

1. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that the following set

$$C_\delta = \{z \mid \|z - x\| \leq \delta, \text{ for some } x \in \text{closure}(C)\}$$

is compact¹, that is, C_δ is closed and bounded, for all $\delta \geq 0$. Show that f is Lipschitz continuous on C , i.e., there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L\|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in C$$

State the value for L .

Hint: Use the Weierstrass' theorem, which implies that a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ over a compact set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ attains extreme values on A .

(7 Marks)

Ans: ① As discussed in class, a convex fn on \mathbb{R}^n is continuous. Thus, f is continuous

② Let $p, q \in C_\delta$ then

$$r = q + \frac{\delta}{\|q - p\|} (q - p) \in C_\delta \quad (\text{By definition of } C_\delta)$$

$$\Rightarrow q = \frac{\|q - p\|}{\|q - p\| + \delta} r + \frac{\delta}{\|q - p\| + \delta} p$$

$\alpha \leftarrow \frac{\|q - p\|}{\|q - p\| + \delta}$ $\frac{\delta}{\|q - p\| + \delta} \downarrow \rightarrow 1 - \alpha$

Thus, q is a convex combination of p & r

Since f is convex:

$$f(q) \leq \frac{\|q - p\|}{\|q - p\| + \delta} f(r) + \frac{\delta}{\|q - p\| + \delta} f(p)$$

$\alpha \leftarrow \frac{\|q - p\|}{\|q - p\| + \delta}$ $\frac{\delta}{\|q - p\| + \delta} \rightarrow 1 - \alpha$

$$\Rightarrow f(q) - f(p) \leq \frac{\|q-p\|}{\|q-p\| + \delta} (f(r) - f(p))$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\|q-p\|}{\|q-p\| + \delta} \leq \frac{\|q-p\|}{\delta}$$

[By Weierstrass' thm, f is cts & since C_δ is closed & bounded, f attains extrema on C_δ]

$$\leq \frac{\|q-p\|}{\delta} \left(\max_{z \in C_\delta} f(z) - \min_{x \in C_\delta} f(x) \right) \quad (1)$$

Similarly, we get

$$f(p) - f(q) \leq \frac{\|p-q\|}{\delta} \left(\max_{z \in C_\delta} f(z) - \min_{x \in C_\delta} f(x) \right) \quad (2)$$

Combining (1) & (2), we get

$$|f(p) - f(q)| \leq L \|p - q\|$$

where $L = \left(\max_{z \in C_\delta} f(z) - \min_{x \in C_\delta} f(x) \right) / \delta$

2. As discussed in the class, direction \mathbf{d} is a descent direction of a function f at a point \mathbf{x} if the directional derivative of f along \mathbf{d} is strictly negative. That is $\mathbf{d}^T \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) < 0$. In this exercise, we provide a method for generating descent direction in cases in which obtaining a single subgradient is relatively simple.

- (a) Let $\mathbf{g}_f^{(i)}(\mathbf{x})$ be a subgradient of f at \mathbf{x} in the i^{th} step of the algorithm. (For $i = 0$, you just pick any subgradient.) Let \mathbf{w}_k be the vector of minimum p -norm (for any $p \geq 1$) in the convex hull of $\mathbf{g}_f^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{g}_f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \mathbf{g}_f^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{x})$. Present an algorithm for computing \mathbf{w}_k when $p = 2$. What about the case of any other value of p ?

(4 Marks)

Ans:

$$\mathbf{w}_k = \min_{\alpha_i} \|\mathbf{w}\|_p$$

$$\text{s.t. } \mathbf{w} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{g}_f^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha_2 \mathbf{g}_f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \mathbf{g}_f^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{x})$$

(b) Stop if $-w_k$ is a descent direction of f at x . Since f may not be differentiable, the criterion for $-w_k$ being a descent direction of f at x is obtained by replacing $\nabla f(x)$ with $g_f(x)$:

$$-w_k^T g_f(x) < 0$$

If the stopping criterion is not met, let $g_f^{(k)}(x) \in \partial f$ such that

$$w_k^T g_f^{(k)}(x) = \min_{g \in \partial f} w_k^T g$$

Prove that this process returns a descent direction of f at x in a finite number of iterations. You can assume that ∂f is compact. (Note that since $\partial f \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, this is equivalent to saying that ∂f is closed and bounded).

(7 Marks)

Ans: Firstly, w_k is the projection of the origin on the set $\text{conv}(g_f^{(1)}(x), g_f^{(2)}(x), \dots, g_f^{(k-1)}(x))$

\therefore By the projection theorem on slide 9 of

<http://www.cse.iitb.ac.in/~CS709/notes/eNotes/first-order-descent-projectionMethod-annotated.pdf>, we have $\forall g \in \text{conv}(g_f^{(1)}(x), \dots, g_f^{(k-1)}(x))$

$$(g - w_k)^T (0 - w_k) \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (g - w_k)^T w_k \geq 0 \Rightarrow g^T w_k \geq \|w_k\|^2 \geq \min_{g \in \partial f(x)} \|g\|^2 = \|g_*\|^2$$

Both $(g_f^{(1)} \dots g_f^{(k-1)})$ & $(w_1 \dots w_{k-1})$ are sequences lying in ∂f

Note: $w_k \in \partial f(x)$

& $g_* \in \partial f(x)$ is subgradient with minimum norm

\Rightarrow Since ∂f is closed, limit points of w_1, \dots, w_{k-1} & of $(g_f^{(1)}, \dots, g_f^{(k-1)})$ should both lie in ∂f

$\|g_x\| > 0$, since if $g_x = 0$ then x is already an optimal point

\therefore if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} w_k = \hat{w}$ & $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_f^{(k)} = \hat{g}_f$ then we

expect $\hat{g}_f^T \hat{w} > 0$

But if none of w_k 's are descent directions so far, we have

$$-w_k^T g_f^{(k)} = \min_{g \in \partial f} w_k^T g = \max_{g \in \partial f} -w_k^T g \geq 0$$

i.e. $w_k^T g_f^{(k)} \leq 0$

\hookrightarrow Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get a contradiction

$$\hat{w}^T \hat{g}_f \leq 0$$

\Rightarrow Some w_k should become a descent direction

3. Consider the equality constrained optimization problem in (1):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + \beta \\ \text{subject to} & A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \end{array} \quad (1)$$

Assume that A has full row rank (that is no equality is redundant/conflicting). Let N be the basis for the null space of A . Show that this optimization problem is unbounded below if $N^T Q N$ has negative eigenvalues.

(5 Marks)

Ans: Let us write KKT conditions for this problem

$$Q\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{c} + A^T \lambda^* = 0$$

frictional loss thru a horizontal pipeline

$L = 3000 \text{ ft} = 1 \text{ km}$

$H = \frac{4 f L V^2}{2 g D}$ velocity needs to be estimated in ft/min

Delivery head

$g = 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$ Diameter

Flow rate of water m^3/s ... in terms of barrels per hr.

HP reqd = $\frac{\rho Q H}{\eta}$

Density of water kg/m^3

$75 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ $4000 \text{ liters per hr} = 1.11 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$

$\approx \frac{1}{2} \text{ HP} = 37.5 \text{ kg m/s}$