



Euclid (300 BC)

Mathematical Induction

Examples

Strong Induction

Induction hypothesis: $\forall n \leq k P(n)$

To prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ P(n)$: we prove $P(1)$ (as before) and that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k)) \rightarrow P(k+1)$$

Mathematical Induction

The fact that for any n , we can run this procedure to generate a proof for $P(n)$, and hence for any n , $P(n)$ holds.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ P(n)$$

$P(1)$	\wedge	$P(1) \rightarrow P(2)$
$P(2)$	\wedge	$P(1) \wedge P(2) \rightarrow P(3)$
$P(3)$	\wedge	$P(1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(3) \rightarrow P(4)$
$P(4)$	\wedge	$P(1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(4) \rightarrow P(5)$
$P(5)$	\wedge	$P(1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(5) \rightarrow P(6)$
\vdots	\wedge	\vdots

Postage Stamps

- Claim: Every amount of postage that is at least ₹12 can be made from ₹4 and ₹5 stamps
 - i.e., $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad n \geq 12 \rightarrow \exists a, b \in \mathbb{N} \quad n = 4a + 5b$
- Base cases: $n=1, \dots, 11$ (vacuously true) and $n = 12 = 4 \cdot 3 + 5 \cdot 0$, $n = 13 = 4 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 1$, $n = 14 = 4 \cdot 1 + 5 \cdot 2$, $n = 15 = 4 \cdot 0 + 5 \cdot 3$.
- Induction step: For all integers $k \geq 16$:
 - Strong induction hypothesis: Claim holds for all n s.t. $1 \leq n < k$
 - To prove: Holds for $n=k$
 - $k \geq 16 \rightarrow k-4 \geq 12$.
 - So by induction hypothesis, $k-4=4a+5b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - So $k = 4(a+1) + 5b$.

Prime Factorization

• Every positive integer $n \geq 2$ has a prime factorization i.e., $n = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_t$ (for some $t \geq 1$) where all p_i are prime

• Base case: $n=2$. ($t=1, p_1=2$).

• Induction step:

(Strong) induction hypothesis: for all $n \leq k$, $\exists p_1, \dots, p_t$, s.t. $n = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_t$

To prove: $\exists q_1, \dots, q_u$ (also primes) s.t. $k+1 = q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_u$

• Case $k+1$ is prime: then $k+1 = q_1$ for prime q_1

• Case $k+1$ is not prime: $\exists a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ s.t. $2 \leq a \leq k$ and $a | k+1$ (def. prime).

• i.e., $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ s.t. $2 \leq a, b \leq k$ and $k+1 = a \cdot b$ (def. divides; $a \geq 2 \rightarrow a \cdot b > b$)

• Now, by (strong) induction hypothesis, both a & b have prime factorizations: $a = p_1 \dots p_s, b = r_1 \dots r_t$.

• Then $k+1 = q_1 \dots q_u$, where $u = s + t, q_i = p_i$ for $i = 1$ to s and $q_i = r_{i-s}$, for $i = s+1$ to $s+t$.

Need some more work to show unique factorization.

$\underline{p \text{ prime}} \wedge \underline{p | ab}$
 $\rightarrow \underline{p | a} \vee \underline{p | b}$

Be careful about ranges!

- Claim: Every non-empty set of integers has either all elements even or all elements odd. (Of course, false!)
- “Proof” (bogus): By induction on the size of the set.
- Base case: $|S|=1$. The only element in S is either even or odd ✓
- Induction step: For all $k > 1$,
Induction hypothesis: suppose all non-empty S with $|S| = k$, has either all elements even or all elements odd.
To prove: then, it holds for all S with $|S|=k+1$.
- Let $S = \{a,b\} \cup S'$, where $|S'|=k-1$. (Note: S' is not empty)
- By IH, $S' \cup \{a\}$ has all even or all odd. Say, all even. Then S' is all even. Now, $S' \cup \{b\}$ is also all even or all odd. Since S' not empty, it is all even. Thus $S = S' \cup \{a,b\}$ is all even. QED.

Bug: Induction hypothesis cannot be bootstrapped from the base case

Be careful about ranges!

- Claim: Every non-empty set of integers has either all elements even or all elements odd. (Of course, false!)

- "Proof" (bogus): By induction on the size of the set.

- We proved $P(1)$ and $\forall k > 1 \ P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$

$P(1)$	
	$P(2) \rightarrow P(3)$
	$P(3) \rightarrow P(4)$
	$P(4) \rightarrow P(5)$
	$P(5) \rightarrow P(6)$
	\vdots

Nim



- Alice and Bob take turns removing matchsticks from two piles
- Initially both piles have equal number of matchsticks
- At every turn, a player must choose one pile and remove one or more matchsticks from that pile
- Goal: be the person to remove the last matchstick
- Claim: In Nim, the second player has a winning strategy
 - (Aside: in every finitely-terminating two player game without draws, one of the players has a winning strategy)
- Claim: The following is a winning strategy for the second player: keep the piles matched at the end of your turn

Nim



- Claim: The following is a winning strategy for the second player: keep the piles matched at the end of your turn
- **Induction variable:** n = number of matchsticks on each pile at the beginning of the game.
- **Base case:** $n=1$. Alice must remove one. Next, Bob wins. ✓ strong
- **Induction step:** for all integers $k \geq 1$
 - Induction hypothesis: when starting with $n \leq k$, Bob always wins
 - To prove: when starting with $n=k+1$, Bob always wins
 - Case 1: Alice removes all $k+1$ from one pile. Next, Bob wins.
 - Case 2: Alice removes j , $1 \leq j \leq k$ from one pile. After Bob's move $k+1-j$ left in each pile. By induction hypothesis, Bob will win from here.