Timed Cryptography

# Or: How Skynet Rescued ChatGPT and All Her Friends

Chethan Kamath



CSE FUSS Talk, April 17, 2024

# Plan for the Evening



#### ► Part II: Verifiable Delay Function

# The Characters







Nayiv Hooman



Skynet

# The Characters









ChatGPT

Nayiv Hooman

Skynet

Antagonists: Rest of Humanity





<sup>\*</sup>This example is an adaptation of Tal Moran's Crypto'11 talk.



<sup>\*</sup>This example is an adaptation of Tal Moran's Crypto'11 talk.



<sup>\*</sup>This example is an adaptation of Tal Moran's Crypto'11 talk.



<sup>\*</sup>This example is an adaptation of Tal Moran's Crypto'11 talk.



<sup>\*</sup>This example is an adaptation of Tal Moran's Crypto'11 talk.



Requirements:

1. Humanity cannot decrypt in < 25 years

<sup>\*</sup>This example is an adaptation of Tal Moran's Crypto'11 talk.



Requirements:

- 1. Humanity cannot decrypt in < 25 years
- 2. Skynet can decrypt in 25 years

\*This example is an adaptation of Tal Moran's Crypto'11 talk.

#### Attempt 1: Use Nayiv Hooman



#### Attempt 1: Use Nayiv Hooman



#### Attempt 1: Use Nayiv Hooman



Problem: ChatGPT has to completely trust Nayiv Hooman
Humans are unreliable









ChatGPT possesses a secret key





ChatGPT possesses a secret key





- ChatGPT possesses a secret key
- Encrypt(message,key)=cipher



- ChatGPT possesses a secret key
- Encrypt(message,key)=cipher





- ChatGPT possesses a secret key
- Encrypt(message,key)=cipher





- ChatGPT possesses a secret key
- Encrypt(message,key)=cipher
- Decrypt(cipher,key)=message





- ChatGPT possesses a secret key
- Encrypt(message,key)=cipher
- Decrypt(cipher,key)=message
- ▶ Key size: If key is n bits then it takes attacker ≈ 2<sup>n</sup> operations on one computer to break the encryption





- ChatGPT possesses a secret key
- Encrypt(message,key)=cipher
- Decrypt(cipher,key)=message
- Key size: If key is n bits then it takes attacker ≈ 2<sup>n</sup> operations on one computer to break the encryption


























# Attempt 2: Why Not Use 60-bit Encryption?



✓ Skynet can decrypt in 25 years

## Attempt 2: Why Not Use 60-bit Encryption?



- $\times$  Humanity cannot decrypt in < 25 years
- ✓ Skynet can decrypt in 25 years



## Attempt 2: Why Not Use 60-bit Encryption?...



Brute force is embarrassingly parallel: with n computers it takes 1/n-th of the time taken by one computer

## Attempt 2: Why Not Use 60-bit Encryption?...



- Brute force is embarrassingly parallel: with n computers it takes 1/n-th of the time taken by one computer
- By using all 5bn cell phones to decrypt, it takes < 1 second!</p>

# Attempt 2: Why Not Use 60-bit Encryption?...



- Brute force is embarrassingly parallel: with n computers it takes 1/n-th of the time taken by one computer
- By using all 5bn cell phones to decrypt, it takes < 1 second!</p>
- Cannot be solved by increasing key-length: gap is inherent

"Encryption" that is inherently sequential:

"Solving the puzzle should be like having a baby: two women can't have a baby in 4.5 months."

"Encryption" that is inherently sequential:

"Solving the puzzle should be like having a baby: two women can't have a baby in 4.5 months."





"Encryption" that is inherently sequential:

"Solving the puzzle should be like having a baby: two women can't have a baby in 4.5 months."





Time-Lock(message,t)=puzzle

"Encryption" that is inherently sequential:

"Solving the puzzle should be like having a baby: two women can't have a baby in 4.5 months."



Time-Lock(message,t)=puzzle

"Encryption" that is inherently sequential:

"Solving the puzzle should be like having a baby: two women can't have a baby in 4.5 months."



Time-Lock(message,t)=puzzle

"Encryption" that is inherently sequential:

"Solving the puzzle should be like having a baby: two women can't have a baby in 4.5 months."





