




# CS230: Digital Logic Design and Computer Architecture

## Lecture 13: Mitigating Control Hazards

<https://www.cse.iitb.ac.in/~biswa/courses/CS230/main.html>


<https://www.cse.iitb.ac.in/~biswa/>

# Bypassing/forwarding: Updated Datapath



# How does it help?

Time (clock cycles)



# Does it help always?

*Time (clock cycles)*




*I  
n  
s  
t  
r.  
O  
r  
d  
e  
r*

lw r1, 0(r2)

sub r4, r1, r6

and r6, r1, r7

or r8, r1, r9



Computer Architecture

# What and Where? Control Hazard


## What do we need to calculate next PC?

- For Jumps
  - Opcode, offset, and PC
- For Jump Register
  - Opcode and register value
- For Conditional Branches
  - Opcode, offset, PC, and register (for condition)
- For all others
  - Opcode and PC

## In what stage do we know these?

- PC - Fetch
- Opcode, offset - Decode (or Fetch?)
- Register value - Decode
- Branch condition  $((rs)=0)$  - Execute (or Decode?)

# Speculate, PC=PC+4



I <sub>1</sub>	096	ADD
I <sub>2</sub>	100	J304
I <sub>3</sub>	<del>104</del>	<del>ADD</del>
I <sub>4</sub>	304	ADD

What happens on mis-speculation, i.e., when next instruction is not PC+4?

*kill*

*How? Insert NOPs*



# Conditional branches

I <sub>1</sub>	096	ADD
I <sub>2</sub>	100	BEQZ r1 200
I <sub>3</sub>	104	ADD
I <sub>4</sub>	304	ADD

Branch condition is not known  
until the execute stage

Instructions between a branch instruction and the target are  
in the **wrong-path** if the branch is not taken

# Again (stalls/NOPs)





# Branches: Taken/Not Taken and Target

*Instruction*

*Taken known?*

*Target known?*

J

After Inst. Decode

After Inst. Decode

BEQZ/BNEZ

After Inst. Execute

After Inst. Execute

*what action should be taken in the decode stage?*

*Can we add an ALU in the decode stage?*

# What else can be done? Compiler?

Delayed branch: Define branch to take place **AFTER** a following instruction(used to be in early RISC processors)

branch instruction

sequential successor<sub>1</sub>

sequential successor<sub>2</sub>

.....


sequential successor<sub>n</sub>

branch target if taken




# Scheduling Branch Delay Slots

A. From before branch




becomes




A is the best choice, fills delay slot & reduces instruction count (IC)

# Scheduling Branch Delay Slots


A. From before branch




becomes



B. From branch target




becomes




A is the best choice, fills delay slot & reduces instruction count (IC)

# Scheduling Branch Delay Slots


A. From before branch




becomes




B. From branch target




becomes



C. From fall through



becomes



A is the best choice

# Word of Caution!

Do not put a branch  
in the branch delay slot 😞

# Stalls and Performance

For a program with  $N$  instructions and  $S$  stall cycles,

$$\text{Average CPI} = \frac{N + S}{N}$$

# Stalls and Performance

For a program with  $N$  instructions and  $S$  stall cycles,

$$\text{Average CPI} = \frac{N+S}{N}$$



# New Pipeline Speedup

Pipeline Speedup = Pipeline Depth

-----  
1+pipeline stalls because of branches

Pipeline stalls (branches) = Branch frequency X penalty

# Summary

---

Data Hazards

---

Bypassing/forwarding

---

Stalls (NOPs) – if no scope for bypassing

---

Control hazards


---

Speculate,  $PC=PC+4$ , kill the wrong path

---

Delayed branch with the help of branch delay slots, new pipeline speedup

# Branch instructions




Time:	t1	t2	t3	t4	t5	t6	t7	t8
Inst								
I1:	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB			
I2:		IF	ID					
I3:			IF					
I4:								
I5:								
I6:								

Computer Architecture

EX stage computes if branch is taken

If branch is taken, these instructions MUST NOT complete!

# Branch Predictors



A quick recap

What if  $PC=PC+4$ ? Not TRUE

Flush/kill all the instructions in the **wrong path**.

# Branch Prediction: 10K Feet View



Predict whether the next PC is a branch PC, at the fetch stage?





Predict whether the next PC is a branch PC, at the fetch stage?



If branch, will it be taken?





Predict whether the next PC is a branch PC, at the fetch stage?



If branch, will it be taken?



If taken, what is the target address?







Predict whether the next PC is a branch PC, at the fetch stage?



If branch, will it be taken?



If taken, what is the target address?



How?





Predict whether the next PC is a branch PC, at the fetch stage?



If branch, will it be taken?



If taken, what is the target address?



How?



We know whether it is a branch PC or not in the decode stage. Oh no 😞

# Branch Predictor: A bit deeper

## Three tasks

1. Is the PC a branch/jump? YES/NO
2. If Yes, can we predict the direction? Taken or not-taken
3. If taken, can we predict the target address?


Let's see

Program  
Counter




Address of the  
current branch

# Let's see



# Let's see



# Static (compiler) Direction Prediction Techniques

**Always not-taken:** Simple to implement: no need for BTB,  
no direction prediction

Low accuracy: ~30-40%

**Always taken:** No direction prediction, we need BTB though

Better accuracy: ~60-70%

Backward branches (i.e., loop branches) are usually taken



# Dynamic Predictors

Microarchitectural way of predicting it.


Simple one: Last time predictor



# Last-time predictor



# Last-time predictor



# Implementation

K bits of branch  
instruction address




# Implementation

K bits of branch  
instruction address

Branch history  
table of  $2^K$  entries,  
1 bit per entry



# Implementation



# Performance of Last-time predictor

TTTTTTTTTTNNNNNNNNNN - 90% accuracy

Always mispredicts the last iteration and the first iteration of a loop branch

Accuracy for a loop with N iterations =  $(N-2)/N$

+ Loop branches for loops with large number of iterations

-- Loop branches for loops with small number of iterations

## Performance contd.

TNTNTNTNTNTNTNTNTNTN → 0% accuracy

20% of all instructions are branches, 85% accuracy

Last-time predictor CPI =

$$[ 1 + (0.20 * \underline{0.15}) * 2 ] =$$

1.06 (minimum two stalls to resolve a branch)



Coffee Credits  
Lisan: +1