Public-Key Cryptography

Lecture 7 Public-Key Encryption Diffie-Hellman Key-Exchange El Gamal Encryption Shared/Symmetric-Key Encryption (a.k.a. private-key encryption)

PKE scheme

SKE:
Syntax
KeyGen outputs
K ← K
Enc: M×K×R→C
Dec: C×K→ M

 Correctness
 ∀K ∈ Range(KeyGen), Dec(Enc(m,K), K) = m
 Security (SIM/IND-CPA)

a.k.a. asymmetric-key encryption @ PKE < Syntax KeyGen outputs $(\mathsf{PK},\mathsf{SK}) \leftarrow \mathcal{PK} \times \mathcal{SK}$ $\odot Enc: \mathscr{M} \times \mathscr{P} \mathscr{K} \times \mathscr{R} \to \mathcal{C}$ • Dec: $C \times S \ll M$ Correctness Dec(Enc(m, PK), SK) = m Security (SIM/IND-CPA, PKE version)

SIM-CPA (PKE Version)



IND-CPA (SKE version)

Experiment picks a random bit b. It also Ø runs KeyGen to get a key K

For as long as Adversary wants

Adv sends two messages m₀, m₁ to the experiment

adversary

Adversary returns a guess b' Experiment outputs 1 iff b'=b IND-CPA secure if for all PPT adversaries $\Pr[b'=b] - 1/2 \le v(k)$

 m_0, m_1 Then no need b' for <u>multiple</u> b challenges! b←{0,1} [Via hybrids] b'=b? Yes/No

Key/ Enc

Mb

Enc(m_b,K)

Can give Adv

·(...(direct) oracle access to

IND-CPA (SKE version)

Experiment picks a random bit b. It also runs KeyGen to get a key (PK,SK). Adv given PK

 Adv sends two messages m₀, m₁ to the experiment

Expt returns Enc(mb,K) to the adversary

Adversary returns a guess b'
Experiment outputs 1 iff b'=b
IND-CPA secure if for all PPT adversaries Pr[b'=b] - 1/2 ≤ v(k)



IND-CPA (PKE versio

IND-CPA + ~correctness equivalent to SIM-CPA

Experiment picks a random bit b. It also runs KeyGen to get a key (PK,SK). Adv given PK

 Adv sends two messages m₀, m₁ to the experiment

Expt returns Enc(mb,K) to the adversary

Adversary returns a guess b'
Experiment outputs 1 iff b'=b
IND-CPA secure if for all PPT adversaries Pr[b'=b] - 1/2 ≤ v(k)



Perfect Secrecy?

No perfectly secret and correct PKE (even for one-time encryption)

Public-key and ciphertext (the total shared information between Alice and Bob at the end) should together have entire information about the message

Intuition: If Eve thinks Bob could decrypt it as two messages based on different SKs, Alice should be concerned too

i.e., Alice conveys same information to Bob and Eve

PKE only with computational security

Unless assumptions of <u>imperfect</u> eavesdropping

Diffie-Hellman Key-exchange

A candidate for how Alice and Bob could generate a shared key, which is "hidden" from Eve



Why DH-Key-exchange could be secure

Given g[×], g^y for random x, y, g^{×y} should be "hidden"
i.e., could still be used as a pseudorandom element
i.e., (g[×], g^y, g^{×y}) ≈ (g[×], g^y, R)
Is that reasonable to expect?
Depends on the "group"

Groups, by examples

A group (G, *) specified by a set G (for us finite, unless Abelian otherwise specified) and a "group operation" * that is associative, has an identity, is invertible, and (for us) commutative
 Examples: I = (integers, +) (this is an infinite group), I^{nect} Product
 Integers modulo N, + mod N), Gⁿ = (Cartesian product of a group G, coordinate-wise operation)
 Order of a group G: |G| = number of elements in G
 For any a∈G, a^{|G|} = a * a * ... * a (|G| times) = identity

g^{N-1} g⁰

gN-2

g¹

- Finite Cyclic group (in multiplicative notation): there is one element g such that G = {g⁰, g¹, g², ... g^{|G|-1}}.
 - Prototype: \mathbb{Z}_N (additive group), with g=1

or any d s.t. gcd(d,N) = 1

Computing on a Group

We need groups with efficient algorithms to work on them

- An ensemble of groups, indexed by security parameter
- Group generation: Given a security parameter, output a group G and a generator for it, g
- Elements of G should have (about) k-bit representation

