Improved Expected Running Time for MDP Planning

Shivaram Kalyanakrishnan¹ Neeldhara Misra² Aditya Gopalan³

 Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay 2. Department of Computer Science and Automation, Indian Institute of Science
 Department of Electrical Communication Engineering, Indian Institute of Science

June 2015

Overview

- 1. MDP Planning
- 2. Solution strategies

Linear programming Value iteration Policy iteration **Our contribution**: Planning by Guessing and Policy Improvement (PGPI)

3. PGPI algorithm

A total order on the set of policies Guessing game Algorithm

4. Discussion

Overview

1. MDP Planning

2. Solution strategies

Linear programming Value iteration Policy iteration **Our contribution**: Planning by Guessing and Policy Improvement (PGPI)

3. PGPI algorithm

A total order on the set of policies Guessing game Algorithm

4. Discussion

MDP Planning

Markov Decision Problem: general abstraction of sequential decision making.

An MDP comprises a tuple (S, A, R, T), where *S* is a set of states (with |S| = n), *A* is a set of actions (with |A| = k), R(s, a) is a bounded real number, $\forall s \in S, \forall a \in A$, and T(s, a) is a probability distribution over $S, \forall s \in S, \forall a \in A$.

A policy $\pi : S \to A$ specifies an action from each state. The value of a policy π from state *s* is:

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} r_{t} \mid s_{0} = s, a_{t} = \pi(s_{t}), t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\right],$$
 where

 $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ is a discount factor.

MDP Planning

Markov Decision Problem: general abstraction of sequential decision making.

An MDP comprises a tuple (S, A, R, T), where *S* is a set of states (with |S| = n), *A* is a set of actions (with |A| = k), R(s, a) is a bounded real number, $\forall s \in S, \forall a \in A$, and T(s, a) is a probability distribution over $S, \forall s \in S, \forall a \in A$.

A policy $\pi : S \to A$ specifies an action from each state. The value of a policy π from state *s* is:

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} r_{t} \mid s_{0} = s, a_{t} = \pi(s_{t}), t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\right],$$
 where

 $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ is a discount factor.

Planning problem: Given *S*, *A*, *R*, *T*, and γ , find a policy π^* from the set of all policies Π such that

$$V^{\pi^{\star}}(s) \geq V^{\pi}(s), \forall s \in S, \forall \pi \in \Pi.$$

MDP Planning

Markov Decision Problem: general abstraction of sequential decision making.

An MDP comprises a tuple (S, A, R, T), where *S* is a set of states (with |S| = n), *A* is a set of actions (with |A| = k), R(s, a) is a bounded real number, $\forall s \in S, \forall a \in A$, and T(s, a) is a probability distribution over $S, \forall s \in S, \forall a \in A$.

A policy $\pi : S \to A$ specifies an action from each state. The value of a policy π from state *s* is:

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} r_{t} \mid s_{0} = s, a_{t} = \pi(s_{t}), t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\right],$$
 where

 $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ is a discount factor.

Planning problem: Given *S*, *A*, *R*, *T*, and γ , find a policy π^* from the set of all policies Π such that

$$V^{\pi^{\star}}(s) \geq V^{\pi}(s), \forall s \in S, \forall \pi \in \Pi.$$

Overview

1. MDP Planning

2. Solution strategies

Linear programming Value iteration Policy iteration **Our contribution**: Planning by Guessing and Policy Improvement (PGPI)

3. PGPI algorithm

A total order on the set of policies Guessing game Algorithm

4. Discussion

Linear Programming

The optimal value function V^{π^{*}} = V^{*} is unique solution of Bellman's Optimality Equations: ∀s ∈ S:

$$V^{\star}(s) = \max_{a \in A} \left(R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') V^{\star}(s') \right).$$

Linear Programming

The optimal value function V^{π^{*}} ^{def} V^{*} is unique solution of Bellman's Optimality Equations: ∀s ∈ S:

$$V^{\star}(s) = \max_{a \in A} \left(R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') V^{\star}(s') \right).$$