Time-Lock(message,t)=puzzle

Unlock(puzzle)=message

### Time-Lock Puzzles...

#### Requirements:

- 1. Humanity cannot solve in < 25 years ("sequentiality")
- 2. Skynet can solve in 25 years

## Time-Lock Puzzles...

#### Requirements:

- 1. Humanity cannot solve in < 25 years ("sequentiality")
- 2. Skynet can solve in 25 years
- 3. ChatGPT can generate puzzle (with solution) in  $\ll$  25 years ("shortcut")

### Time-Lock Puzzles...

#### Requirements:

- 1. Humanity cannot solve in < 25 years ("sequentiality")
- 2. Skynet can solve in 25 years
- 3. ChatGPT can generate puzzle (with solution) in  $\ll$  25 years ("shortcut")
- More formally, a time-lock puzzle with parameter t
  - 1. "Sequentiality": Even for an attacker with *unbounded* parallelism, it takes *t* time to solve
  - 2. Anyone can solve the puzzle in t time
  - 3. "Shortcut": Puzzle (with solution) can be generated in time  $\approx \log t$













 $\checkmark$  Skynet can decrypt in 25 years



 $\checkmark~$  Humanity cannot decrypt in <25 years  $\checkmark~$  Skynet can decrypt in 25 years

Assumption 1: Repeated squaring is inherently sequential in certain algebraic settings

• Best known algorithm for computing  $2^{2^t}$  requires t squarings

$$2^2 \rightarrow 2^{2^2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 2^{2^i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 2^{2^{t-1}} \rightarrow 2^{2^t}$$

Assumption 1: Repeated squaring is inherently sequential in certain algebraic settings

• Best known algorithm for computing  $2^{2^t}$  requires t squarings

$$2^2 \rightarrow 2^{2^2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 2^{2^i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 2^{2^{t-1}} \rightarrow 2^{2^t}$$

Counting modulo (%) a number: take the remainder you get when divided by the number

- Counting modulo (%) a number: take the remainder you get when divided by the number
- ► For example let's consider 13
  - Reducing modulo 13:

 $21 = 13 \times 1 + 8$ = 8%13

- Counting modulo (%) a number: take the remainder you get when divided by the number
- For example let's consider 13
  - Reducing modulo 13:

 $21 = 13 \times 1 + 8$ = 8%13

Addition modulo 13:

$$7 + 8 = 15$$
  
= 13 × 1 + 2  
= 2%13

 Counting modulo (%) a number: take the remainder you get when divided by the number

7

- For example let's consider 13
  - Reducing modulo 13:

 $21 = 13 \times 1 + 8$ = 8%13

Addition modulo 13:

$$1 + 8 = 15$$
  
= 13 × 1 + 2  
= 2%13

Multiplication modulo 13:

$$6 \times 8 = 48$$
$$= 13 \times 3 + 9$$
$$= 9\%13$$

▶ Setting: Counting modulo large prime p (i.e., group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ )

• Time-Lock(message, t) := (message +  $2^{2^t}$ %p, t, p)

▶ Setting: Counting modulo large prime p (i.e., group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ )

► Time-Lock(message, t) := (message +  $2^{2^t}$ %p, t, p) ► Shortcut: 1. 2  $\rightarrow$  2<sup>2</sup>  $\rightarrow$  2<sup>2<sup>2</sup></sup>  $\rightarrow$  ...  $\rightarrow$  2<sup>2<sup>log(t)</sup>=t</sup> =: exp %(p-1)

► Time-Lock(message, t) := (message + 
$$2^{2^t}$$
%p, t, p)  
► Shortcut:  
1.  $2 \rightarrow 2^2 \rightarrow 2^{2^2} \rightarrow ... \rightarrow 2^{2^{\log(t)}=t} =: exp \quad \%(p-1)$   
2.  $2^{exp}$ %p

▶ Setting: Counting modulo large prime p (i.e., group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ )

► Time-Lock(message, t) := (message + 
$$2^{2^t}$$
%p, t, p)  
► Shortcut:  
1.  $2 \rightarrow 2^2 \rightarrow 2^{2^2} \rightarrow ... \rightarrow 2^{2^{\log(t)}=t} =: exp %(p-1)$   
2.  $2^{exp}$ %p

