

# Least Squares Fitting of Data

David Eberly

Geometric Tools, LLC

<http://www.geometrictools.com/>

Copyright © 1998-2015. All Rights Reserved.

Created: July 15, 1999

Last Modified: January 5, 2015

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Linear Fitting of 2D Points of Form <math>(x, f(x))</math></b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Linear Fitting of nD Points Using Orthogonal Regression</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Planar Fitting of 3D Points of Form <math>(x, y, f(x, y))</math></b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Hyperplanar Fitting of nD Points Using Orthogonal Regression</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Fitting a Circle to 2D Points</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Fitting a Sphere to 3D Points</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Fitting an Ellipse to 2D Points</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Fitting an Ellipsoid to 3D Points</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Fitting a Paraboloid to 3D Points of the Form <math>(x, y, f(x, y))</math></b>	<b>8</b>

This document describes some algorithms for fitting 2D or 3D point sets by linear or quadratic structures using least squares minimization.

## 1 Linear Fitting of 2D Points of Form $(x, f(x))$

This is the usual introduction to least squares fit by a line when the data represents measurements where the  $y$ -component is assumed to be functionally dependent on the  $x$ -component. Given a set of samples  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ , determine  $A$  and  $B$  so that the line  $y = Ax + B$  best fits the samples in the sense that the sum of the squared errors between the  $y_i$  and the line values  $Ax_i + B$  is minimized. Note that the error is measured only in the  $y$ -direction.

Define  $E(A, B) = \sum_{i=1}^m [(Ax_i + B) - y_i]^2$ . This function is nonnegative and its graph is a paraboloid whose vertex occurs when the gradient satisfies  $\nabla E = (0, 0)$ . This leads to a system of two linear equations in  $A$  and  $B$  which can be easily solved. Precisely,

$$(0, 0) = \nabla E = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m [(Ax_i + B) - y_i](x_i, 1)$$

and so

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 & \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^m x_i & \sum_{i=1}^m 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \end{bmatrix}.$$

The solution provides the least squares solution  $y = Ax + B$ .

If implemented directly, this formulation can lead to an ill-conditioned linear system. To avoid this, you should first compute the averages  $\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i$  and  $\bar{y} = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i$  and subtract them from the data,  $x_i \leftarrow x_i - \bar{x}$  and  $y_i \leftarrow y_i - \bar{y}$ . The fitted line is of the form  $y - \bar{y} = A(x - \bar{x})$ .

## 2 Linear Fitting of nD Points Using Orthogonal Regression

It is also possible to fit a line using least squares where the errors are measured *orthogonally* to the proposed line rather than measured vertically. The following argument holds for sample points and lines in  $n$  dimensions. Let the line be  $\mathbf{L}(t) = t\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{A}$  where  $\mathbf{D}$  is unit length. Define  $\mathbf{X}_i$  to be the sample points; then

$$\mathbf{X}_i = \mathbf{A} + d_i\mathbf{D} + p_i\mathbf{D}_i^\perp$$

where  $d_i = \mathbf{D} \cdot (\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{A})$  and  $\mathbf{D}_i^\perp$  is some unit length vector perpendicular to  $\mathbf{D}$  with appropriate coefficient  $p_i$ . Define  $\mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{A}$ . The vector from  $\mathbf{X}_i$  to its projection onto the line is

$$\mathbf{Y}_i - d_i\mathbf{D} = p_i\mathbf{D}_i^\perp.$$

The squared length of this vector is  $p_i^2 = (\mathbf{Y}_i - d_i\mathbf{D})^2$ . The energy function for the least squares minimization is  $E(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i^2$ . Two alternate forms for this function are

$$E(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \mathbf{Y}_i^T \left[ I - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{D}^T \right] \mathbf{Y}_i \right)$$

and

$$E(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}) = \mathbf{D}^T \left( \sum_{i=1}^m [(\mathbf{Y}_i \cdot \mathbf{Y}_i)I - \mathbf{Y}_i \mathbf{Y}_i^T] \right) \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}^T M(A) \mathbf{D}.$$

Using the first form of  $E$  in the previous equation, take the derivative with respect to  $A$  to get

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial A} = -2 \left[ I - \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D}^T \right] \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{Y}_i.$$

This partial derivative is zero whenever  $\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{Y}_i = 0$  in which case  $\mathbf{A} = (1/m) \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{X}_i$  (the average of the sample points).