V* can be obtained by solving an equivalent linear program:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximise} & \sum_{s \in S} V(s) \\ \text{subject to} & V(s) \geq \left(R(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') V(s') \right), \forall s \in S, \forall a \in A. \end{array}$$

Linear Programming

The optimal value function V^{π^{*}} = V^{*} is unique solution of Bellman's Optimality Equations: ∀s ∈ S:

$$V^{\star}(s) = \max_{a \in A} \left(R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') V^{\star}(s') \right).$$

V^{*} can be obtained by solving an equivalent linear program:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximise} & \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} V(s) \\ \text{subject to} & V(s) \geq \left(R(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') V(s') \right), \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \forall a \in \mathcal{A}. \end{array}$$

n variables, *nk* constraints (or *dual* with *nk* variables, *n* constraints).

Solution time: poly(*n*, *k*, *B*),

where B is the number of bits used to represent the MDP.

Value Iteration

Classical dynamic programming approach.

 $\begin{array}{l} V_0 \leftarrow \text{Arbitrary, element-wise bounded, } n\text{-length vector.} \\ t \leftarrow 0. \\ \textbf{Repeat:} \\ \textbf{For } s \in S\text{:} \\ V_{t+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a \in A} \left(R(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s,a,s') V_t(s') \right). \\ t \leftarrow t+1. \\ \textbf{Until } V_t = V_{t-1} = V^* \text{ (up to machine precision).} \end{array}$

Value Iteration

Classical dynamic programming approach.

 $\begin{array}{l} V_0 \leftarrow \text{Arbitrary, element-wise bounded, } n\text{-length vector.} \\ t \leftarrow 0. \\ \textbf{Repeat:} \\ \textbf{For } s \in S\text{:} \\ V_{t+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a \in A} \left(R(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s,a,s') V_t(s') \right). \\ t \leftarrow t+1. \\ \textbf{Until } V_t = V_{t-1} = V^{\star} \text{ (up to machine precision).} \end{array}$

Convergence to V* guaranteed using a max-norm contraction argument.

Value Iteration

Classical dynamic programming approach.

 $\begin{array}{l} V_0 \leftarrow \text{Arbitrary, element-wise bounded, } n\text{-length vector.} \\ t \leftarrow 0. \\ \textbf{Repeat:} \\ \textbf{For } s \in S\text{:} \\ V_{t+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a \in A} \left(R(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} T(s,a,s') V_t(s') \right). \\ t \leftarrow t+1. \\ \textbf{Until } V_t = V_{t-1} = V^* \text{ (up to machine precision).} \end{array}$

Convergence to V* guaranteed using a max-norm contraction argument.

Number of iterations: poly($n, k, B, \frac{1}{1-\gamma}$).

Recall that

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}[r_1 + \gamma r_2 + \gamma^2 r_3 + \dots | s_0 = s, a_i = \pi(s_i)].$$

Bellman's Equations ($\forall s \in S$):

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi(s), s') \left[R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s') \right].$$

 V^{π} is called the value function of π .

Recall that

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}[r_1 + \gamma r_2 + \gamma^2 r_3 + \dots | s_0 = s, a_i = \pi(s_i)].$$

Bellman's Equations ($\forall s \in S$):

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi(s), s') [R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')].$$

 V^{π} is called the value function of π .

Define $(\forall s \in S, \forall a \in A)$: $Q^{\pi}(s, a) = \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')].$ Q^{π} is called the action value function of π . $V^{\pi}(s) = Q^{\pi}(s, \pi(s)).$

Recall that

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}[r_1 + \gamma r_2 + \gamma^2 r_3 + \dots | s_0 = s, a_i = \pi(s_i)].$$

Bellman's Equations ($\forall s \in S$):

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi(s), s') [R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')].$$

 V^{π} is called the value function of π .

Define $(\forall s \in S, \forall a \in A)$: $Q^{\pi}(s, a) = \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')].$ Q^{π} is called the action value function of π . $V^{\pi}(s) = Q^{\pi}(s, \pi(s)).$

The variables in Bellman's equation are the $V^{\pi}(s)$. |S| linear equations in |S| unknowns.