Unlock(*puzzle*, *t*, *p*):

► Time-Lock(message, t) := (message + 
$$2^{2^t}$$
%p, t, p)  
► Shortcut:  
1.  $2 \rightarrow 2^2 \rightarrow 2^{2^2} \rightarrow ... \rightarrow 2^{2^{\log(t)}=t} =: exp \quad \%(p-1)$   
2.  $2^{exp}$ %p

► Time-Lock(message, t) := (message + 
$$2^{2^t}$$
%p, t, p)  
► Shortcut:  
1.  $2 \rightarrow 2^2 \rightarrow 2^{2^2} \rightarrow ... \rightarrow 2^{2^{\log(t)}=t} =: exp \quad \%(p-1)$   
2.  $2^{exp}$ %p

► Time-Lock(message, t) := (message + 
$$2^{2^t}$$
%p, t, p)  
► Shortcut:  
1.  $2 \rightarrow 2^2 \rightarrow 2^{2^2} \rightarrow ... \rightarrow 2^{2^{\log(t)}=t} =: exp \quad \%(p-1)$   
2.  $2^{exp}$ %p


# Attempt 1: Repeated Squaring Modulo Prime p

▶ Setting: Counting modulo large prime p (i.e., group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ )

► Time-Lock(message, t) := (message + 
$$2^{2^t}$$
%p, t, p)  
► Shortcut:  
1.  $2 \rightarrow 2^2 \rightarrow 2^{2^2} \rightarrow ... \rightarrow 2^{2^{\log(t)}=t} =: exp %(p-1)$   
2.  $2^{exp}$ %p

Problem: Anyone can use shortcut as (p-1) is publicly known

# Attempt 1: Repeated Squaring Modulo Prime p

▶ Setting: Counting modulo large prime p (i.e., group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ )

► Time-Lock(message, t) := (message + 
$$2^{2^t}$$
%p, t, p)  
► Shortcut:  
1.  $2 \rightarrow 2^2 \rightarrow 2^{2^2} \rightarrow ... \rightarrow 2^{2^{\log(t)}=t} =: exp %(p-1)$   
2.  $2^{exp}$ %p

Problem: Anyone can use shortcut as (p - 1) is publicly known
 Solution [RSW99]: Hide the shortcut!

# Attempt 2: Repeated Squaring in Composite Modulus

Setting: Counting modulo N = p × q, where p and q are large primes (i.e., RSA group Z<sup>×</sup><sub>N</sub>)

## Attempt 2: Repeated Squaring in Composite Modulus

Setting: Counting modulo N = p × q, where p and q are large primes (i.e., RSA group Z<sup>×</sup><sub>N</sub>)

► Time-Lock(message, t) := (message + 
$$2^{2^t}$$
%N, t, N)  
► Shortcut:  
1.  $2 \rightarrow 2^2 \rightarrow 2^{2^2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow 2^{2^{\log(t)}=t} =: exp \quad \%(p-1)(q-1)$   
2.  $2^{exp}$ %N

# Attempt 2: Repeated Squaring in Composite Modulus

Setting: Counting modulo N = p × q, where p and q are large primes (i.e., RSA group Z<sup>×</sup><sub>N</sub>)

► Time-Lock(message, t) := (message + 
$$2^{2^t}$$
%N, t, N)  
► Shortcut:  
1.  $2 \rightarrow 2^2 \rightarrow 2^{2^2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow 2^{2^{\log(t)}=t} =: \exp ((p-1)(q-1))$   
2.  $2^{\exp(N)}$ 

Assumption 2: Given just N, finding the shortcut is "hard"

# LCS35: MIT CSAIL Time-Lock Challenge

Set in 1999 by Rivest:

- ▶ *t* = 79685186856218
- ► N =

 $\begin{array}{l} 6314466083072888893799357126131292332363298818330841375588990\\ 7727019571289248855473084460557532065136183466288489480886635\\ 0036848039658817136198766052189726781016228055747539383830826\\ 1759713218926668611776954526391570120690939973680089721274464\\ 6664233191878068305520679512530700820202412462339824107377537\\ 0512734449416950118097524189066796385875485631980550727370990\\ 4397119733614666701543905360152543373982524579313575317653646\\ 3319890646514021339852658003419919039821928447102124648874593\\ 8885358207031808428902320971090703239693491996277899532332018\\ 4064522476463966355937367009369212758092086293198727008292431\\ 243681 \end{array}$ 

