A Prototype Development of Reliable Sensor Network Based Structural Health Monitoring System For Railway Bridges



### Lt Col Raj Kumar

### Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT Kanpur

**Under Guidance of:** 

Prof. Kameswari Chebrolu & Prof. Bhaskaran Raman

# Outline



- Introduction
- Application Details and Design
- Transport Protocol
- Experiments and Results
- Past and Related Work
- Conclusion and Future Scope for Work

### Introduction

- Motivation
- Problem Statement
- Requirements and Challenges
- Thesis Contributions

## **Motivation**



- India has 1,20,000 railway bridges spread over large geographical regions
- 40 % of these are over 100 years old and many are weak and in distressed condition
- With the passage of time railway equipment loads increased over bridge design values
- Critical to monitor the health of these bridges to ensure safety

#### **Existing Techniques**

- Mostly wired solutions, equipment is expensive and bulky
- Large setup time, requires expertise in technically trained manpower
- Maintenance problems as with any wired solution

4

### **Problem Statement**

"Design and develop an automated, easily deployable sensor network based structural health monitoring system, which acquires the vibration data of a remotely located railway bridge and reliably transfer it to the central repository "

## **Requirements & Challenges**

### Requirements

- Acquire vibration data with high fidelity
- Frequency band of vibration data 0.25 to 20 Hz
- Acquire data for minimum 40 seconds
- Vibration data need to be synchronized within certain error band
- Reliable transfer of data
- Analysis of acquired data
- Minimum maintenance

### Challenges

- Conserve power
  - Make node sleep wakeup
  - Low power hardware
- Event Detection
- Keep nodes connected
- Mobile data transfer
- Limited capabilities of the platform

6

## **Thesis Contributions**

- Design and implementation of DMA based data acquisition system which provide high fidelity
- Design and implementation of application specific reliable transport protocol for transfer of data both in static and mobile mode
- Design and development of data analysis tools, meeting the requirement of structural engineers
- Design and development of debugging tool
- Study of compression techniques for their applicability in BriMon
- Integration of above elements with the elements developed by Phani Kumar Valiveti
  - Routing
  - Event detection
  - Time synchronization
  - Sleep wakeup

7

## **Application Architecture**

- Application details
  - What and where to measure
  - Short term monitoring
  - Long term monitoring
- Long term monitoring design
- Hardware
- Data acquisition system
- Data compaction and compression
- Debugging tool
- Data analysis tool

## **Application Details**

#### What and where to measure

- Forced and free vibrations of the bridge
- Placement of sensors on the bridge







#### **Short term monitoring**

- Monitor the bridge for short duration
- On site analysis of the data
- Manual operation

### Long term monitoring

- Monitor the bridge for long duration
- Event detection, sleep wakeup
- Data transferred to data analysis centre
- Automatic operation



## **Long Term Monitoring**

### Challenges

- Event detection
- Time synchronization
- Mobile data transfer





























### Sleep-Wakeup Δ



## **Deployment Long Term Monitoring**



## Hardware

### Accelerometers

| Parameter              | ADXL203                          | MMA7260Q                                     |  |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Company                | Analog Devices                   | FreeScale Semiconductors                     |  |
| Package                | 8-Terminal Ceramic LCC           | 16-pin QFN                                   |  |
| No of axis             | 2                                | 3  |  |
| Resolution             | 1mg                              | -  |  |
| Sensitivity            | $1000 \mathrm{mV/g}$             | $800 \mathrm{mV/g}$                          |  |
| Noise performance      | $110 \mu \mathrm{g} / \sqrt{Hz}$ | $350 \mu \mathrm{g}/\sqrt{Hz}$               |  |
| Bandwidth              | 0.5-2500Hz                       | XY-350Hz Z-150Hz                             |  |
| Acceleration range     | $\pm 1.7 \mathrm{g}$             | $\pm 1.5$ g, $\pm 2$ g, $\pm 4$ g, $\pm 6$ g |  |
| Supply voltage         | 2 to $5$ V                       | 2.2 to 3.6V                                  |  |
| Output voltage at $0g$ | 2.4V-2.6V                        | 1.485V-1.815V                                |  |
| Current consumption    | $700\mu A$                       | $500\mu A$                                   |  |

Contd<sup>23</sup>

## Hardware

#### Tmote

- 250kbps, 2.4GHz IEEE 802.15.4 Chipcon Wireless Transceiver
- 8MHz MSP430 microcontroller (10k RAM, 48k Flash)
- 1 MB external flash for data storage
- Integrated ADC, DAC, and DMA Controller
- Integrated onboard antenna with 50m range indoors / 125m range outdoors
- 16-pin expansion support and optional SMA antenna connector
- TinyOS support



24

### **Data Acquisition System**

#### Requirements

- Frequency band of interest 0.25HZ to 20 Hz
- Sampling duration minimum 40 seconds
- High fidelity of acquired data
  - 10 milli g resolution
  - High frequency sampling
- Time synchronization of samples

