

Suppose the edges of the complete *r*-uniform hypergraph on *n* vertices are covered by *d* complete *r*-partite *r*-graphs,  $U_i \equiv (U_i^1, U_i^2, ..., U_i^r)$  for  $1 \le i \le d$ . Here  $U_i^j$  are the parts of the complete *r*-partite *r*-graph. The edges of the hypergraph  $U_i$  are obtained by taking one vertex from each part. such that every *r*-hyperedge is covered at least once and at most *p* times. For each *i*,  $1 \le i \le d$  and each  $L \in \binom{[r]}{r/2}$ , define a matrix  $M(U_i, L)$  whose rows and columns are indexed by  $\frac{r}{2}$  sized subsets as follows:

For  $e_1, e_2 \in \binom{[n]}{\frac{r}{2}}$ ,

$$M(e_1, e_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e_1 \in \bigodot_{l \in L} U_i^l \text{ and } e_2 \in \bigodot_{l \in [r] - L} U_i^l \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here  $\bigcirc_{l \in L} U_i^l = \{ e \in {[n] \choose \frac{r}{2}} : |e \cap U_i^l| = 1, \text{ for } l \in L \}.$ 

Note that the adjacency matrix of the complete *r*-partite *r*-graph  $U_i$  denoted by  $N(U_i)$  is equal to  $\sum_L M(U_i, L)$ . It is easy to see that the rank of  $M(U_i, L)$  is one and hence by the sub-additivity of ranks we have,  $Rank[N(U_i)] \leq {r \choose \frac{r}{2}}$ . Note that  $N(e_1, e_2)$  is 1 iff  $e_1$  concatenated with  $e_2$  is an edge in  $U_i$ .

For a non-empty set  $S \subset [d]$  of indices, with size at most p, let  $H_S$  denote the hypergraph with the edge set consisting of all edges present in each of the hypergraphs  $U_i$ , for  $i \in S$ .  $H_S = \bigcap_{i \in S} U_i$ .

Let  $N(H_S)$  denote the adjacency matrix of  $H_S$ . We show below that  $N(H_S)$  can be written as a sum of  $(r!)^{s-1}$  matrices each corresponding to complete *r*-partite *r*-graphs.

Fix a set  $S = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_s\}$ . Fix permutations  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_{s-1}$  of [r]. One can now define a complete *r*-partite *r*-graph with parts  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_r$  as follows:

$$X_j = U_{i_1}^j \cap U_{i_2}^{\sigma_1(j)} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_s}^{\sigma_{s-1}(j)}, \ 1 \le j \le r$$

Let the adjacency matrix of this complete *r*-partite *r*-graph be denoted by  $H_S(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{s-1})$ . Then  $N(H_S) = \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{s-1}} H_S(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{s-1})$ 

Therefore, by the principle of inclusion-exclusion we have the adjacency matrix,  $A = \sum_{S \subset [d], 0 < |S| \le p} (-1)^{|S|} N(H_S)$ . Since there are s - 1 permutations of  $\{1, 2, ..., r\}$  and  $\binom{d}{s}$  choices of picking S, for |S| = s,  $H_S$  is the disjoint union of at most  $(r!)^{s-1}$  r-partite r-graphs for fixed S. Hence A can be written as a disjoint union of at most  $\sum_{s=1}^{p} (r!)^{s-1} \binom{d}{s}$  r-partite r-graphs. By sub-additivity of ranks, we have

$$Rank(A) \le \binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}} \cdot \left[\sum_{s=1}^{p} (r!)^{s-1} \binom{d}{s}\right]$$
(1)

Combining equation (1) and Lemma 2, we have:

$$\binom{n}{r/2} \leq \binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}} \cdot \left[\sum_{s=1}^{p} (r!)^{s-1} \binom{d}{s}\right]$$

$$\leq \binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}} \cdot \left[ (r!)^{p-1} \sum_{s=1}^{p} \binom{d}{s} \right]$$
(2)