Given  $\mathbf{A}$ , the matrix  $M(A)$  is determined in the second form of the energy function. The quantity  $\mathbf{D}^T M(A) \mathbf{D}$  is a quadratic form whose minimum is the smallest eigenvalue of  $M(A)$ . This can be found by standard eigensystem solvers. A corresponding unit length eigenvector  $\mathbf{D}$  completes our construction of the least squares line.

For  $n = 2$ , if  $\mathbf{A} = (a, b)$ , then matrix  $M(A)$  is given by

$$M(A) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - b)^2 \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)^2 & \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)(y_i - b) \\ \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)(y_i - b) & \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - b)^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For  $n = 3$ , if  $\mathbf{A} = (a, b, c)$ , then matrix  $M(A)$  is given by

$$M(A) = \delta \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)^2 & \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)(y_i - b) & \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)(z_i - c) \\ \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)(y_i - b) & \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - b)^2 & \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - b)(z_i - c) \\ \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)(z_i - c) & \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - b)(z_i - c) & \sum_{i=1}^m (z_i - c)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\delta = \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - b)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m (z_i - c)^2.$$

### 3 Planar Fitting of 3D Points of Form $(x, y, f(x, y))$

The assumption is that the  $z$ -component of the data is functionally dependent on the  $x$ - and  $y$ -components. Given a set of samples  $\{(x_i, y_i, z_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ , determine  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  so that the plane  $z = Ax + By + C$  best fits the samples in the sense that the sum of the squared errors between the  $z_i$  and the plane values  $Ax_i + By_i + C$  is minimized. Note that the error is measured only in the  $z$ -direction.

Define  $E(A, B, C) = \sum_{i=1}^m [(Ax_i + By_i + C) - z_i]^2$ . This function is nonnegative and its graph is a hyperparaboloid whose vertex occurs when the gradient satisfies  $\nabla E = (0, 0, 0)$ . This leads to a system of three linear equations in  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  which can be easily solved. Precisely,

$$(0, 0, 0) = \nabla E = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m [(Ax_i + By_i + C) - z_i] (x_i, y_i, 1)$$

and so

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 & \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i & \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i & \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^2 & \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^m x_i & \sum_{i=1}^m y_i & \sum_{i=1}^m 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \\ C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i z_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^m y_i z_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^m z_i \end{bmatrix}.$$

The solution provides the least squares solution  $z = Ax + By + C$ .

If implemented directly, this formulation can lead to an ill-conditioned linear system. To avoid this, you should first compute the averages  $\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i$ ,  $\bar{y} = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i$ , and  $\bar{z} = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i$ , and then subtract them from the data,  $x_i \leftarrow x_i - \bar{x}$ ,  $y_i \leftarrow y_i - \bar{y}$ , and  $z_i \leftarrow z_i - \bar{z}$ . The fitted plane is of the form  $z - \bar{z} = A(x - \bar{x}) + B(y - \bar{y})$ .

## 4 Hyperplanar Fitting of nD Points Using Orthogonal Regression

It is also possible to fit a plane using least squares where the errors are measured *orthogonally* to the proposed plane rather than measured vertically. The following argument holds for sample points and hyperplanes in  $n$  dimensions. Let the hyperplane be  $\mathbf{N} \cdot (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{A}) = 0$  where  $\mathbf{N}$  is a unit length normal to the hyperplane and  $\mathbf{A}$  is a point on the hyperplane. Define  $\mathbf{X}_i$  to be the sample points; then

