

# Symmetric-Key Encryption: One-Way Functions


Lecture 6

PRG from One-Way Permutations

RECALL

# Story So far

- PRG (i.e., a Stream Cipher) for one-time SKE
  - “Mode of operation”:  $\text{msg} \oplus \text{pseudorandom pad}$
- PRF (i.e., a Block Cipher) for full-fledged SKE
  - Many standard modes of operation: OFB, CTR, CBC, ...
  - All provably CPA-secure if the Block Cipher is a PRF (or PRP with trapdoor, for CBC). CTR mode is recommended (most efficient)
- In practice, fast/complex constructions for Block Ciphers
  - E.g. 3DES, AES, Twofish, ...
- But in principle, a PRF can be securely built from a PRG



RECALL

# PRG

coming up

- Can build a PRG from a one-bit stretch PRG,  $G_k: \{0,1\}^k \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{k+1}$




- Can use part of the PRG output as a new seed



- Stream cipher: the intermediate seeds are never output, can keep stretching on demand (for any “polynomial length”)

# One-Way Function

- $f_k: \{0,1\}^k \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{n(k)}$  is a **one-way function (OWF)** if
  - $f$  is polynomial time computable
  - For all (non-uniform) PPT adversary, probability of success in the “OWF experiment” is negligible
  - Note:  $x$  may not be completely hidden by  $f(x)$



# One-Way Function Candidates

- Integer factorization:
  - $f_{\text{mult}}(x,y) = x \cdot y$
  - Input distribution:  $(x,y)$  random  $k$ -bit primes
  - Fact: taking input domain to be the set of all  $k$ -bit integers, with input distribution being uniform over it, will also work (if  $k$ -bit primes distribution works)
    - In that case, it is important that we require  $|x|=|y|=k$ , not just  $|x \cdot y|=2k$  (otherwise, 2 is a valid factor of  $x \cdot y$  with  $3/4$  probability)

# One-Way Function Candidates

- Solving Subset Sum:
  - $f_{\text{subsum}}(x_1 \dots x_k, S) = (x_1 \dots x_k, \sum_{i \in S} x_i)$
  - Input distribution:  $x_i$   $k$ -bit integers,  $S \subseteq \{1 \dots k\}$ . Uniform
  - Inverting  $f_{\text{subsum}}$  known to be NP-hard, but assuming that it is a OWF is “stronger” than assuming  $P \neq NP$
- Note:  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is a “public parameter” (given as part of the output to be inverted)
- OWF Collection: A collection of subset sum problems, all with the same public parameter (the rest of the input is independently sampled)

# One-Way Function Candidates

- Goldreich's Candidate:

- $f_{\text{Goldreich}}(x, S_1, \dots, S_n, P) = (P(x|_{S_1}), \dots, P(x|_{S_n}), S_1, \dots, S_n, P)$

- $x \in \{0,1\}^k$ ,  $S_i \subseteq [k]$  with  $|S_i|=d$ ,  $P: \{0,1\}^d \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ ,  
and  $x|_S$  stands for  $x$  restricted to indices in  $S$

- Input distribution: uniformly random with the requisite structure


- OWF Collection:  $(S_1, \dots, S_n, P)$  is the public parameter

# One-Way Function Candidates

- **Rabin OWF**:  $f_{\text{Rabin}}(x; n) = (x^2 \bmod n, n)$ , where  $n = pq$ , and  $p, q$  are random  $k$ -bit primes, and  $x$  is uniform from  $\{0 \dots n\}$ 
  - OWF collection: public parameter  $n$
- More: e.g, **Discrete Logarithm** (public parameter: a group & generator), **RSA function** (public parameter:  $n=pq$  & an exponent  $e$ ).
  - Later

# Hardcore Predicate

- OWFs provide no hiding property that can be readily used
- E.g. every single bit of (random)  $x$  may be significantly predictable from  $f(x)$ , even if  $f$  is a OWF  
[Exercise]
- Hardcore predicate associated with  $f$ : a function  $B$  such that  $B(x)$  remains “completely” hidden given  $f(x)$




# Hardcore Predicates

- For candidate OWFs, often hardcore predicates known
  - e.g. if  $f_{\text{Rabin}}(x;n)$  is a OWF, then  $\text{LSB}(x)$  is a hardcore predicate for it
  - **Reduction**: Given an algorithm for finding  $\text{LSB}(x)$  from  $f_{\text{Rabin}}(x;n)$  for random  $x$ , one can use it (efficiently) to invert  $f_{\text{Rabin}}$

# Goldreich-Levin Predicate

- Given any OWF  $f$ , can slightly modify it to get a OWF  $g_f$  such that
  - $g_f$  has a simple hardcore predicate
  - $g_f$  is almost as efficient as  $f$ ; is a permutation if  $f$  is one
- $g_f(x,r) = (f(x), r)$ , where  $|r|=|x|$ 
  - Input distribution:  $x$  as for  $f$ , and  $r$  independently random
- GL-predicate:  $B(x,r) = \langle x,r \rangle$  (dot product of bit vectors)
  - Can show that a predictor of  $B(x,r)$  with non-negligible advantage can be turned into an inversion algorithm for  $f$ 
    - Predictor for  $B(x,r)$  is a “noisy channel” through which  $x$ , encoded as  $(\langle x,0 \rangle, \langle x,1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, 2^{|x|}-1 \rangle)$  (Walsh-Hadamard code), is transmitted. Can efficiently recover  $x$  by error-correction (local list decoding).

# PRG from One-Way Permutations



- One-bit stretch PRG,  $G_k: \{0,1\}^k \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{k+1}$ 
  - $G(x) = f(x) \circ B(x)$
  - Where  $f: \{0,1\}^k \rightarrow \{0,1\}^k$  is a one-way permutation, and  $B$  a hardcore predicate for  $f$   
**bijection**
  - Claim:  $G$  is a PRG
    - For a random  $x$ ,  $f(x)$  is also random (because permutation), and hence all of  $f(x)$  is next-bit unpredictable.
    - $B$  is a hardcore predicate, so  $B(x)$  remains unpredictable after seeing  $f(x)$

# Summary

- OWF: a very simple cryptographic primitive with several candidates
- Every OWF/OWP has a hardcore predicate associated with it (Goldreich-Levin)
- PRG from a OWP and a hardcore predicate for it
  - A PRG can be constructed from a OWF too, but more complicated. (And, some candidate OWFs are anyway permutations.)
- Last time: PRF from PRG
- PRG can be used as a stream-cipher (for one-time CPA secure SKE), and a PRF can be used as a block-cipher (for full-fledged CPA secure SKE)