Relations

Lecture 9



The complete relation $R = S \times S$ is reflexive, symmetric and transitive

Reflexive closure of R: Smallest relation $R' \supseteq R$ s.t. R' is reflexive Symmetric closure of R: Smallest relation $R' \supseteq R$ s.t. R' is symmetric Transitive closure of R: Smallest relation $R' \supseteq R$ s.t. R' is transitive Each of these is unique



Question



- A. \square is transitive
- B. \square is irreflexive
- C. \square is symmetric
- D. All of the above

All. Also, anti-symmetric.

E. Some of the above (but not all)



Question



- A. \square is transitive
- B. ∟ is reflexive
- C. \square is symmetric
- D. All of the above

Not transitive

E. Some of the above (but not all)

Equivalence Relation

A relation that is reflexive, symmetric and transitive
e.g. is a relative, has the same last digit, is congruent mod 7, ...
Claim: Let Eq(x) ≜ {y|x ~ y}. If Eq(x) ∩ Eq(y) ≠ Ø, then Eq(x) = Eq(y).
Let z∈Eq(x)∩Eq(y). ∀w∈Eq(x), x ~ w. Also, x ~ z ⇒ w ~ z. Also, y ~ z ⇒ y ~ w ⇒ w ∈ Eq(y). i.e., Eq(x) ⊆ Eq(y).

The Equivalence classes partition the domain





Question



Which one(s) represent(s) equivalence relation(s)



- A. R_1 and R_3
- B. R₁ only
- C. R_2 only
- D. R₃ only
- E. None of the above

Posets

<u>Strict partial order</u>: irreflexive, rather than reflexive

Partial order: a transitive, anti-symmetric and reflexive relation

Ø e.g. ≤ for integers, divides for integers, ⊆ for sets,
 "containment" for line-segments

Partial: Some pair may be "incomparable"

 \blacksquare Transitive and anti-symmetric \rightarrow "acyclic"

Partially ordered set (a.k.a Poset): a set and a partial order over it

 $S_{1}=\{0,1,2,3\}, S_{2}=\{1,2,3,4\}, \\S_{3}=\{1,2\}, S_{4}=\{3,4\}, \\S_{5}=\{2\}. \\Relation \subseteq$

Cyclic: Some node s.t. you can leave it through an edge (not self-loop), move through some edges, and return to the node

Check:

Anti-symmetric (no bidirectional edges),

- Transitive,
- Reflexive (all self-loops)

Posets

Do exist in <u>finite</u> posets (Prove by induction on |S|)

Maximal & minimal elements of a poset (S, \leq) $x \in S$ is minimal if $\exists y \in S - \{x\}$ s.t. $y \leq x$ it exists (e.g., (S, \subseteq) , where S is the set of all subsets of integers that have at least one odd number) Greatest element in T⊆S: x∈T s.t. $\forall y \in T$, y≤x Least element in $T \subseteq S$: $x \in T$ s.t. $\forall y \in T$, $x \leq y$ Seed not exist, even if T finite. Unique when it exists. ✓ Upper Bound for T ⊆ S: x s.t. $\forall y \in T, y \leq x$ Lower Bound for $T \subseteq S$: x s.t. $\forall y \in T, x \leq y$ Least Upper Bound for T: Least in {x u.b. for T} Greatest Lower Bound for T: Greatest in {x| x l.b. for T}

An Example

 $a \leq b$ iff alb 12 Ø Divisibility poset: (ℤ+ ,≤) 14 15 When is c a lower bound 5= 11 13 for $T=\{a,b\}$? $c \leq a$ and $c \leq b$. \varnothing c is a common divisor for $\{a,b\}$. gcd(a,b) = greatest lower bound for {a,b} in this

posey

Total/Linear Order

In some posets every two elements are "comparable": for {a,b}, either a⊑b or b⊑a

Can arrange all the elements in a line, with <u>all</u> <u>possible</u> right-pointing edges (plus, self-loops)



If finite, has <u>unique</u> maximal and <u>unique</u> minimal elements (left and right ends)

Order Extension

A poset P'=(S,≤) is an extension of a poset P=(S,≤) if
 ∀a,b∈S, a ≤ b → a ≤ b

Any finite poset can be extended to a total ordering (this is called <u>topological sorting</u>)

By induction on |S|

 Induction step: Remove a minimal element, extend to a total ordering, reintroduce the removed element as the minimum in the total ordering.

For infinite posets? The "Order Extension Principle" is typically taken as an axiom! (Unless an even stronger axiom called the "Axiom of Choice" is used)



Chains

That is, (C,≤) is a total order

 ✓ Every elemen a∈S belongs to some chain in which it is the maximum element (possibly just {a})



Height(a) = max length chain with a as the maximum

E.g., In "Divisibility poset," height(1)=1, height(p)=2 for all
 primes p. For m=p1^{d1}·…·pt^{dt} (pi primes) height(m) = 1+∑i di

Anti-Chains

 (A, \leq) is the equality relation

For every finite h, A_h is an anti-chain (possibly empty)



 Otherwise, ∃a≠b, a≤b with height(a) = height(b) = h. height(a) = h ⇒ ∃chain C s.t. a=max(C) and |C|=h
 How? > ⇒ b∉C and C'=C∪{b} is a chain with b=max(C') ⇒ height(b) ≥ h+1 !

Anti-Chains

A ⊆ S is called an anti-chain if
 ∀a,b∈A, a≠b → neither a≤b nor b≤a

 (A, \leq) is the equality relation

Let A_h = { a | height(a)=h }

For every finite h, A_h is an anti-chain (possibly empty)



In a finite poset, since every element has a finite height, every element appears in some A_h: i.e., A_hs partition S

Mirsky's Theorem: Least number of anti-chains needed to partition S is exactly the length of a longest chain

Height of the poset