EmoInHindi: A Multi-label Emotion and Intensity Annotated Dataset in Hindi for Emotion Recognition in Dialogues

Gopendra Vikram Singh*, Priyanshu Priya*, Mauajama Firdaus*, Asif Ekbal, Pushpak Bhattacharyya

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Patna, Patna, India

 $\label{eq:gopendra_1921cs15} gopendra_1921cs15, priyanshu_2021cs26, mauajama.pcs16, asif, pb \\ @iitp.ac.in \\$

Abstract

The long-standing goal of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has been to create human-like conversational systems. Such systems should have the ability to develop an emotional connection with the users, hence emotion recognition in dialogues is an important task. Emotion detection in dialogues is a challenging task because humans usually convey multiple emotions with varying degrees of intensities in a single utterance. Moreover, emotion in an utterance of a dialogue may be dependent on previous utterances making the task more complex. Emotion and intensity detection in conversations are in English. To this end, we create a large conversational dataset in Hindi named EmoInHindi for multi-label emotion and intensity recognition in conversations containing 1,814 dialogues with a total of 44,247 utterances. We prepare our dataset in a Wizard-of-Oz manner for mental health and legal counselling of crime victims. Each utterance of the dialogue is annotated with one or more emotion categories from the 16 emotion classes including neutral, and their corresponding intensity values. We further propose strong contextual baselines that can detect emotion(s) and the corresponding intensity of an utterance given the conversational context.

Keywords: Multi-label Emotion and Intensity Recognition, Dialogues, Low-resource Language

1. Introduction

Emotions are fundamental human characteristics that have been researched for many years by researchers in psychology, sociology, medicine, computer science, and other domains. Ekman's sixclass categorization (Ekman, 1992) and Plutchik's Wheel of Emotion which proposed eight basic bipolar emotions (Plutchik and Kellerman, 2013), are two notable works in understanding and categorising human emotions. Emotions play an important role in our daily life and emotion detection in text has become a longstanding goal in Natural Language Processing (NLP). Emotions are inherently conveyed by messages in human communications. With the popularity of social media platforms like Facebook Messenger, WhatsApp and conversational agents like Amazon's Alexa, there is a growing demand for machines to interpret human emotions in real conversations for more personalized and human-like interactions.

The ability to effectively identify emotions in conversations is crucial for developing robust dialogue systems. There are two major types of dialogue systems: a task-oriented dialogue systems and a social (chit-chat) dialogue system. The former is concerned with creating a personal assistant capable of performing specific tasks, but the latter is concerned with capturing the conversation flow, which focuses more on the speaker's feelings. In both these systems, understanding the user's emo-

tions is crucial for providing better user experience and maximizing the user satisfaction. Nowadays, many websites, blogs, tweets, conversational agents support Hindi language and some of them use Hindi as a primary language as well. However, most studies of emotion in conversations have focused on English language interactions (Chen et al., 2018; Yeh et al., 2019; Hazarika et al., 2018; Ghosal et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2018; He and Xia, 2018; Yu et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2019); comparatively very little attention is given to emotion detection in regional languages like Hindi. Towards this end, we propose a novel conversational dataset *EmoInHindi* for identifying emotions (e.g., joy, sad, angry, disgusted etc.) in textual conversations in Hindi language, where the emotion of an utterance is detected in the conversational context.

1.1. Problem Definition

Given a textual utterance of a dialogue along with the conversation history (previous few utterances in dialogue), the task is to identify the emotion category(s) of each utterance from a set of pre-defined emotion categories and their corresponding intensity values. Formally, given the input utterance U_t consisting of sequence of words $U_t = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_T\}$ and the conversation history C consisting of sequence of utterances C = $\{U_1, U_2, ..., U_{t-1}\}$, the task is to predict one or more emotion label, $e = \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_L\}$ from N pre-defined set of emotions and correspond-

^{*} The authors are jointly the first authors

ing intensity value $i = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_L\}$, where $i_k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ of the utterance U_t . Fig. 1 depicts a sample dialogue from our dataset, where each utterance is labeled with one or more underlying emotions and corresponding intensity value.

