Graphs Lecture 15

#### Matchings

A matching in a graph G=(V,E) is a set of edges which do not share any vertex

 $\emptyset$  i.e., a set  $M \subseteq E$  s.t.  $\forall e_1, e_2 \in M$ ,  $e_1 \neq e_2 \rightarrow e_1 \cap e_2 = \emptyset$ 

Trivial matchings: Ø is a valid matching. For any e∈E, {e} is a valid matching, too.

A perfect matching: All nodes are matched by M.

 $\oslash$  i.e.,  $\forall v \in V$ ,  $\exists e \in M s.t. v \in e$ 

May or may not exist

Algorithmic task: given a graph find a largest (maximum) matching
Efficient algorithms do exist (we will not cover them here)
Why do we care?

#### in action Graph Matching in Action

Matching in bipartite graphs

Graphs

- Assigning tasks: Workers and tasks are the nodes. A worker is connected to a task by an edge if the worker is qualified for the task. A worker can perform only one task, and each task needs only one worker.
  - Maximum matching: Getting most tasks assigned to workers
- Advertisements and slots (e.g., on webpages): each advertiser specifies which slots they prefer; the goal is to maximise the number of slots filled
- Additional issues: weights (maximum weight matching), costs (e.g., minimum cost perfect matching), "online matching"

Shrinking Neighbourhood in Bipartite Graphs • Given a graph G = (V,E), and  $v \in V$ , we define v's neighbourhood: More generally, neighbourhood of a set S  $\subseteq$  V: Recall: A bipartite graph, G=(X,Y,E) is a graph (XUY,E) where
  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$  and,  $\forall e \in E$ ,  $|e \cap X| = |e \cap Y| = 1$  Note that for S ⊆ X, we have  $\Gamma(S) \subseteq Y$  Ø For S ⊆ X, we shall say S is shrinking  $|\Gamma(S)| < |S|$  More generally, for B ⊆ Y, S shrinking in B if  $|\Gamma(S) \cap B| < |S|$  I.e., the set of neighbours of S in B is smaller than S

# Complete Matchings and Shrinking Neighbourhoods

Given bipartite G=(X,Y,E),
 a complete matching from X to Y is
 a matching M s.t. |M|=|X|

If |X|=|Y|, a complete matching from X to Y is also a complete matching from Y to X

And is a perfect matching

If there is a complete matching from X to Y, then  $\forall S \subseteq X$ , S is not shrinking in Y [Why?]

- Bipartite graph G=(X,Y,E) has a complete matching from X to Y iff no subset of X is shrinking
- We saw that Hall's condition is <u>necessary</u> for a complete matching from X to Y
- Proof of sufficiency by strong induction on |X|.

- Or Claim: No shrinking S⊆X ⇒ ∃ a complete matching from X into Y
- Proof by strong induction on |X|.
- Base case, |X|=1: ✓ (How?)
- Induction step: Suppose claim holds for graphs with  $|X| \leq k$ .
  - Given graph (X,Y,E) with |X|=k+1, s.t.  $\forall U \subseteq X$ ,  $|\Gamma(U)| \ge |U|$
  - Pick an arbitrary x∈X, and an arbitrary neighbour y of x (since {x} is not shrinking, x has a neighbour).
  - Case 1: There is a complete matching from X-{x} to Y-{y}.
     Then, X has a complete matching into Y

The Case 2: No complete matching from  $X = \{x\}$  to  $Y = \{y\}$ .

Case 2: No complete matching from X-{x} to Y-{y} By ind. hyp., ∃ S ⊆ X-{x} s.t. S is shrinking in Y-{y} • But S not shrinking in Y. So,  $y \in \Gamma(S)$  and  $|\Gamma(S)| = |S|$ • Claim:  $\exists$  a complete matching from S into  $\Gamma(S)$  $[\mathfrak{S}] \leq k$ , and no subset of S is shrinking. So by ind. hyp.  $\exists$  a complete matching of S into Y. This must be into  $\Gamma(S)$ I Claim: ∃ a complete matching from X-S into Y- $\Gamma$ (S) IX-S|≤k. Enough to show  $\forall T \subseteq X - S$ ,  $|\Gamma(T) \cap (Y - \Gamma(S))| \ge |T|$   $|\Gamma(\mathsf{U}) - \Gamma(\mathsf{S})| \geq |\Gamma(\mathsf{U})| - |\Gamma(\mathsf{S})| = |\Gamma(\mathsf{U})| - |\mathsf{S}|.$ But,  $|\Gamma(U)| \ge |U| = |T|+|S|$ . So,  $|\Gamma(T) \cap (Y-\Gamma(S))| \ge |T|$ Hence I a complete matching from X into Y

- Claim: No shrinking  $S \subseteq X \Rightarrow \exists$  a complete matching from X into Y
- Proof by strong induction on |X|.
- Base case, |X|=1: ✓ (How?)
- Induction step: Suppose claim holds for graphs with |X| ≤ k.
   Given graph (X,Y,E) with |X|=k+1, s.t. ∀U⊆X, |Γ(U)| ≥ |U|
  - Pick an arbitrary  $x \in X$ , and an arbitrary neighbour y of x (by Hall's condition,  $\{x\}$  is not shrinking, and so has a neighbour).
  - Case 1: There is a complete matching from X-{x} to Y-{y}.
     Then, X has a complete matching into Y

Case 2: No complete matching from X-{x} to Y-{y}. Then too X has a complete matching into Y.

#### Example Application

Claim: The edge set of any bipartite graph in which all the nodes have the same degree d can be partitioned into d matchings
Note that such a graph G=(X,Y,E) would have |X|=|Y|=|E|/d.
Proof by induction on d.

Ø For d=1, the graph is a matching. Suppose holds for d ≤ k.

Given a bipartite graph G=(X,Y,E) of degree d=k+1. Enough to find one complete matching M in G.

After removing it, will be left with a bipartite graph with degree k for all nodes, and then can use ind. hyp.

To find one matching, enough to show that no SGX is shrinking I = #edges incident on S  $\leq #edges$  incident on  $\Gamma(S) = d|\Gamma(S)|$  $\Rightarrow |\Gamma(S)| \geq |S| \checkmark$ 

#### Vertex Cover

A vertex cover of a graph G=(V,E) is a set C of vertices such that every edge is covered by (incident on) at least one vertex in C In i.e., C ⊆ V is a vertex cover if  $\forall$  e∈E, e∩C ≠ Ø Trivial vertex covers: V is a vertex cover. So is V-{v}, for any  $v \in V$ Algorithmic task: Find a small vertex cover of a given graph "Hard" (i.e., NP-hard) to find the size of smallest vertex cover Two useful results connecting the minimum vertex cover problem to the maximum matching problem (which is not a hard problem) In bipartite graphs, the size of a smallest vertex cover equals the size of a largest matching

In general graphs, they are within a factor of 2 of each otherNext time