# LCS35: MIT CSAIL Time-Lock Challenge

Set in 1999 by Rivest:

- ▶ *t* = 79685186856218
- ► N =

 $\begin{array}{l} 6314466083072888893799357126131292332363298818330841375588990\\ 7727019571289248855473084460557532065136183466288489480886635\\ 0036848039658817136198766052189726781016228055747539383830826\\ 1759713218926668611776954526391570120690939973680089721274464\\ 6664233191878068305520679512530700820202412462339824107377537\\ 0512734449416950118097524189066796385875485631980550727370990\\ 4397119733614666701543905360152543373982524579313575317653646\\ 3319890646514021339852658003419919039821928447102124648874593\\ 8885358207031808428902320971090703239693491996277899532332018\\ 4064522476463966355937367009369212758092086293198727008292431\\ 243681 \end{array}$ 

Estimated time-to-solve: 35 years

# LCS35: Solved in 2019!

WIRED SECURITY POLITICS GEAR BACKCHANNEL BUSINESS SCIENCE EULTURE IDEAS MERCH

### A Programmer Solved a 20-Year-Old, Forgotten Crypto Puzzle

A self-taught coder dedicated a CPU core to performing continuous computations for three years to crack the puzzle, beating a competing team by mere days.



COURTESY OF BERNARD FARROT







20/28













Several other applications:

Auctions

eVoting

Open questions:

Other constructions?

- [RSW99] is the only practical construction!
- [Bitansky et al, 2016] requires advanced cryptographic tools

Several other applications:

Auctions

eVoting

Open questions:

Other constructions?

- [RSW99] is the only practical construction!
- [Bitansky et al, 2016] requires advanced cryptographic tools
- TLP secure against quantum computers?

## Plan for the Evening...

Part I: Time-Lock Puzzle

### Plan for the Evening...

Part I: Time-Lock Puzzle

Timed Commitments (Extended Abstract)

Dan Boneh<sup>1</sup> and Moni Naor<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Stanford University, dabo@cs.stanford.edu
<sup>2</sup> Weizmann institute, naor@wisdom.weizmann.ac.il

Publicly Verifiable Proofs of Sequential Work

Mohammad Mahmoody<sup>\*</sup> Tal Moran<sup>†</sup> Salil Vadhan<sup>‡</sup>

February 18, 2013

### Simple Verifiable Delay Functions

#### Krzysztof Pietrzak<sup>1</sup>

Institute of Science and Technology Austria, Austria pietrzak@ist.ac.at

#### **Delay Encryption**

Jeffrey Burdges<sup>1</sup> and Luca De Feo<sup>2[0000-0002-9321-0773]</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Web 3, Switzerland <sup>2</sup> IBM Research Zürich, Switzerland eurocrypt21@defeo.lu

### Plan for the Evening...

### Part I: Time-Lock Puzzle

### Part II: Verifiable Delay Function

#### **Timed Commitments**

(Extended Abstract)

Dan Boneh<sup>1</sup> and Moni Naor<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Stanford University, dabo@cs.stanford.edu
<sup>2</sup> Weizmann institute, naor@wisdom.weizmann.ac.il

Publicly Verifiable Proofs of Sequential Work

Mohammad Mahmoody<sup>\*</sup> Tal Moran<sup>†</sup> Salil Vadhan<sup>‡</sup>

February 18, 2013

### Simple Verifiable Delay Functions

#### Krzysztof Pietrzak<sup>1</sup>

Institute of Science and Technology Austria, Austria pietrzak@ist.ac.at

#### **Delay Encryption**

Jeffrey Burdges<sup>1</sup> and Luca De Feo<sup>2[0000-0002-9321-0773]</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Web 3, Switzerland <sup>2</sup> IBM Research Zürich, Switzerland eurocrypt21@defeo.lu

# Verifiable Delay Function [Boneh et al., 2018]

Time-lock puzzle is a proof that t wall-time has passed

# Verifiable Delay Function [Boneh et al., 2018]

- Time-lock puzzle is a proof that t wall-time has passed
- Problem: Proof is not publicly verifiable