Recall that

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}[r_1 + \gamma r_2 + \gamma^2 r_3 + \dots | s_0 = s, a_i = \pi(s_i)].$$

Bellman's Equations ($\forall s \in S$):

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, \pi(s), s') \left[R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s') \right].$$

 V^{π} is called the value function of π .

Define $(\forall s \in S, \forall a \in A)$: $Q^{\pi}(s, a) = \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')].$ Q^{π} is called the action value function of π . $V^{\pi}(s) = Q^{\pi}(s, \pi(s)).$

The variables in Bellman's equation are the $V^{\pi}(s)$. |S| linear equations in |S| unknowns.

Thus, given *S*, *A*, *T*, *R*, γ , and a fixed policy π , we can solve Bellman's equations efficiently to obtain, $\forall s \in S, \forall a \in A, V^{\pi}(s)$ and $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$.

For a given policy π :

$$I(\pi) \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} \left\{ oldsymbol{s} \in oldsymbol{S} : Q^{\pi}(oldsymbol{s}, \pi(oldsymbol{s})) < \max_{oldsymbol{a} \in oldsymbol{A}} Q^{\pi}(oldsymbol{s}, oldsymbol{a})
ight\}$$

For a given policy π :

$$I(\pi) \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} \left\{ s \in S : Q^{\pi}(s, \pi(s)) < \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q^{\pi}(s, a)
ight\}$$

Q^{π} and *I*^{π} are easily derived from *V*^{π} (policy evaluation).

For a given policy π :

$$I(\pi) \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} \left\{ s \in S : Q^{\pi}(s, \pi(s)) < \max_{a \in A} Q^{\pi}(s, a)
ight\}$$

Q^{π} and *I*^{π} are easily derived from *V*^{π} (policy evaluation).

If $I^{\pi} = \emptyset$ iff π is an optimal policy.

For a given policy π :

$$I(\pi) \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} \left\{ s \in S : Q^{\pi}(s, \pi(s)) < \max_{a \in A} Q^{\pi}(s, a)
ight\}$$

 \square Q^{π} and I^{π} are easily derived from V^{π} (policy evaluation).

If $I^{\pi} = \emptyset$ iff π is an optimal policy.

Assume I^π ≠ Ø. Let C(π) be an arbitrarily "chosen" non-empty subset of I(π).
 Define a policy π' as follows.

$$\pi'(s) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \begin{cases} \arg \max_{a \in A} Q^{\pi}(s, a) & \text{if } s \in C(\pi), \\ \pi(s) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a given policy π :

$$I(\pi) \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} \left\{ s \in S : Q^{\pi}(s, \pi(s)) < \max_{a \in A} Q^{\pi}(s, a)
ight\}$$

 \square Q^{π} and I^{π} are easily derived from V^{π} (policy evaluation).

If $I^{\pi} = \emptyset$ iff π is an optimal policy.

Assume I^π ≠ Ø. Let C(π) be an arbitrarily "chosen" non-empty subset of I(π).
 Define a policy π' as follows.

$$\pi'(s) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \begin{cases} \arg \max_{a \in A} Q^{\pi}(s, a) & \text{if } s \in C(\pi), \\ \pi(s) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can be shown that (1) $\forall s \in S : V^{\pi'}(s) \ge V^{\pi}(s)$, and (2) $\exists s \in S : V^{\pi'}(s) > V^{\pi}(s)$.

For a given policy π :

$$I(\pi) \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} \left\{ s \in S : Q^{\pi}(s, \pi(s)) < \max_{a \in A} Q^{\pi}(s, a)
ight\}$$

 \square Q^{π} and I^{π} are easily derived from V^{π} (policy evaluation).

If $I^{\pi} = \emptyset$ iff π is an optimal policy.

