Lecture 08: Ridge Regression, Equivalent Formulations and KKT Conditions Instructor: Prof. Ganesh Ramakrishnan

Recap: Duality and KKT conditions

For the previously mentioned formulation of the problem, KKT conditions for all differentiable functions (i.e. f, g_i, h_j) with $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ primal optimal and $(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu})$ dual optimal point are:

- $\nabla f(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \hat{\lambda}_i \nabla g_i(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{\mu}_j \nabla h_j(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) = 0$
- $g_i(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) \le 0; 1 \le i \le m$
- $\hat{\lambda}_i \geq 0; 1 \leq i \leq m$
- $\hat{\lambda}_i g_i(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) = 0; 1 \le i \le m$
- $h_j(\hat{\mathbf{w}}) = 0; 1 \le j \le p$

Bound on λ in the regularized least square solution

To minimize the error function subject to constraint $|\mathbf{w}| \leq \xi$, we apply KKT conditions at the point of optimality \mathbf{w}^*

$$abla_{\mathbf{w}^*}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w})) = \mathbf{0}$$

(the first KKT condition). Here, $f(\mathbf{w}) = (\phi \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{Y})^{\mathbf{T}}(\phi \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{Y})$ and, $g(\mathbf{w}) = \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 - \xi$. Solving we get,

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (\phi^{\mathbf{T}}\phi + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1}\phi^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{y}$$

From the second KKT condition we get,

$$\|\mathbf{w}^*\|^2 \le \xi$$

From the third KKT condition,

$$\lambda \ge 0$$

From the fourth condition

$$\lambda \|\mathbf{w}^*\|^2 = \lambda \xi$$

Bound on λ in the regularized least square solution

Values of \mathbf{w}_* and λ that satisfy all these equations would yield an optimal solution. Consider,

$$(\phi^{\mathsf{T}}\phi + \lambda I)^{-1}\phi^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{w}^*$$

We multiply $(\phi^T \phi + \lambda I)$ on both sides and obtain,

$$\|(\phi^{\mathsf{T}}\phi)\mathbf{w}^* + (\lambda \mathbf{I})\mathbf{w}^*\| = \|\phi^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{y}\|$$

Using the triangle inequality we obtain,

$$\|(\phi^{\mathsf{T}}\phi)\mathbf{w}^*\| + (\lambda)\|\mathbf{w}^*\| \ge \|(\phi^{\mathsf{T}}\phi)\mathbf{w}^* + (\lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{w}^*\| = \|\phi^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y}\|$$

Bound on λ in the regularized least square solution

 $\|(\phi^T \phi) \mathbf{w}^*\| \leq \alpha \|\mathbf{w}^*\|$ for some α for finite $|(\phi^T \phi) \mathbf{w}^*\|$. Substituting in the previous equation,

$$(\alpha + \lambda) \|\mathbf{w}^*\| \ge \|\phi^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{y}\|$$

i.e.

$$\lambda \ge \frac{\|\phi^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y}\|}{\|\mathbf{w}^*\|} - \alpha$$

Note that when $\|\mathbf{w}^*\| \to \mathbf{0}, \lambda \to \infty$. (Any intuition?) Using $\|\mathbf{w}^*\|^2 \leq \xi$ we get,

$$\lambda \ge \frac{\|\phi'\mathbf{y}\|}{\sqrt{\xi}} - \alpha$$

This is not the exact solution of λ but the bound proves the existence of λ for some ξ and ϕ .

Alternative objective function

Substituting $g(\mathbf{w}) = \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 - \xi$, in the first KKT equation considered earlier:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}^*}(f(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \cdot (\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 - \xi)) = \mathbf{0}$$

This is equivalent to solving

$$\min(\parallel \Phi \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y} \parallel^2 + \lambda \parallel \mathbf{w} \parallel^2)$$

for the same choice of λ . This form of **regularized** regression is often referred to as **Ridge regression**.

Regression so far

Linear Regression:

- $y_i = w^{\top} \phi(x_i) + b + \epsilon_i$, where: $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and ϵ_i is the error term
- Objective: $\min_{w,b} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i w^{\top} \phi(x_i) b)^2$
- Ridge Regression:
 - $\min_{w,b} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i w^{\top} \phi(x_i) b)^2 + \lambda \|w\|^2$
 - Here, regularization is applied on the linear regression objective to reduce overfitting on the training examples (we penalize model complexity)

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Closed-form solutions to regression

- Linear regression and Ridge regression both have closed-form solutions
 - For linear regression,

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (\phi^\top \phi)^{-1} \phi^\top \mathbf{y}$$

For ridge regression,

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (\phi^\top \phi + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \phi^\top \mathbf{y}$$

(for linear regression, $\lambda = 0$)

• Claim:

Error obtained on training data after minimizing ridge regression \geq error obtained on training data after minimizing linear regression

• Goal:

Do well on unseen (test) data as well. Therefore, high training error might be acceptable if test error can be lower