

Tutorial 2

Thursday 4th August, 2016

Problem 1. Case for non-IID dataset:

In the class, we discussed the case of Bayesian estimation for a univariate Gaussian from dataset \mathcal{D} that consisted of IID (independent and identically distributed) observations.

- Let $\Pr(X) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and let the data $\mathcal{D} = x_1 \dots x_m$ be IID. Let σ^2 be known.
- $\mu_{MLE} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i$ and $\sigma_{MLE}^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \mu)^2$
- The conjugate prior is $\Pr(\mu) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$, And the **posterior** is: $\Pr(\mu|x_1 \dots x_m) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_m, \sigma_m^2)$ such that
- $\mu_m = \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{m\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}\mu_0\right) + \left(\frac{m\sigma_0^2}{m\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}\hat{\mu}_{ML}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{\sigma_m^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{m}{\sigma^2}$

Prove the above. Now suppose, the examples $x_1 \dots x_m$ in the dataset \mathcal{D} were not necessarily independent and whose possible dependence was expressed by known covariance matrix Ω but with a common unknown (to be estimated) mean $\mu \in \mathfrak{R}$. Let $\mathbf{u} = [1, 1, \dots, 1]$ a m -dimensional vector of 1's and $\mathbf{x} = [x_1 \dots x_m]$ and

$$\Pr(x_1 \dots x_m; \mu, \Omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m}{2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mu\mathbf{u})^T \Omega^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu\mathbf{u})}$$

Assume that $\Omega \in \mathfrak{R}^{m \times m}$ is positive-definite. Now answer the following questions

1. What would be the maximum likelihood estimate for μ ?
2. How would you go about doing Bayesian estimation for μ ?
3. What will be an appropriate conjugate prior?
4. What will the posterior be? And what will be the MAP and Bayes estimates?

Problem 2. We discussed atleast two settings where maximizing a monotonically increasing function of the objective is somewhat more intuitive than maximizing the original objective. Recall the two settings. Now prove that maximizing the monotonically increasing transformation of the objective gives the same optimality point as does maximizing the original objective.