Assume I^π ≠ Ø. Let C(π) be an arbitrarily "chosen" non-empty subset of I(π).
 Define a policy π' as follows.

$$\pi'(s) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \begin{cases} \arg \max_{a \in A} Q^{\pi}(s, a) & \text{if } s \in C(\pi), \\ \pi(s) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can be shown that (1) $\forall s \in S : V^{\pi'}(s) \ge V^{\pi}(s)$, and (2) $\exists s \in S : V^{\pi'}(s) > V^{\pi}(s)$. [Policy improvement]

 $\begin{array}{l} \pi_{0} \leftarrow \text{Arbitrary policy.} \\ t \leftarrow 0. \\ \textbf{Repeat:} \\ & \text{Evaluate } \pi^{t}; \text{ derive } I(\pi^{t}). \\ & \text{ If } I(\pi^{t}) \neq \emptyset, \text{ select } C(\pi^{t}) \subset I(\pi^{t}) \text{ and improve } \pi^{t} \text{ to } \pi^{t+1}. \\ & t \leftarrow t+1. \\ \textbf{Until } I(\pi^{t-1}) = \emptyset. \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \pi_{0} \leftarrow \text{Arbitrary policy.} \\ t \leftarrow 0. \\ \textbf{Repeat:} \\ \quad \text{Evaluate } \pi^{t}; \text{ derive } \textit{I}(\pi^{t}). \\ \quad \text{ If } \textit{I}(\pi^{t}) \neq \emptyset, \text{ select } \textit{C}(\pi^{t}) \subset \textit{I}(\pi^{t}) \text{ and improve } \pi^{t} \text{ to } \pi^{t+1}. \\ \quad t \leftarrow t+1. \\ \textbf{Until } \textit{I}(\pi^{t-1}) = \emptyset. \end{array}$

Howard's Policy Iteration: $C(\pi) = I(\pi)$.

Number of iterations: $O\left(\frac{k^n}{n}\right)$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \pi_0 \leftarrow \text{Arbitrary policy.} \\ t \leftarrow 0. \\ \textbf{Repeat:} \\ \quad \text{Evaluate } \pi^t; \text{ derive } \textit{I}(\pi^t). \\ \quad \text{ If } \textit{I}(\pi^t) \neq \emptyset, \text{ select } \textit{C}(\pi^t) \subset \textit{I}(\pi^t) \text{ and improve } \pi^t \text{ to } \pi^{t+1}. \\ \quad t \leftarrow t+1. \\ \textbf{Until } \textit{I}(\pi^{t-1}) = \emptyset. \end{array}$

Howard's Policy Iteration: $C(\pi) = I(\pi)$.

Number of iterations: $O\left(\frac{k^n}{n}\right)$.

Mansour and Singh's Randomised Policy Iteration: $C(\pi)$ chosen uniformly at random from among the non-empty subsets of $I(\pi)$.

Expected number of iterations: $O\left(2^{0.78n}\right)$ for k = 2; $O\left(\left(\left(1 + \frac{2}{log(k)}\right)\frac{k}{2}\right)^n\right)$ for general k.

 $\begin{array}{l} \pi_0 \leftarrow \text{Arbitrary policy.} \\ t \leftarrow 0. \\ \textbf{Repeat:} \\ \quad \text{Evaluate } \pi^t; \text{ derive } \textit{I}(\pi^t). \\ \quad \text{ If } \textit{I}(\pi^t) \neq \emptyset, \text{ select } \textit{C}(\pi^t) \subset \textit{I}(\pi^t) \text{ and improve } \pi^t \text{ to } \pi^{t+1}. \\ \quad t \leftarrow t+1. \\ \textbf{Until } \textit{I}(\pi^{t-1}) = \emptyset. \end{array}$

Howard's Policy Iteration: $C(\pi) = I(\pi)$.

Number of iterations: $O\left(\frac{k^n}{n}\right)$.

Mansour and Singh's Randomised Policy Iteration: $C(\pi)$ chosen uniformly at random from among the non-empty subsets of $I(\pi)$.

Expected number of iterations: $O(2^{0.78n})$ for k = 2; $O\left(\left(\left(1 + \frac{2}{\log(k)}\right)\frac{k}{2}\right)^n\right)$ for general k.

References: Howard(1960), Mansour and Singh (1999), Hollanders et al. (2014).