$$\mathbf{X}_i = \mathbf{A} + \lambda_i \mathbf{N} + p_i \mathbf{N}_i^\perp$$

where  $\lambda_i = \mathbf{N} \cdot (\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{A})$  and  $\mathbf{N}_i^\perp$  is some unit length vector perpendicular to  $\mathbf{N}$  with appropriate coefficient  $p_i$ . Define  $\mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{A}$ . The vector from  $\mathbf{X}_i$  to its projection onto the hyperplane is  $\lambda_i \mathbf{N}$ . The squared length of this vector is  $\lambda_i^2 = (\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{Y}_i)^2$ . The energy function for the least squares minimization is  $E(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{N}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^2$ . Two alternate forms for this function are

$$E(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{N}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \mathbf{Y}_i^T \left[ \mathbf{N} \mathbf{N}^T \right] \mathbf{Y}_i \right)$$

and

$$E(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{N}) = \mathbf{N}^T \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{Y}_i \mathbf{Y}_i^T \right) \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N}^T M(A) \mathbf{N}.$$

Using the first form of  $E$  in the previous equation, take the derivative with respect to  $A$  to get

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial A} = -2 \left[ \mathbf{N} \mathbf{N}^T \right] \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{Y}_i.$$

This partial derivative is zero whenever  $\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{Y}_i = 0$  in which case  $\mathbf{A} = (1/m) \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{X}_i$  (the average of the sample points).

Given  $\mathbf{A}$ , the matrix  $M(A)$  is determined in the second form of the energy function. The quantity  $\mathbf{N}^T M(A) \mathbf{N}$  is a quadratic form whose minimum is the smallest eigenvalue of  $M(A)$ . This can be found by standard eigensystem solvers. A corresponding unit length eigenvector  $\mathbf{N}$  completes our construction of the least squares hyperplane.

For  $n = 3$ , if  $\mathbf{A} = (a, b, c)$ , then matrix  $M(A)$  is given by

$$M(A) = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)^2 & \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)(y_i - b) & \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)(z_i - c) \\ \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)(y_i - b) & \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - b)^2 & \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - b)(z_i - c) \\ \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - a)(z_i - c) & \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - b)(z_i - c) & \sum_{i=1}^m (z_i - c)^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

## 5 Fitting a Circle to 2D Points

Given a set of points  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , fit them with a circle  $(x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2 = r^2$  where  $(a, b)$  is the circle center and  $r$  is the circle radius. An assumption of this algorithm is that not all the points are collinear. The energy function to be minimized is

$$E(a, b, r) = \sum_{i=1}^m (L_i - r)^2$$

where  $L_i = \sqrt{(x_i - a)^2 + (y_i - b)^2}$ . Take the partial derivative with respect to  $r$  to obtain

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial r} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^m (L_i - r).$$

Setting equal to zero yields

$$r = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L_i.$$

Take the partial derivative with respect to  $a$  to obtain

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial a} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^m (L_i - r) \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial a} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \left( (x_i - a) + r \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial a} \right)$$

and take the partial derivative with respect to  $b$  to obtain

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial b} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^m (L_i - r) \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial b} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \left( (y_i - b) + r \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial b} \right).$$

Setting these two derivatives equal to zero yields

$$a = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i + r \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial a}$$

and

$$b = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i + r \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial b}.$$

Replacing  $r$  by its equivalent from  $\partial E / \partial r = 0$  and using  $\partial L_i / \partial a = (a - x_i) / L_i$  and  $\partial L_i / \partial b = (b - y_i) / L_i$ , we get two nonlinear equations in  $a$  and  $b$ :

$$a = \bar{x} + \bar{L} \bar{L}_a =: F(a, b)$$

$$b = \bar{y} + \bar{L} \bar{L}_b =: G(a, b)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x} &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \\ \bar{y} &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \\ \bar{L} &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L_i \\ \bar{L}_a &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{a-x_i}{L_i} \\ \bar{L}_b &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{b-y_i}{L_i}\end{aligned}$$

Fixed point iteration can be applied to solving these equations:  $a_0 = \bar{x}$ ,  $b_0 = \bar{y}$ , and  $a_{i+1} = F(a_i, b_i)$  and  $b_{i+1} = G(a_i, b_i)$  for  $i \geq 0$ . *Warning. I have not analyzed the convergence properties of this algorithm. In a few experiments it seems to converge just fine.*

