# Randomness

Lecture 14

Randomness Extractors Key Derivation Functions

## Randomness is Key!

- Security of all cryptographic primitives depends on the assumption that random samples can be drawn
  - Typically random bit strings (used as keys for block ciphers, or converted to prime numbers, group elements, etc. for key generation in public-key cryptography)
- If this assumption is violated, serious security issues
- A study in 2012 found too many RSA modulii (N=PQ) on the web shared a common factor (as revealed by GCD computation)
- In libssl, a poor source of randomness was used. In particular, in Debian's version from September 2006 to May 2008, it was easily predictable.
  - Seriously undermines security. E.g., users with certificates generated in such systems could be spoofed until certificates expired/were revoked

Consider a PRG which outputs a pseudorandom group element in some complicated group

A standard bit-string representation of a random group element may not be (pseudo)random

Can we efficiently map it to a pseudorandom bit string? Depends on the group...

Suppose a chip for producing random bits shows some complicated dependencies/biases, but still is highly unpredictable

Can we purify it to extract <u>uniform</u> randomness? Depends on the specific dependencies...

A general tool for purifying randomness: Randomness Extractor

- Takes an input with high unpredictability, and an <u>independent</u> seed as a "catalyst", and outputs an (almost) random string
- Statistical guarantees: output not just pseudorandom, but statistically (almost) uniform, if input has sufficient <u>entropy</u>
- 2-Universal Hash Functions (when sufficiently compressing), where the seed is the hash function
  - Optimal" in all parameters except seed length
- Constructions with shorter seeds known
  - e.g. Based on expander graphs

- Strong extractor: output is random even when the seed for extraction is revealed
  - 2-UHF is in fact a strong extractor (seed is the hash function)
  - "Left-Over Hash Lemma"
- Useful in key agreement
  - Alice and Bob exchange a non-uniform key, with a lot of pseudoentropy for Eve (say, g<sup>×y</sup>)
  - Alice sends a random seed for a strong extractor to Bob, in the clear
  - Key derivation: Alice and Bob extract a new key, which is pseudorandom (i.e., indistinguishable from a uniform bit string)

- Pseudorandomness Extractors (a.k.a. computational extractors): output is guaranteed only to be pseudorandom if input has sufficient (pseudo)entropy
- Key Derivation Function: Strong pseudorandomness extractor
  - Cannot directly use a block-cipher, because pseudorandomness required even when the randomly chosen seed is public ("salt")
    - Extract-Then-Expand: It's enough to extract a key for a PRF
    - Can be based on HMAC or CBC-MAC: Statistical guarantee, if compression function/block-cipher were a public but randomly chosen function/permutation
    - Models KDF in IPsec's Internet Key Exchange (IKE) protocol.
       HMAC version later standardised as HKDF.

 Extractors for use in system Random Number Generator (think /dev/random)

- Additional issues:
  - Online model, with a variable (and unknown) rate of entropy accumulation
  - Should recover from compromise due to low entropy phases (especially in the beginning)
- Constructions provably secure in such models known
  - Using PRG (e.g., AES in CTR mode), universal hashing and "pool scheduling" (similar to Fortuna, used in Windows)

## Randomness Hardware

Originally, analog circuitry for amplifying thermal noise. But many drawbacks in a digital processor.

Nowadays digital circuity as entropy source



Since the component NOT gates are not identical, circuit needs to be dynamically controlled to make each bit unbiased

Switching on and off expected to make the bits independent

RDRand instruction in Intel and AMD processors

Bits from the digital entropy source are first processed using an (ad hoc) extractor with a fixed seed

Then used as the seed for a fast PRG (AES in CTR mode)

## Randomness Reuse

- Various cryptographic schemes require that randomness is not reused
  - E.g., IV in a CPA-secure encryption
  - Randomness in Schnorr signature (Recall special soundness: responses to two challenges given the same initial message allows extraction of the signing key)
- But attacks/accidents may force randomness reuse
  - E.g., two instances of a virtual machine starting from the same snapshot
- Sometimes can mitigate the effect of randomness reuse
  - Synthetic IV: Only effect of using the same IV many times would be to reveal which messages are equal to which
    - E.g., GCM-SIV mode

# Randomness Leakage

We expect n-bit random strings (nonces, keys, etc.) to have n bits of entropy (i.e., uniform over all 2<sup>n</sup> possibilities) even conditioned on what an adversary knows

Or be indistinguishable from that

In particular, the random strings should not be leaked outside the cryptographic process which requests it

But often side channels exist

E.g., CrossTalk in intel processors (revealed in June 2020): The output of RDRand (among other things) was stored in a staging buffer, which processes in other cores could access

Leads to extraction of signing keys from within "secure enclaves" provided by Intel SGX