Using the bounds  $\left(\frac{n}{x}\right)^x \leq {n \choose x} \leq \left(\frac{en}{x}\right)^x$  for positive integers n, x with  $1 \leq x \leq n$ , Eq. (2) becomes:

$$\left(\frac{n}{r/2}\right)^{r/2} \le \left(\frac{er}{r/2}\right)^{r/2} \cdot \left[(r!)^{p-1} \sum_{s=1}^{p} \frac{d^{s}}{s!}\right]$$

$$\left(\frac{n}{r/2}\right)^{r/2} \le \left(\frac{er}{r/2}\right)^{r/2} \cdot \left[(r!)^{p-1} \sum_{s=1}^{p} \left(\frac{p^{s}}{s!} \cdot \frac{d^{s}}{p^{s}}\right)\right]$$
(3)

Since  $e^x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^i}{i!}$  and using  $x! \le ex^{x+1/2}e^{-x}$  for positive integer x, Eq. (3) becomes:

$$\left(\frac{n}{r/2}\right)^{r/2} \le \left(\frac{er}{r/2}\right)^{r/2} \cdot \left[(r!)^{p-1}\left(e^{p} \cdot \frac{d^{p}}{p^{p}}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\frac{n}{r/2}\right)^{r/2} \le \left(\frac{er}{r/2}\right)^{r/2} \cdot \left[(e \cdot r^{r+1/2}e^{-r})^{p-1}\left(e^{p} \cdot \frac{d^{p}}{p^{p}}\right)\right]$$

$$n^{r/2} \le e^{r/2+p-1-rp+r+p} \cdot r^{r/2+rp-r+p/2-1/2}\frac{d^{p}}{p^{p}}$$

$$d \ge \frac{n^{\frac{r}{2p}} \cdot p}{e^{\frac{3r-2}{2p}+2-r} \cdot r^{(r+1)(1-\frac{1}{2p})-\frac{1}{2}}} \text{ for even } r > 2 \quad \Box$$

Since for odd *r*, we have  $f_r(n, p) \ge f_{r-1}(n-1, p)$ , we have  $f_r(n, p) \ge \frac{(n-1)^{\frac{r-1}{2p}}p}{e^{\frac{3r-5}{2p}+3-r} \cdot (r-1)^{r(1-\frac{1}{2p})-\frac{1}{2}}} \ge \frac{n^{\frac{r-1}{2p}}p}{e^{\frac{3r-5}{2p}+3-r} \cdot (r-1)^{r(1-\frac{1}{2p})-\frac{1}{2}}} (1-o(1)).$ 

# 3. Upper bound

In this section, we obtain upper bounds for  $f_r(n, p)$ , the *r*-partite *p*-multicovering number for the complete *r*-uniform hypergraph  $K_n^{(r)}$ . We construct a covering of the complete *r*-graph using  $p \cdot r^r n^{\frac{r^2}{2p}}$  complete *r*-partite *r*-graphs, so that each edge is covered at least once and at most *p* times.

When p = 1, a simple construction (see [13]) using  $\binom{n}{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}$  complete *r*-partite *r*-graphs is as follows. For odd *r*, define a complete *r*-partite *r*-graph corresponding to each subset of [n] of size  $\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ . The elements of the subsets form parts themselves and elements not in the subset between two elements in the subset form the other  $\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$  parts.

A natural way to get an *r*-partite *p*-multicovering is to consider cross products. The elements of the base set are  $[n] \times [n] \times \cdots \times [n](p \text{ times})$ . For each co-ordinate *i*, we have a family of  $\binom{n}{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}$  complete *r*-partite *r*-graphs mimicking the one dimensional construction. Here the set size is  $n^p$  and the number of complete *r*-partite *r*-graphs is  $p \cdot \binom{n}{r/2}$ . It is seen that no element is covered more than *p* times, however, there are *r*-subsets of the universe that are not covered by the family.

We show below that one can find a large enough subset of  $[n]^p$  for which the family described above covers every *r*-set. The key property we need of the elements of the universe is that for every subset of size *r*, the cover can be determined by some co-ordinate. That is, for at least one co-ordinate all *r*-values must be distinct.