#### **Constraints and solution**

- Limited RAM (10 KB) forces us to make use of both RAM buffers and flash for data acquisition
- Only one ADC (12 bit) on tmote , which is multiplexed between the channels acquired. The switching time more than 10 ms hence we acquire only one channel at a time
- DMA based sampling to prevent loss of data and also to reduce jitter among the samples

## **DMA Based Data Acquisition**



26 Contd--

### **DMA Based Data Acquisition**



### **Data Compaction and Compression**

### Requirements

- Minimize the number of data transmission required
- Lossless compression

### Compaction



## Compression

#### **Techniques studied**

- Delta encoding
  - Compression upto 50% when signal is of very low frequency but not suitable for frequency band > 5Hz
  - 5 to 7% compression on sample bridge data
- Run length encoding
  - Useful when lot of repetition in data samples
  - Poor result on sample bridge data. Most of the time file was inflated.
- LZW
  - Dictionary based technique
  - Requires lot of memory. For example for 9 bit code words, it requires 4KB of memory for implementation.
  - Up to 19 % compression on sample bridge data

## **Debugging Tool**

- Used to test or debug the system when it is deployed. Some of the usages are
  - Test links between the nodes
  - Find node voltages of the nodes
  - Test the system for its functionality by issuing the commands from command station
- The tool consist of command station and base node



30

## **Data Analysis Tool**

- Used for analysis of vibration data of bridge
- Some features of the tool are
  - Developed in LabView 7.1
  - User friendly graphical user interface (GUI)
  - Provision of digital filter with an option to enable or disable it
  - Provision to select portion of waveform for data analysis
  - Provide information both in time and frequency domain
  - Pick up data from input file
  - Accept input data as compressed or uncompressed
  - GUI has view magnifying options
  - Provision to select the sampling rate
  - The dominant frequency components of the waveform displayed along with their amplitudes.

Contd--

## **Data Analysis Tool**



## **Data Analysis Tool GUI**



# **Transport Protocol**

- Application requirements and constraints
- Protocol description
- Flow control

### **Application Requirement and Constraints**



## **Application Requirement and Constraints**

Constraints

- Limited RAM (10 KB) so we make use of both RAM and flash for data transfer
- Flash and radio share the same bus so need for careful arbitration between them
- Problem of flow control due to which we need to have some inter packet pause between the packets transmitted

## **Protocol Description**

### Single hop data transfer mechanism

- Read block of data from flash
- Send block to the transport layer
- Transport layer divide the block into packets
- Packets send one after the other using SACK based technique
- At the receiver, the packets are received and assembled into block
- The block of data is then passed on to the application layer.
- The block is then written to the flash

37 Contd--

### **Single Hop Data Transfer Recovery of Lost Packets**



Contd--

### **Single Hop Data Transfer Recovery of Lost Packets**



## **Protocol Description**

### Multi hop data transfer mechanism



### **Multi Hop Data Transfer Recovery of Lost Packets**



### Multi Hop Data Transfer Recovery of Lost Packets



42

### **Flow Control**

#### Sender and receiver side events when a packet is transmitted

| Event      | Description   |
|------------|---|
| S1         | Send Command Issued   |
| S2         | Start of transmission from<br>Micro-controller to Radio on<br>SPI bus |
| <b>S</b> 3 | End of Data Transfer over SPI bus                                     |
| S4         | SFD start i. e. sending a few<br>packets over air                     |
| S4         | Tx of packet over radio done  |
| S6         | SendDone Event triggered  |

| Event | Description  |
|-------|--|
| R1    | Received SFD interrupt   |
| R2    | Complete packet received   |
| R3    | Start of Data Transfer from radio to micro-controller over SPI bus |
| R4    | End of Data Transfer over SPI<br>bus                               |
| R5    | ReceiveEvent Message Triggered                                     |

$$Tp > (B - A) = 2.43ms$$



## **Experiments and Results**

- Sensor calibration
- Experiment on road bridge
- Transport protocol evaluation
- Mobile data transfer

### **Sensor Calibration**

### Gravity test

 To find variation of the sensitivity of the accelerometer with excitation voltage



### **Sensor Calibration**

### Noise level measurement

- To find noise level of the accelerometer
- Experiment was conducted on the air strip
- The accelerometer data was acquired for 20 seconds
- RMS noise level was found as 2.93 milli g which is higher than rms noise level of 0.983 milli g under ideal conditions but much lower than 10 milli g value required by the application



## **Experiment on Road Bridge**

### Aim of the experiment

- Test the system on actual bridge
- Wanted to demonstrate the system to structural engineers

### Experiment setup





## **Transport Protocol Evaluation**

- Throughput
- Reliability
- Performance for different data sizes
  - Single hop case
  - Multi hop case
- Performance comparison with PSFQ

