Symmetric Key Cryptography

Lecture 8 Summary

sim-cca security

Authentication not <u>required</u>. i.e., Adversary allowed to send own messages (possibly "error")



Encryption & Authentication

- CPA secure encryption: Block-cipher/CTR mode construction
- MAC: from a PRF or Block-Cipher

RECALL

- CCA secure encryption: From CPA secure encryption and MAC.
 Encrypt-then-MAC. (Gives authentication also.)
- SKE can be entirely based on Block-Ciphers
 - A tool that can make things faster: Hash functions (later)

Recht Message Authentication Codes

MACK

Λ<mark>Α</mark>C_K(Μ

Mi

A single short key shared by Alice and Bob

- Can sign any (polynomial) number of messages
- A triple (KeyGen, MAC, Verify)
- Correctness: For all K from KeyGen, and all messages M, Verify_K(M,MAC_K(M))=1
- Security: probability that an adversary can produce (M,s) s.t. Verify_K(M,s)=1 is negligible unless Alice produced an output s=MAC_K(M)

Advantage = Pr[Ver_K(M,s)=1 and (M,s) ∉ {(M_i,s_i)}]

Verk

MAC from PRF

When Each Message is a Single Block

• PRF is a MAC!

RECALL

- $MAC_{K}(M) := F_{K}(M)$ where F is a PRF
- Ver_K(M,S) := 1 iff $S=F_K(M)$
- Output length of F_K should be big enough

If an adversary forges MAC with probability EMAC, then can break PRF with advantage O(EMAC - 2-m(k)) (m(k) being the output length of the PRF) [How?]

 If random function R used as MAC, then probability of forgery, ε_{MAC}* = 2^{-m(k)}



Recall: Advantage in breaking a PRF F = diff in prob test has of outputting 1, when given F vs. truly random R

MAC from PRF For multi-block messages

CBC-MAC

RECALL

For fixed number of blocks



Else length-extension attacks possible
 (by extending a previously signed message)

Many ways to handle variable number of blocks

• e.g., EMAC, CMAC, ...

Later, HMAC: MAC from a "hash function" (instead of a PRF)

Authenticated Encryption

- Encryption + authentication (implies CCA secure encryption)
 - Generic composition: encrypt (CPA), then MAC
 - Needs two keys and two passes
- AE aims to do this more efficiently

MAC-then-encrypt is not necessarily CCA-secure

- Several constructions based on block-ciphers (modes of operation) provably secure modeling block-cipher as PRP
 - One pass: IAPM, OCB, ... [patented]
 - Two pass: CCM, GCM, SIV, ... [included in NIST standards]
- AE with Associated Data: Allows unencrypted (but authenticated) parts of the plaintext, for headers etc.

SKE in Practice

Stream Ciphers

- A key should be used for only a single stream
- RC4, eSTREAM portfolio, ...

Also used to denote the random nonce chosen for encryption using a <u>block-cipher</u>

- In practice, stream ciphers take a key and an "IV" (initialization vector) as inputs
 - Heuristic goal: behave somewhat like a PRF (instead of a PRG) so that it can be used for multi-message encryption
 - But often breaks if used this way
- NIST Standard: For multi-message encryption, use a blockcipher in CTR mode

Block Ciphers

DES, 3DES, Blowfish, AES, ...

Heuristic constructions

Permutations that can be inverted with the key

Speed (hardware/software) is of the essence

But should withstand known attacks

As a PRP (or at least, against key recovery)

Feistel Network

Building a permutation from a (block) function 0 • Let f: $\{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \{0,1\}^m$ be an arbitrary function F_f is a permutation (Why?) Can invert (How?) • Given functions f_1, \dots, f_t can build a t-layer Feistel network F_{f1...ft} • Still a permutation from $\{0,1\}^{2m}$ to $\{0,1\}^{2m}$ Luby-Rackoff: A 3-layer Feistel network with PRFs (with independent seeds) as round functions is a PRP. A 4-layer Feistel of PRFs gives a strong PRP. Fewer layers do not suffice! [Exercise]

DES Block Cipher

NIST Standard. 1976

- Data Encryption Standard (DES), Triple-DES, DES-X
- DES uses a 16-layer Feistel network (and a few other steps)
 - The round functions are not PRFs, but ad hoc
 - Confuse and diffuse
 - Defined for fixed key/block lengths (56 bits and 64 bits); key is used to generate subkeys for round functions
- DES's key length too short
 - Can now mount brute force key-recovery attacks (e.g. using \$10K hardware, running for under a week, in 2006; now, in under a day)
- DES-X: extra keys to pad input and output
- Triple DES: 3 successive applications of DES (or DES⁻¹) with 3 keys

AES Block Cipher

NIST Standard. 2001

- Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)
 - AES-128, AES-192, AES-256 (3 key sizes; block size = 128 bits)
 - Very efficient in software implementations (unlike DES)
 - Uses "Substitute-and-Permute" instead of Feistel networks
 - Has some algebraic structure
 - Operations in a vector space over the field GF(2⁸)
 - The algebraic structure may lead to "attacks"? Not yet.
 - Some implementations may lead to side-channel attacks (e.g. cache-timing attacks)
 - Widely considered secure, but no "simple" hardness assumption known to imply any sort of security for AES



Cryptanalysis

Attacking stream ciphers and block ciphers

Typically for key recovery

Brute force cryptanalysis, using specialized hardware

e.g. Attack on DES in 1998

Several other analytical techniques to speed up attacks

Sometimes "theoretical": on weakened ("reduced round") constructions, showing improvement over brute-force attack

Meet-in-the-middle, linear cryptanalysis, differential cryptanalysis, impossible differential cryptanalysis, boomerang attack, integral cryptanalysis, cube attack, ...

SKE today

- SKE in IPsec, TLS etc. mainly based on AES block-ciphers
 AES-128, AES-192, AES-256
- A recommended choice: AES Counter-mode + CMAC (or HMAC), encrypt-then-MAC.
 - Gives CCA security, and provides authentication
 - (Standards don't all follow this choice, but still secure)
- Older components/modes still in use
 - Supported by many standards for legacy purposes
 - In many applications (sometimes with modifications)
 - e.g. RC4 still used in BitTorrent