This motivates the following definition. Consider vectors which are ordered *p*-tuples each of whose co-ordinates takes values from  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . A set of ordered *p*-tuple vectors is defined to be *r*-split if for every collection of *r* vectors in the set, there is at least one index where they all differ.

**Lemma 3.** There exists a set of r-split vectors in  $[n]^p$  of size at least  $\frac{2^{\frac{p}{r}}r^{1-\frac{2p}{r}}}{e}n^{\frac{p}{r}}$ .

**Proof.** Consider a set *S* of *m* vectors, obtained by picking each of them uniformly and independently at random from the set of all *p*-tuple vectors. Let  $A_i$  be the event that the *i*th subset of *r* vectors does not differ at any index. The probability that all elements at *j*th index of a fixed set of *r* vectors are different for  $1 \le j \le p$ , is  $\frac{\binom{n}{r} \cdot r!}{n^r} = (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \cdot (1 - \frac{2}{n}) \cdots (1 - \frac{r-1}{n}) \ge (1 - \frac{r(r-1)}{2n}) \ge (1 - \frac{r^2}{2n})$ . Therefore, the probability that all elements at *j*th index are not different is  $1 - \frac{\binom{n}{r} \cdot r!}{n^r} \le \frac{r^2}{2n}$ . Thus we have,

$$Pr[A_i] \le \left[1 - \frac{\binom{n}{r} \cdot r!}{n^r}\right]^p \le \left(\frac{r^2}{2n}\right)^p$$

Since there are  $\binom{m}{r}$  subsets of size r in S, the probability that at least one of the events  $A_i$  occurs is at most  $\binom{m}{r}(\frac{r^2}{2n})^p$ .

For  $m = \frac{2\frac{p}{r}r^{1-\frac{2p}{r}}}{e} \cdot n^{\frac{p}{r}}$ , we have  $\binom{m}{r} (\frac{r^{2}}{2n})^{p} < 1$ . Thus with positive probability, no event  $A_{i}$  occurs and there is a set of r-split p-tuple vectors of size at least  $\frac{2\frac{p}{r}r^{1-\frac{2p}{r}}}{r} \cdot n^{\frac{p}{r}}$ .

**Theorem 2.** The *r*-partite multicovering number of the complete *r*-graph,  $f_r(n, p) \le p \cdot r^r \cdot n^{r^2/2p}$ , for r > 2.

**Proof.** Suppose a *p*-tuple from a set of *r*-split vectors as  $\langle v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p \rangle$ . Consider the following family of complete *r*-partite *r*-graphs,  $H[i, a_1, \ldots, a_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}]$ , where  $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$  and  $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}$  where  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ . Each part of  $H[i, a_1, \ldots, a_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}]$  is defined as follows:

For odd *r*, part 1 contains those vectors whose  $v_i < a_1$ , part 2*j* contains those whose  $v_i = a_j$  and part 2*j* + 1 contains those whose  $a_j < v_i < a_{j+1}$  and the last part contains those whose  $v_i > a_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}$ . Likewise for even *r*, part 1 contains those vectors whose  $v_i < a_1$ , part 2*j* contains those whose  $v_i = a_j$  and part 2*j* + 1 contains those whose  $a_j < v_i < a_{j+1}$  and the last part contains those whose  $v_i = a_j$  and part 2*j* + 1 contains those whose  $a_j < v_i < a_{j+1}$  and the last part contains those whose  $v_i = a_j$  and part 2*j* + 1 contains those whose  $a_j < v_i < a_{j+1}$  and the last part contains those whose  $v_i = a_j$  and part 2*j* + 1 contains those whose  $a_j < v_i < a_{j+1}$  and the last part contains those whose  $v_i = a_j$ .

It is clear that the above family of at most  $p \cdot {n \choose \lfloor r/2 \rfloor}$  complete *r*-partite *r*-graphs are sufficient to cover all *r*-sized subsets of the set of *r*-split vectors. Note that each *r*-sized subset of *r*-split vectors correspond to a *r*-hyperedge and the family of complete *r*-graphs  $H[i, a_1, \ldots, a_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}]$  covers each *r*-hyperedge at most *p* times and at least once.

