**Program Analysis** 

https://www.cse.iitb.ac.in/~karkare/cs618/

# Foundations of Data Flow Analysis (contd ...)

Amey Karkare
Dept of Computer Science and Engg
IIT Kanpur
Visiting IIT Bombay

karkare@cse.iitk.ac.in karkare@cse.iitb.ac.in



#### Acknowledgement

 Slides based on the material at <a href="http://infolab.stanford.edu/~ullman/dragon/w06/w06.html">http://infolab.stanford.edu/~ullman/dragon/w06/w06.html</a>

- 2

#### Knaster-Tarski Fixed Point Theorem

Let  $f: S \to S$  be a monotonic function on a complete lattice  $(S, V, \Lambda)$ . Define

- $red(f) = \{v | v \in S, f(v) \le v\}$ , pre fix-points
- $ext(f) = \{v | v \in S, f(v) \ge v\}$ , post fix-points
- $fix(f) = \{v | v \in S, f(v) = v\}$ , fix-points Then,
- $\land red(f) \in fix(f)$ ,  $\land red(f) = \land fix(f)$
- $\forall ext(f) \in fix(f)$ ,  $\forall ext(f) = \forall fix(f)$
- fix(f) is a complete lattice

### **Application of Fixed Point Theorem**

- $f: S \to S$  a monotonic function
- $(S, \Lambda)$  is a finite height semilattice,
- T is top element
- $f^{0}(x) = x, f^{i+1}(x) = f(f^{i}(x)), i \ge 0$
- The greatest fixed point of f is  $f^k(T)$  where  $f^{k+1}(T) = f^k(T)$

#### Fixed Point Algorithm

```
// monotonic f on a meet semilattice x := T; while (x != f(x)) \quad x := f(x); return x;
```

## Resemblance to Iterative Algorithm (Forward)

```
OUT[entry] = Info<sub>ENTRY</sub>;
for (other blocks B) OUT[B] = T;
while (changes to any OUT) {
  for (each block B) {
    IN(B) = \( \Lambda \) predecessors P of B OUT(P);
    OUT(B) = f<sub>B</sub>(IN(B));
  }
}
```

#### **Iterative Algorithm**

- $f_B(x) = X kill(B) \cup gen(B)$
- BACKWARD:
  - -Swap IN and OUT everywhere
  - Replace ENTRY by EXIT
  - Replace predecessors by successors
- In other words
  - just "invert" the flow graph!!

#### **Solutions**

- IDEAL solution = meet over all executable paths from entry to a point (ignore unrealizable paths)
- MOP = meet over all paths from entry to a given point, of the transfer function along that path applied to Info<sub>ENTRY</sub>.
- MFP (maximal fixedpoint ) = result of iterative algorithm.

#### Maximum Fixedpoint

• *Fixedpoint* = solution to the equations used in iteration:

IN(B) = 
$$\bigwedge_{\text{predecessors P of B}} \text{OUT(P)};$$
  
OUT(B) =  $f_{\text{B}}(\text{IN(B)});$ 

 Maximum = any other solution is ≤ the result of the iterative algorithm (MFP).

9

#### **MOP Versus IDEAL**

 Any solution that is ≤ IDEAL accounts for all executable paths (and maybe more paths), and is therefore conservative (safe), even if not accurate.

11

#### MOP and IDEAL

- All solutions are really meets of the result of starting with Info<sub>ENTRY</sub> and following some set of paths to the point in question.
- If we don't include at least the IDEAL paths, we have an error.
- But try not to include too many more.
  - -Less "ignorance," but we "know too much."

10

#### MFP Versus MOP --- (1)

- Is MFP  $\leq$  MOP?
  - If so, then MFP ≤ MOP ≤ IDEAL, therefore MFP is safe.
- Yes, but ... requires two assumptions about the framework:
  - 1. "Monotonicity."
  - 2. Finite height
    no infinite chains ... < x<sub>2</sub> < x<sub>1</sub> < x < ...</p>

#### MFP Versus MOP --- (2)

- Intuition: If we computed the MOP directly, we would compose functions along all paths, then take a big meet.
- But the MFP (iterative algorithm)
   alternates compositions and meets
   arbitrarily.

13

#### Good News!

- The frameworks we've studied so far are all monotone.
  - –Easy proof for functions in Gen-Kill form.
- And they have finite height.
  - Only a finite number of defs, variables, etc. in any program.

14

## Two Paths to B That Meet Early

In MFP, Values x and y get combined too soon.

MOP considers paths independently and combines at the last possible moment.

OUT = x

OUT =  $f(x) \wedge f(y)$ B

OUT =  $f(x) \wedge f(y)$ OUT =  $f(x) \wedge f(y)$ 

Since  $f(x \wedge y) \leq f(x) \wedge f(y)$ , it is as if we added nonexistent paths.

#### **Distributive Frameworks**

• Distributivity:

$$f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$$

- Stronger than monotonicity
  - –Distributivity ⇒ monotonicity
  - -But reverse is not true.

#### Even More Good News!

- The 4 example frameworks are distributive.
- If a framework is distributive, then combining paths early doesn't hurt.
  - -MOP = MFP.
  - That is, the iterative algorithm computes a solution that takes into account all and only the physical paths.