 $\begin{array}{l} \pi_0 \leftarrow \text{Arbitrary policy.} \\ t \leftarrow 0. \\ \textbf{Repeat:} \\ \quad \text{Evaluate } \pi^t; \text{ derive } \textit{I}(\pi^t). \\ \quad \text{ If } \textit{I}(\pi^t) \neq \emptyset, \text{ select } \textit{C}(\pi^t) \subset \textit{I}(\pi^t) \text{ and improve } \pi^t \text{ to } \pi^{t+1}. \\ \quad t \leftarrow t+1. \\ \textbf{Until } \textit{I}(\pi^{t-1}) = \emptyset. \end{array}$

Howard's Policy Iteration: $C(\pi) = I(\pi)$.

Number of iterations: $O\left(\frac{k^n}{n}\right)$.

Mansour and Singh's Randomised Policy Iteration: $C(\pi)$ chosen uniformly at random from among the non-empty subsets of $I(\pi)$.

Expected number of iterations: $O(2^{0.78n})$ for k = 2; $O\left(\left(\left(1 + \frac{2}{log(k)}\right)\frac{k}{2}\right)^n\right)$ for general k.

References: Howard(1960), Mansour and Singh (1999), Hollanders et al. (2014).
 Note that bounds do not depend on *B* and γ!

Algorithm	Computational complexity
Linear Programming	poly(<i>n</i> , <i>k</i> , <i>B</i>)
Value Iteration	poly $\left(n, k, B, \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\right)$
Policy Iteration	$\operatorname{poly}(n) \cdot O\left(\left(\left(1 + \frac{2}{\log(k)}\right)\frac{k}{2}\right)^n\right)$ (expected)

L

Algorithm	Computational complexity
Linear Programming	poly(n, k, B)
Value Iteration	poly $\left(n, k, B, \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\right)$
Policy Iteration	$\operatorname{poly}(n) \cdot O\left(\left(\left(1 + rac{2}{\log(k)}\right)rac{k}{2}\right)^n\right)$ (expected)
PGPI	$\operatorname{poly}(n) \cdot O\left(k^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$ (expected)

Algorithm	Computational complexity
Linear Programming	poly(n, k, B)
Value Iteration	poly $\left(n, k, B, \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\right)$
Policy Iteration	$\operatorname{poly}(n) \cdot O\left(\left(\left(1 + rac{2}{\log(k)}\right)rac{k}{2}\right)^n\right)$ (expected)
PGPI	poly(<i>n</i>) · $O\left(k^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$ (expected)

PGPI = Planning by Guessing and Policy Improvement. Randomised algorithm. Key ingredient: a **total order** on the set of policies. Analysis involves basic probability and counting.

Algorithm	Computational complexity
Linear Programming	poly(n, k, B)
Value Iteration	poly $\left(n, k, B, \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\right)$
Policy Iteration	$\operatorname{poly}(n) \cdot O\left(\left(\left(1 + rac{2}{\log(k)}\right)rac{k}{2}\right)^n\right)$ (expected)
PGPI	$\operatorname{poly}(n) \cdot O\left(k^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$ (expected)

PGPI = Planning by Guessing and Policy Improvement. Randomised algorithm. Key ingredient: a **total order** on the set of policies. Analysis involves basic probability and counting.

Even tighter bounds by combining PGPI with Randomised Policy Iteration!

Algorithm	Computational complexity
Linear Programming	poly(n, k, B) ["subexponential" bounds (n, k) exist!]
Value Iteration	poly $\left(n, k, B, \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\right)$
Policy Iteration	$poly(n) \cdot O\left(\left(\left(1+rac{2}{\log(k)}\right)rac{k}{2} ight)^n\right) ext{(expected)}$
PGPI	$poly(n) \cdot O\left(k^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$ (expected)

PGPI = Planning by Guessing and Policy Improvement. Randomised algorithm. Key ingredient: a **total order** on the set of policies. Analysis involves basic probability and counting.

Even tighter bounds by combining PGPI with Randomised Policy Iteration!

Overview

- 1. MDP Planning
- 2. Solution strategies

Linear programming Value iteration Policy iteration **Our contribution**: Planning by Guessing and Policy Improvement (PGPI)

3. PGPI algorithm

A total order on the set of policies Guessing game Algorithm

4. Discussion

For $\pi \in \Pi$, define

$$V(\pi) = \sum_{s \in S} V^{\pi}(s).$$

For $\pi \in \Pi$, define

$$V(\pi) = \sum_{s \in S} V^{\pi}(s).$$

Let L be an arbitrary total ordering on policies for tie-breaking, for example the lexicographic ordering.