To obtain the upper bound for  $f_r(n, p)$ , the number of *r*-split vectors used in the above construction that are analogous to number of vertices of  $K_n^{(r)}$  must be *n*. In order to do so, we consider *r*-split vectors which take values from  $\{1, 2, ..., N = 0\}$ 

 $\frac{e^{\frac{\vec{p}}{p}n_{p}^{\vec{p}}}}{2e^{\frac{\vec{p}}{p}-2}}$ }. Lemma 3 shows that there exists a set of *r*-split vectors of size at most *n*. Hence,

$$\begin{split} f_r(n,p) &\leq p \cdot \binom{N}{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \leq \frac{p \cdot e^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} N^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}}{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}} \\ &\leq \frac{p \cdot e^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \cdot e^{\frac{r}{p} \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} n^{\frac{r}{p} \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}}{2^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} r^{(\frac{r}{p}-2) \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}} \\ &\leq \frac{p \cdot e^{(\frac{r}{p}+1) \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} n^{\frac{r}{p} \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}}{2^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} r^{(\frac{r}{p}-2) \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}} \quad \text{for } r > 2. \quad \Box \end{split}$$

# Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### References

- [1] N. Alon, Decomposition of the complete r-graph into complete r-partite r-graphs, Graphs Combin. 2 (1986) 95–100.
- [2] N. Alon, Neighborly families of boxes and bipartite coverings, in: The Mathematics of Paul Erdös II, Springer, 1997, pp. 27-31.
- [3] L. Babai, P. Frankl, Linear Algebra Methods in Combinatorics: With Applications to Geometry and Computer Science, University of Chicago, 1992.
- [4] A. Babu, S. Vishwanathan, Bounds for the Graham-Pollak theorem for hypergraphs, Discrete Math. 342 (11) (2019) 3177–3181.
- [5] D. de Caen, D.A. Gregory, D. Pritikin, Minimum biclique partitions of the complete graph and related designs, in: R. Rees (Ed.), Graphs, Matrices and Designs, 1993.
- [6] S.M. Cioabă, A. Kündgen, J. Verstraëte, On decompositions of complete hypergraphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 116 (7) (2009) 1232-1234.
- [7] S.M. Cioabă, M. Tait, Variations on a theme of Graham and Pollak, Discrete Math. 313 (2013) 665-676.
- [8] C. Godsil, Royle. G.F., Algebraic Graph Theory, Vol. 207, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [9] R.L. Graham, L. Lovász, Distance matrix polynomials of trees, Adv. Math. 29 (1) (1978) 60-88.
- [10] R.L. Graham, H.O. Pollak, On the addressing problem for loop switching, Bell Syst. Tech. J. 50 (8) (1971) 2495–2519.
- [11] R.L. Graham, H.O. Pollak, On embedding graphs in squashed cubes, in: Graph Theory and Applications, Springer, 1972, pp. 99-110.
- [12] H. Huang, B. Sudakov, A counterexample to the Alon-Saks-Seymour conjecture and related problems, Combinatorica 32 (2) (2012) 205-219.
- [13] I. Leader, L. Milićević, T.S. Tan, Decomposing the complete r-graph, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 154 (2018) 21-31.
- [14] I. Leader, T.S. Tan, Improved bounds for the Graham-Pollak problem for hypergraphs, Electron. J. Combin. 25 (1) (2018) 1-4.
- [15] G.W. Peck, A new proof of a theorem of Graham and Pollak, Discrete Math. 49 (3) (1984) 327-328.
- [16] J. Radhakrishnan, P. Sen, S. Vishwanathan, Depth-3 arithmetic circuits for  $S_n^2(x)$  and extensions of the Graham-Pollak theorem, in: International Conference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science, Springer, 2000, pp. 176–187.
- [17] H. Tverberg, On the decomposition of  $K_n$  into complete bipartite graphs, J. Graph Theory 6 (4) (1982) 493–494.
- [18] S. Vishwanathan, A polynomial space proof of the Graham-Pollak theorem, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 115 (4) (2008) 674-676.
- [19] S. Vishwanathan, A counting proof of the Graham-Pollak theorem, Discrete Math. 313 (6) (2013) 765-766.