## 6 Fitting a Sphere to 3D Points

Given a set of points  $\{(x_i, y_i, z_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ ,  $m \geq 4$ , fit them with a sphere  $(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 + (z-c)^2 = r^2$  where  $(a, b, c)$  is the sphere center and  $r$  is the sphere radius. An assumption of this algorithm is that not all the points are coplanar. The energy function to be minimized is

$$E(a, b, c, r) = \sum_{i=1}^m (L_i - r)^2$$

where  $L_i = \sqrt{(x_i - a)^2 + (y_i - b)^2 + (z_i - c)^2}$ . Take the partial derivative with respect to  $r$  to obtain

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial r} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^m (L_i - r).$$

Setting equal to zero yields

$$r = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L_i.$$

Take the partial derivative with respect to  $a$  to obtain

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial a} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^m (L_i - r) \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial a} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \left( (x_i - a) + r \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial a} \right),$$

take the partial derivative with respect to  $b$  to obtain

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial b} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^m (L_i - r) \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial b} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \left( (y_i - b) + r \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial b} \right),$$

and take the partial derivative with respect to  $c$  to obtain

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial c} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^m (L_i - r) \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial c} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \left( (z_i - c) + r \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial c} \right).$$

Setting these three derivatives equal to zero yields

$$a = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i + r \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial a}$$

and

$$b = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i + r \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial b}.$$

and

$$c = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m z_i + r \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial c}.$$

Replacing  $r$  by its equivalent from  $\partial E/\partial r = 0$  and using  $\partial L_i/\partial a = (a - x_i)/L_i$ ,  $\partial L_i/\partial b = (b - y_i)/L_i$ , and  $\partial L_i/\partial c = (c - z_i)/L_i$ , we get three nonlinear equations in  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ :

$$a = \bar{x} + \bar{L}\bar{L}_a =: F(a, b, c)$$

$$b = \bar{y} + \bar{L}\bar{L}_b =: G(a, b, c)$$

$$c = \bar{z} + \bar{L}\bar{L}_c =: H(a, b, c)$$

where

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i$$

$$\bar{y} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i$$

$$\bar{z} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m z_i$$

$$\bar{L} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L_i$$

$$\bar{L}_a = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{a - x_i}{L_i}$$

$$\bar{L}_b = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{b - y_i}{L_i}$$

$$\bar{L}_c = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{c - z_i}{L_i}$$

Fixed point iteration can be applied to solving these equations:  $a_0 = \bar{x}$ ,  $b_0 = \bar{y}$ ,  $c_0 = \bar{z}$ , and  $a_{i+1} = F(a_i, b_i, c_i)$ ,  $b_{i+1} = G(a_i, b_i, c_i)$ , and  $c_{i+1} = H(a_i, b_i, c_i)$  for  $i \geq 0$ . *Warning. I have not analyzed the convergence properties of this algorithm. In a few experiments it seems to converge just fine.*

## 7 Fitting an Ellipse to 2D Points

Given a set of points  $\{\mathbf{X}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , fit them with an ellipse  $(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U})^T R^T D R (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U}) = 1$  where  $\mathbf{U}$  is the ellipse center,  $R$  is an orthonormal matrix representing the ellipse orientation, and  $D$  is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries represent the reciprocal of the squares of the half-lengths lengths of the axes of the ellipse. An axis-aligned ellipse with center at the origin has equation  $(x/a)^2 + (y/b)^2 = 1$ . In this setting,  $\mathbf{U} = (0, 0)$ ,  $R = I$  (the identity matrix), and  $D = \text{diag}(1/a^2, 1/b^2)$ . The energy function to be minimized is

$$E(\mathbf{U}, R, D) = \sum_{i=1}^m (L_i - r)^2$$

where  $L_i$  is the distance from  $\mathbf{X}_i$  to the ellipse with the given parameters.

This problem is more difficult than that of fitting circles. The distance  $L_i$  is computed according to the algorithm described in [Distance from a Point to an Ellipse, an Ellipsoid, or a Hyperellipsoid](#). The function  $E$  is minimized iteratively using Powell's direction-set method to search for a minimum. An implementation is [GteApprEllipse2.h](#).