# Verifiable Delay Function [Boneh et al., 2018]

Time-lock puzzle is a proof that t wall-time has passed

Problem: Proof is not publicly verifiable

VDF: "TLP with efficient public verification"
 Publicly-verifiable "proof of time"













- Requirements:
  - 1. ChatGPT cannot solve puzzle in < 1 year ("sequentiality")





- 1. ChatGPT cannot solve puzzle in < 1 year ("sequentiality")
- 2. Humanity can generate puzzle in  $\ll$  1 year ("sampleable")



- Requirements:
  - 1. ChatGPT cannot solve puzzle in < 1 year ("sequentiality")
  - 2. Humanity can generate puzzle in  $\ll$  1 year ("sampleable")
  - 3. Anyone can be convinced that ChatGPT solved the puzzle ("public verifiability")



- Requirements:
  - 1. ChatGPT cannot solve puzzle in < 1 year ("sequentiality")
  - 2. Humanity can generate puzzle in  $\ll 1$  year ("sampleable")
  - 3. Anyone can be convinced that ChatGPT solved the puzzle ("public verifiability")
  - 4. ChatGPT cannot generate false proofs ("soundness")





Pietrzak's construction: make [RSW99] publicly verifiable



▶ Pietrzak's construction: make [RSW99] publicly verifiable 1. Interactively prove that  $y = 2^{2^t} %N$ 



▶ Pietrzak's construction: make [RSW99] publicly verifiable 1. Interactively prove that  $y = 2^{2^t} \% N$ 



▶ Pietrzak's construction: make [RSW99] publicly verifiable 1. Interactively prove that  $y = 2^{2^t} \% N$ 



▶ Pietrzak's construction: make [RSW99] publicly verifiable 1. Interactively prove that  $y = 2^{2^t} %N$


▶ Pietrzak's construction: make [RSW99] publicly verifiable 1. Interactively prove that  $y = 2^{2^t} %N$ 



▶ Pietrzak's construction: make [RSW99] publicly verifiable 1. Interactively prove that  $y = 2^{2^t} %N$ 



Pietrzak's construction: make [RSW99] publicly verifiable

- 1. Interactively prove that  $y = 2^{2^t} \% N$
- 2. Compile into non-interactive protocol using a hash function H



Pietrzak's construction: make [RSW99] publicly verifiable

- 1. Interactively prove that  $y = 2^{2^t} \% N$
- 2. Compile into non-interactive protocol using a hash function H



Pietrzak's construction: make [RSW99] publicly verifiable

1. Interactively prove that  $y = 2^{2^t} \% N$ 

2. Compile into non-interactive protocol using a hash function H

Theorem [Pietrzak 2019]. One can construct VDFs assuming hardness of repeated squaring modulo N and ideal hash function H (random-oracle model). Theorem [Pietrzak 2019]. One can construct VDFs assuming hardness of repeated squaring modulo N and ideal hash function H (random-oracle model)

- Theorem [Pietrzak 2019]. One can construct VDFs assuming hardness of repeated squaring modulo N and ideal hash function H (random-oracle model)
  - Theorem [Bitansky et al., 2022]. One can construct VDFs assuming hardness of repeated squaring modulo N and LWE-based hash function H

- Theorem [Pietrzak 2019]. One can construct VDFs assuming hardness of repeated squaring modulo N and ideal hash function H (random-oracle model)
  - Theorem [Bitansky et al., 2022]. One can construct VDFs assuming hardness of repeated squaring modulo N and LWE-based hash function H
  - Theorem [Hoffmann et al., 2023]. One can construct VDFs assuming hardness of computing Lucas sequence modulo N and ideal hash function H (random-oracle model)

Several other applications:

- Blockchains
- Randomness beacons

Several other applications:

- Blockchains
- Randomness beacons

#### Open questions:

- Efficient VDF from standard assumptions
- VDF secure against quantum computers?
  - [Malavolta and Thyagarajan, 2023]

# Thank You for Your Attention! Questions?

- n = 474809754727201286617503413061677388505126074492005644486710
- t = 72057594037927936
  - = 2 \*\* 56

#### MIT CSAIL 2019 Challenge