## **Throughput Measurement**

### Experiment setup and result



49

## Reliability

- The system was tested for reliable transfer of data by introducing packet losses up to 80%
- Main aim was to check timely recovery of data in single hop case
  Train Speed= 72Km/h



### **Performance for Different Data Sizes**



## **Performance Comparison with PSFQ**

- In PSFQ they could not achieve higher transmission rates at 0% error rates due to pump slowly paradigm of the protocol
- Beyond 10% error rates, they could not achieve completion of data transfer even in single hop case
- Due to inter-hop interference the performance of the protocol is very poor
- Minimum latency level they achieve is much higher than achievable in our protocol

52



## **Mobile Data Transfer**

### Aim

- Aim was to see if we can reliably transfer the complete data to mobile node at different speeds in an outdoor environment
- If the data could be reliably uploaded to mobile node within minimum expected contact duration of 25 seconds for train speed of 72 Km/h

#### Experiment setup



## **Mobile Data Transfer**

### Result

- The data is reliably transferred to mobile node irrespective of its speed
- The data is transferred much before minimum expected contact duration



## **Past and Related Work**

- Previous work on BriMon
- Related work

## **Previous Work on BriMon**

#### Architecture



#### Differences between previous architecture and our design

- Platform used
- Complete bridge considered as one element
- Scaling
- Multiple channels
- Frontier nodes
- Power requirements

#### Takeaways

- Use same motes and accelerometers
- Same power switching circuits

| Re | ated | Work |
|----|------|------|
|    |      |      |

Application design

|                             | Habitat WISDEN Indus |            | Industrial                  | Volcano         | BriMon                      |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
|                             | Monitoring           |            | Sensor                      | Monitoring      |                             |
|                             |                      |            | Network                     |                 |                             |
| Deployment                  | Long Term            | Short term | Few Months                  | Few Weeks       | Long Term                   |
| Duration                    | (6  Months)          |            | (2  Months)                 | (3 Weeks)       |                             |
| ${f Architecture}$          | Tiered               | Flat       | Tiered                      | Tiered          | Flat                        |
| Platform                    | Mica2                | Mica2      | Micaz                       | Tmotes          | Tmotes                      |
|                             |                      | and        | and                         |                 |                             |
|                             |                      | Micaz      | Imotes                      |                 |                             |
| Sensors                     | Temperature,         | Accelero-  | Accelero-                   | Seismo-acoustic | Accelero-                   |
| Used                        | Pressure&            | meters     | meters                      | sensors         | meters                      |
|                             | Humidity             |            |                             |                 |                             |
| Data                        | Periodic             | Continuous | Periodic                    | Continuous      | Event                       |
| $\operatorname{Collection}$ |                      |            |                             | and             | based                       |
| Model                       |                      |            |                             | Event based     |                             |
| Mobile                      | No                   | No         | No                          | No              | Yes                         |
| Data                        |                      |            |                             |                 |                             |
| Transfer                    |                      |            |                             |                 |                             |
| Multi-channel               | No                   | No         | No                          | No              | Yes                         |
| Data                        |                      |            |                             |                 |                             |
| Transfer                    |                      |            |                             |                 |                             |
| On Mote                     | Raw data             | Raw data   | Raw data                    | Event           | Raw data                    |
| $\operatorname{Computing}$  | collection           | collection | $\operatorname{collection}$ | detection       | collection,                 |
|                             |                      |            |                             |                 | averaging &                 |
|                             |                      |            |                             |                 | $\operatorname{compaction}$ |
| Compression                 | Yes                  | Yes        | No                          | No              | Partial*                    |

\*10 point averaging and compaction

### **Related Work**

#### Transport protocols

| Attribute   | CODA     | ESRT     | RMST     | PSFQ       | GARUDA     | SenTCP   | BTP      |
|-------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|------------|----------|----------|
| Direction   | Upstream | Upstream | Upstream | Downstream | Downstream | Upstream | Upstream |
| Reliability | No       | Yes*     | Yes      | Yes        | Yes        | No       | Yes      |

#### Conceptual differences between BTP and PSFQ

- PSFQ basically designed for downstream data transfer
- PSFQ follow pump slowly paradigm whereas BTP follows pump quickly paradigm
- PSFQ suffers from inter hop interference where as in BTP there is minimal or no inter hop interference

## **Conclusion and Future Scope for Work**

### Conclusion

- India has 127000 railway bridges out of 40% are over 100 years old. It is critical to monitor the structural health of these bridges
- The system we develop is easily deployable, and requires minimum maintenance
- The protocols and tools we develop though are application specific can be used for other similar kind of applications in sensor network domain

### **Future scope for work**

- Start time of data acquisition, by all nodes synchronized but end time of data acquisition need to be synchronized
- Use separate ADC card for data acquisition from more than one axes at the same time
- Implementation of LZW compression to further reduce the amount of data that need to be transferred.



# Thank you !!