Note: |G| can be exponentially large in k

G has polynomial time algorithms for adding, inverting and randomly sampling a group element

Discrete Log Assumption Repeated squaring

- Discrete Log (w.r.t g) in a (multiplicative) cyclic group G generated by g: DL_g(X) := unique x such that X = g[×] (x ∈ {0,1,...,|G|-1})
- In a (computationally efficient) group, given integer x and the standard representation of a group element g, can efficiently find the standard representation of X=g[×] (How?)
 - But given X and g, may not be easy to find x (depending on G)
 - DLA: Every PPT Adv has negligible success probability in the DL Expt: (G,g)←GroupGen; X←G; Adv(G,g,X)→z; g^z=X? < 0</p>
- If DLA broken, then Diffie-Hellman key-exchange broken
- OWF: Raise(x;G,g) = (g^x;G,g)
- Eve gets x, y from g^x, g^y (sometimes) and can compute g^{xy} herself
 A "key-recovery" attack
- Note: could potentially break pseudorandomness without breaking
 DLA too

Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) Assumption $\approx \{(g^x, g^y, g^r)\}_{(G,g)} \leftarrow GroupGen; x,y,r \leftarrow [|G|]$ $(g^{x}, g^{y}, g^{xy}) (G,g) \leftarrow GroupGen; x,y \leftarrow [|G|]$ At least as strong as DLA If DDH assumption holds, then DLA holds [Why?] But possible that DLA holds and DDH assumption doesn't • e.g.: DLA is widely believed to hold in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*} (p prime), but DDH assumption doesn't hold there! Group elements are non-zero elements mod p and group operation is multiplication mod p OH Key exchange is secure (against an eavesdropper) iff the DDH assumption holds in the group used

> Security definition here is simply that (transcript, generated key) ≈ (transcript, random key)

El Gamal Encryption

Based on DH key-exchange

Alice, Bob generate a key using DH key-exchange

Then use it as a one-time pad for messages in the group

Bob's "message" in the keyexchange is his PK

 Alice's message in the keyexchange and the ciphertext of the one-time pad together form a single ciphertext



KeyGen: PK=(G,g,Y), SK=(G,g,y)Enc_(G,g,Y)(M) = (X=g[×], C=MY[×]) Dec_(G,g,Y)(X,C) = CX^{-y}

- KeyGen uses GroupGen to get (G,g)
- x, y uniform from $\mathbb{Z}_{|G|}$

 Message encoded into group element, and decoded

Security of El Gamal

 El Gamal is IND-CPA secure if DDH holds (for the collection of groups used)

Construct a DDH adversary A* given an IND-CPA adversary A

 A*(G,g; g^x,g^y,g^z) (where (G,g) ← GroupGen, x,y random and z=xy or random) plays the IND-CPA experiment with A:

• But sets $PK=(G,g,g^{y})$ and $Enc(M_b)=(g^{x},M_bg^{z})$

Outputs 1 if experiment outputs 1 (i.e. if b=b')

• When z=random, A^* outputs 1 with probability = 1/2

When z=xy, exactly IND-CPA experiment: A* outputs 1 with probability = 1/2 + advantage of A. Alternately, convert the key K into a pseudorandom bit string using a "Key Derivation Function"

El Gamal Encryption

Based on DH key-exchange

Alice, Bob generate a key using DH key-exchange

Then use it as a one-time pad for messages in the group

 Bob's "message" in the keyexchange is his PK

Alice's message in the keyexchange and the ciphertext of the one-time pad together form a single ciphertext



KeyGen: PK=(G,g,Y), SK=(G,g,y)Enc_(G,g,Y)(M) = (X=g[×], C=MY[×]) Dec_(G,g,Y)(X,C) = CX^{-y}

- KeyGen uses GroupGen to get (G,g)
- x, y uniform from $\mathbb{Z}_{|G|}$

 Message encoded into group element, and decoded