1. A symmetric chain decomposition of \mathcal{B}_{n+1} can be constructed from that of \mathcal{B}_n . For n = 1, \mathcal{B}_1 itself is a symmetric chain and forms the required decomposition. Given a symmetric chain decomposition of \mathcal{B}_n , for each chain $X_k \subset X_{k+1} \cdots \subset X_{n-k}$, add the chains $X_k \subset$ $X_{k+1} \subset \cdots \subset X_{n-k} \subset (X_{n-k} \cup \{n+1\})$ and the chain $(X_k \cup \{n+1\}) \subset$ $\cdots \subset (X_{n-k-1} \cup \{n+1\})$ if k < n-k. It is easy to verify that each of the constructed chains is symmetric and every set in \mathcal{B}_{n+1} is in exactly one chain.

It is also possible to give an explicit description of these chains, that is, an O(n)-time algorithm to find the next and the previous element in the chain containing a given subset X, if there is any.

Since every symmetric chain must contain an element of the middle level(s), the number of chains is at most $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$, hence the maximum antichain has size at most $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$.

2. Suppose A is any antichain of size $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$. By the inequality proved in class, also called the LYM inequality,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{\lfloor A_i \rfloor}} \leq 1$$

Since $\binom{n}{|A_i|} \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$, for this inequality to hold, each term must be equal to $\frac{1}{\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}$, that is each A_i has size $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ or $\lceil n/2 \rceil$. This implies that if n is even, each A_i has size n/2. Thus A must be the set of all subsets of size n/2. If n is odd, each A_i has size (n + 1)/2 or (n - 1)/2. Suppose there are k sets in A of size (n + 1)/2 where $0 < k < \binom{n}{(n+1)/2}$. Then the Kruskal-Katona theorem implies that the shadow of these sets has size > k, which implies some set in A of size (n - 1)/2 is contained in the shadow. This contradicts the fact that A is an antichain.

It is also possible to give a direct proof for the case when n is odd. Consider the bipartite graph formed by subsets of size (n-1)/2 and (n+1)/2, where two subsets are adjacent iff they differ in excatly one element. This graph is regular with each vertex of degree (n+1)/2 and it is connected. This implies that the shadow of any collection of k subsets of size (n+1)/2 has size > k, if $0 < k < {n \choose (n+1)/2}$.

3. The proof is again by induction on n. If n = 1, the only possible antichains are $\{\emptyset\}, \{1\}$, and the result is trivially true. Suppose the

statement is true for \mathcal{B}_{n-1} . Let A be any antichain in \mathcal{B}_n such that every maximal chain in \mathcal{B}_n contains exactly one subset in A. Suppose A contains subsets of different cardinalities and let X be a subset in A of minimum cardinality. Then |X| < n and we may assume, without loss of generality, that $n \notin X$. Let $A' = A \cap \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$. If there is a maximal chain in \mathcal{B}_{n-1} that does not contain any element of A', then by adding the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ to it, we get a maximal chain in \mathcal{B}_n that does not contain any element of A, a contradiction. (Note that $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \notin A$ otherwise A cannot contain any other subset.) Therefore every maximal chain in \mathcal{B}_{n-1} contains some element of A'. Therfore by induction, A' consists of all subsets of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ with size k, for some k. Since $X \in A'$, we have k = |X|. Let Y be a subset in A of cardinality greater than |X| (this exists by assumption). Then $Y \notin A'$ and $n \in Y$. However, by deleting n and possibly some other elements from Y, we get a subset of size k not containing n that is a subset of Y. This subset is in A', which contradicts the fact that A is an antichain.

4. First show that every graph with at least 2m vertices and minimum degree at least m contains a matching of size m. This is also by induction. For m = 1, this is trivial. Suppose $u_i v_i$, for $1 \le i \le m - 1$ is a matching of size m - 1 in a graph with at least 2m vertices and minimum degree at least m. Let A be the remaining vertices. If there is an edge joining two vertices in A, we get a matching of size m. Therefore each vertex in A is adjacent to at least m of the vertices u_i, v_i for $1 \le i \le m - 1$. Let x, y be any two vertices in A. Then there must exist an edge $u_i v_i$ such that there are at least 3 edges joining $\{u_i, v_i\}$ to $\{x, y\}$. This implies we can replace the edge $u_i v_i$ by either xu_i, yv_i or xv_i, yu_i to get a matching of size m in G.

Suppose G is a graph with n vertices and more than f(m, n) edges. Then $n \ge 2m$ (Kruskal-Katona). If every vertex has degree at least m, the result follows by the previous argument. On the other hand, if there exists a vertex of degree at most m - 1, delete the endpoints of any edge incident with it. This reduces the total number of edges by at most m - 1 + n - 2 = m + n - 3. By simple manipulations, it can be seen that $f(m, n) - (m + n - 3) \ge f(m - 1, n - 2)$. Therefore by induction, the remaining graph has a matching of size m - 1, which together with the deleted edge gives a matching of size m in G.

5. The proof is by induction on n. The statement is trivial for n = 1 and

it is easy to verify it for n = 2. Let $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < S$ be the points at which the holes are located. Note that only holes in the interval (0, S) are important. Also assume without loss of generality that $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$. The proof breaks into two cases.

Case 1. Suppose $S - a_n < x_{n-1}$. Let m be the smallest number such that $S - a_m \leq x_{n-1}$. If any of the points $S - a_i$ for $m \leq i \leq n$ is not a hole, then by induction, the grasshopper can move from 0 to $S - a_i$ using jumps $a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n$ and avoiding the holes x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2} . Then a jump of a_i gives the required sequence of jumps. We may assume $S - a_i$ is a hole for $m \leq i \leq n$. In particular $S - a_n = x_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n-2$. Consider the set of points $S - a_n - a_i$ for $1 \leq i < m$. If any one of these is not a hole, the grasshopper can move from 0 to $S - a_n - a_i$ using jumps $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ and avoiding the holes $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-3}$ then jump to $S - a_i$ and then to S. Note that $S - a_n - a_i < x_{n-2}$ but $S - a_i > x_{n-1}$ in this case. Since there are only n - 1 holes, one of these two cases must hold as the holes obtained for distinct values of i must be distinct.

Case 2. $S - a_n \ge x_{n-1}$. By induction, the grasshopper can move from 0 to $S - a_n$ using jumps a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} and avoiding the holes $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-2}$. If in this path, the grasshopper lands on the hole at x_{n-1} , replace the jump that takes it to x_{n-1} by a_n and then take the remaining jumps arbitrarily. Since a_n is the largest jump, replacing the jump by a_n will take the grasshopper beyond x_{n-1} . Since x_{n-1} is the furthest hole, remaining jumps can be taken arbitrarily.