## 8 Fitting an Ellipsoid to 3D Points

Given a set of points  $\{\mathbf{X}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , fit them with an ellipsoid  $(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U})^T R^T D R (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U}) = 1$  where  $\mathbf{U}$  is the ellipsoid center and  $R$  is an orthonormal matrix representing the ellipsoid orientation. The matrix  $D$  is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries represent the reciprocal of the squares of the half-lengths of the axes of the ellipsoid. An axis-aligned ellipsoid with center at the origin has equation  $(x/a)^2 + (y/b)^2 + (z/c)^2 = 1$ . In this setting,  $\mathbf{U} = (0, 0, 0)$ ,  $R = I$  (the identity matrix), and  $D = \text{diag}(1/a^2, 1/b^2, 1/c^2)$ . The energy function to be minimized is

$$E(\mathbf{U}, R, D) = \sum_{i=1}^m (L_i - r)^2$$

where  $L_i$  is the distance from  $\mathbf{X}_i$  to the ellipse with the given parameters.

This problem is more difficult than that of fitting spheres. The distance  $L_i$  is computed according to the algorithm described in [Distance from a Point to an Ellipse, an Ellipsoid, or a Hyperellipsoid](#). The function  $E$  is minimized iteratively using Powell's direction-set method to search for a minimum. An implementation is [GteApprEllipsoid3.h](#).

## 9 Fitting a Paraboloid to 3D Points of the Form $(x, y, f(x, y))$

Given a set of samples  $\{(x_i, y_i, z_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  and assuming that the true values lie on a paraboloid

$$z = f(x, y) = p_1 x^2 + p_2 xy + p_3 y^2 + p_4 x + p_5 y + p_6 = \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Q}(x, y)$$

where  $\mathbf{P} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6)$  and  $\mathbf{Q}(x, y) = (x^2, xy, y^2, x, y, 1)$ , select  $\mathbf{P}$  to minimize the sum of squared errors

$$E(\mathbf{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_i - z_i)^2$$

where  $\mathbf{Q}_i = \mathbf{Q}(x_i, y_i)$ . The minimum occurs when the gradient of  $E$  is the zero vector,

$$\nabla E = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_i - z_i) \mathbf{Q}_i = \mathbf{0}.$$

Some algebra converts this to a system of 6 equations in 6 unknowns:

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{Q}_i \mathbf{Q}_i^T \right) \mathbf{P} = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i \mathbf{Q}_i.$$



The product  $\mathbf{Q}_i \mathbf{Q}_i^\top$  is a product of the  $6 \times 1$  matrix  $\mathbf{Q}_i$  with the  $1 \times 6$  matrix  $\mathbf{Q}_i^\top$ , the result being a  $6 \times 6$  matrix.

Define the  $6 \times 6$  symmetric matrix  $A = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{Q}_i \mathbf{Q}_i^\top$  and the  $6 \times 1$  vector  $\mathbf{B} = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i \mathbf{Q}_i$ . The choice for  $\mathbf{P}$  is the solution to the linear system of equations  $A\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{B}$ . The entries of  $A$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  indicate summations over the appropriate product of variables. For example,  $s(x^3y) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^3 y_i$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} s(x^4) & s(x^3y) & s(x^2y^2) & s(x^3) & s(x^2y) & s(x^2) \\ s(x^3y) & s(x^2y^2) & s(xy^3) & s(x^2y) & s(xy^2) & s(xy) \\ s(x^2y^2) & s(xy^3) & s(y^4) & s(xy^2) & s(y^3) & s(y^2) \\ s(x^3) & s(x^2y) & s(xy^2) & s(x^2) & s(xy) & s(x) \\ s(x^2y) & s(xy^2) & s(y^3) & s(xy) & s(y^2) & s(y) \\ s(x^2) & s(xy) & s(y^2) & s(x) & s(y) & s(1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \\ p_4 \\ p_5 \\ p_6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s(zx^2) \\ s(zxy) \\ s(zy^2) \\ s(zx) \\ s(zy) \\ s(z) \end{bmatrix}$$