For $\pi \in \Pi$, define

$$V(\pi) = \sum_{s \in S} V^{\pi}(s).$$

Let *L* be an arbitrary total ordering on policies for tie-breaking, for example the lexicographic ordering.

■ Total order ≻:

For
$$\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \Pi$$
, define $\pi_1 \succ \pi_2$ iff
 $V(\pi_1) > V(\pi_2)$ or
 $V(\pi_1) = V(\pi_2)$ and $\pi_1 L \pi_2$.

For $\pi \in \Pi$, define

$$V(\pi) = \sum_{s \in S} V^{\pi}(s).$$

Let *L* be an arbitrary total ordering on policies for tie-breaking, for example the lexicographic ordering.

■ Total order ≻:

For
$$\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \Pi$$
, define $\pi_1 \succ \pi_2$ iff
 $V(\pi_1) > V(\pi_2)$ or
 $V(\pi_1) = V(\pi_2)$ and $\pi_1 L \pi_2$.

Observe that if policy improvement to π yields π' , then $\pi' \succ \pi$.

$$\forall s \in S : V^{\pi'}(s) \ge V^{\pi}(s) \text{ and } \exists s \in S : V^{\pi'}(s) > V^{\pi}(s)$$

 $\implies V(\pi') > V(\pi)$
 $\implies \pi_1 \succ \pi_2.$

— N - 3 +2







How many operations needed to reach N?



How many operations needed to reach N?

Kalyanakrishnan, Misra, and Gopalan (2015) Improved Running Time for MDP Planning



How many operations needed to reach N?



How many operations needed to reach N?

Kalyanakrishnan, Misra, and Gopalan (2015) Improved Running Time for MDP Planning



How many operations needed to reach N?

Kalyanakrishnan, Misra, and Gopalan (2015) Improved Running Time for MDP Planning



How many operations needed to reach N?

Kalyanakrishnan, Misra, and Gopalan (2015) Improved Running Time for MDP Planning



How many operations needed to reach N?

Kalyanakrishnan, Misra, and Gopalan (2015) Improved Running Time for MDP Planning



How many operations needed to reach N?

Kalyanakrishnan, Misra, and Gopalan (2015) Improved Running Time for MDP Planning



How many operations needed to reach N?



How many operations needed to reach N?

Kalyanakrishnan, Misra, and Gopalan (2015) Improved Running Time for MDP Planning



How many operations needed to reach N?

Kalyanakrishnan, Misra, and Gopalan (2015) Improved Running Time for MDP Planning



How many operations needed to reach N?

Kalyanakrishnan, Misra, and Gopalan (2015) Improved Running Time for MDP Planning



How many operations needed to reach N?



How many operations needed to reach N?

Kalyanakrishnan, Misra, and Gopalan (2015) Improved Running Time for MDP Planning



How many operations needed to reach N?



How many operations needed to reach N?

Kalyanakrishnan, Misra, and Gopalan (2015) Improved Running Time for MDP Planning



How many operations needed to reach N?



How many operations needed to reach N?



How many operations needed to reach N?

Pick the best of \sqrt{N} guesses, and then increment up to N.



How many operations needed to reach N?

Pick the best of \sqrt{N} guesses, and then increment up to N.

Expected number of operations: $O(\sqrt{N})$.

PGPI Algorithm

 $\pi \leftarrow \text{Arbitrary policy.}$ **Repeat** $k^{\alpha n}$ **times:**Draw π' from Π uniformly at random.
If $\pi' \succ \pi, \pi \leftarrow \pi'$. **While** π **is not optimal:** $\pi \leftarrow \text{PolicyImprovement}(\pi).$

PGPI Algorithm

 $\pi \leftarrow \text{Arbitrary policy.}$ **Repeat** $k^{\alpha n}$ **times:**Draw π' from Π uniformly at random.
If $\pi' \succ \pi, \pi \leftarrow \pi'$. **While** π **is not optimal:** $\pi \leftarrow \text{PolicyImprovement}(\pi).$

 $\alpha = 0.5$; Expected number of iterations: $O(k^{\frac{n}{2}})$.

PGPI Algorithm

 $\pi \leftarrow \text{Arbitrary policy.}$ **Repeat** $k^{\alpha n}$ **times:**Draw π' from Π uniformly at random.
If $\pi' \succ \pi, \pi \leftarrow \pi'$. **While** π **is not optimal:** $\pi \leftarrow \text{PolicyImprovement}(\pi).$

 $\alpha = 0.5$; Expected number of iterations: $O(k^{\frac{n}{2}})$.

 $k = 2, \alpha = 0.46$, randomised policy improvement; Expected number of iterations: $O(2^{0.46n})$.

Kalyanakrishnan, Misra, and Gopalan (2015) Improved Running Time for MDP Planning

Overview

- 1. MDP Planning
- 2. Solution strategies

Linear programming Value iteration Policy iteration **Our contribution**: Planning by Guessing and Policy Improvement (PGPI)

3. PGPI algorithm

A total order on the set of policies Guessing game Algorithm

4. Discussion

■ PGPI: improved complexity bound solely in terms of *n* and *k*.

■ PGPI: improved complexity bound solely in terms of *n* and *k*.

Policy Iteration:MDP Planning :: Simplex: Linear Programming? Connections?

- PGPI: improved complexity bound solely in terms of *n* and *k*.
- Policy Iteration:MDP Planning :: Simplex: Linear Programming? Connections?
- PGPI: no favourable experimental results yet!
- Policy Iteration (Howard, Mansour and Singh) very quick on "typical" MDPs.
- Yet to find MDPs on which PGPI dominates Policy Iteration.
- Currently known "lower bound" MDPs (Fearnley, 2010) not suitable.

- PGPI: improved complexity bound solely in terms of *n* and *k*.
- Policy Iteration: MDP Planning :: Simplex: Linear Programming? Connections?
- PGPI: no favourable experimental results yet!
- Policy Iteration (Howard, Mansour and Singh) very quick on "typical" MDPs.
- Yet to find MDPs on which PGPI dominates Policy Iteration.
- Currently known "lower bound" MDPs (Fearnley, 2010) not suitable.

References.

R. A. Howard, 1960. Dynamic Programming and Markov Processes. MIT Press, 1960.

Yishay Mansour and Satinder Singh, 1999. On the Complexity of Policy Iteration. In Proc. UAI 1999, pp. 401–408, AUAI, 1999.

John Fearnley, 2010. Exponential Lower Bounds for Policy Iteration. In Proc. ICALP 2010, pp. 551–562, Springer, 2010.

Romain Hollanders, Balázs Gerencsér, Jean-Charles Delvenne, and Raphaël M. Jungers, 2014. About upper bounds on the complexity of Policy Iteration, http://perso.uclouvain.be/romain.hollanders/docs/NewUpperBoundForPI.pdf.

- PGPI: improved complexity bound solely in terms of *n* and *k*.
- Policy Iteration: MDP Planning :: Simplex: Linear Programming? Connections?
- PGPI: no favourable experimental results yet!
- Policy Iteration (Howard, Mansour and Singh) very quick on "typical" MDPs.
- Yet to find MDPs on which PGPI dominates Policy Iteration.
- Currently known "lower bound" MDPs (Fearnley, 2010) not suitable.

References.

R. A. Howard, 1960. Dynamic Programming and Markov Processes. MIT Press, 1960.

Yishay Mansour and Satinder Singh, 1999. On the Complexity of Policy Iteration. In Proc. UAI 1999, pp. 401–408, AUAI, 1999.

John Fearnley, 2010. Exponential Lower Bounds for Policy Iteration. In Proc. ICALP 2010, pp. 551–562, Springer, 2010.

Romain Hollanders, Balázs Gerencsér, Jean-Charles Delvenne, and Raphaël M. Jungers, 2014. About upper bounds on the complexity of Policy Iteration, http://perso.uclouvain.be/romain.hollanders/docs/NewUpperBoundForPI.pdf.

Thank you!