1.2. Contribution

The key contributions of our work are *two-fold*:

- We propose *EmoInHindi*¹, the currently largest Hindi conversational dataset labeled with multiple emotions and their corresponding intensity values.
- We setup strong baselines for utterance-level multiple emotion and intensity detection task and report their results for identifying emotion(s) and the corresponding intensity expressed in an utterance of a dialogue written in Hindi.

2. Related Work

With the development in Artificial Intelligence (AI), emotion classification has become a significant task because of its importance in many downstream tasks like response generation for conversational agents, customer behavior modeling, multimodal interactions and many more. Recently, Kim et al. (2018; He and Xia (2018; Yu et al. (2018; Huang et al. (2019) investigated multi-label emotion classification for textual data. Kim et al. (2018) performed multi-label emotion classification on twitter data using multiple Convolution Neural Network (CNN) networks along with self-attention and Huang et al. (2019) employed sequence-to-sequence framework for multi-label emotion classification. Yu et al. (2018) improved the performance of multi-label emotion classification on twitter data by using transfer learning. Our present study differs from the previous multi-label emotion classification research in that we categorise emotions of utterances of conversations, which require contextual knowledge from previous utterances, making the task more difficult and intriguing.

Recently, emotion recognition in conversations (Chen et al., 2018; Yeh et al., 2019; Hazarika et al., 2018; Ghosal et al., 2019; Firdaus et al., 2020) has been in demand. Li et al. (2017) developed a high-quality multi-turn dialogue dataset, DailyDialog labelled with emotion information. Chen et al. (2018) proposed a corpus named EmotionLines for detecting emotions in dialogues gathered from Friends TV scripts and private Facebook messenger dialogues. EmoContext (Chatterjee et al., 2019) is the another publicly available conversational dataset for emotion detection. All these datasets mostly focus on chit-chat dialogues. Lately, Feng et al. (2021) introduced EmoWOZ, a large-scale manually emotion-annotated corpus of task-oriented dialogues.

Most of the existing methods and resources developed for emotion analysis are available in English (Yadollahi et al., 2017). Lately, there has been work on developing resources for detecting emotions from Hindi text. For instance, Vijay et al. (2018) created a corpus, consisting of sentences from Hindi-English code switched language used in social media for predicting emotions. Likewise, Koolagudi et al. (2011) proposed a Hindi corpus consisting of sentences taken from auditory speech signals for emotion analysis task. Another Hindi dataset consisting of sentences from news documents of disaster domain for emotion detection was proposed by Ahmad et al. (2020). Kumar et al. (2019) presented a largest annotated corpus in Hindi comprising of sentences taken from various short stories in which each sentence is annotated with relevant emotion categories given the context of a sentence. However, all of these works are focused on non-conversational settings. The long-term goal of our present work is to build a dialogue system capable of having a conversation with the user in Hindi. Such a system should not only be able to respond in Hindi according to the user's intent, but its utterances should also be aligned with the user's emotional state. As opposed to existing works on emotion detection from textual data in Hindi language, our present work provides a multi-label emotion and intensity annotated conversational dataset in Hindi.

3. Dataset

In this section, we describe the complete details of our EmoInHindi dataset.

3.1. Dataset Preparation

The dataset that we prepared for our experiment comprises of dialogues focused on mental health counselling and legal assistance for women and children who have been victims of various types of crimes ranging from domestic violence, workplace harassment, matrimonial fraud, to cybercrimes like cyber stalking, online harassment, masquerading and trolling. We construct the dataset in Hindi in Wizard-of-Oz (Kelley, 1984) style. Every dialogue in the dataset starts with a basic description of the victim, after which the victim is asked about the problem and accordingly provided with the required assistance. The crime victims need emotional comfort and support for expressing their feelings freely, hence the dialogue systems should interact with the users empathetically. Such conversational agents that comprehend human emotions assist in enhancing the user's communication

¹https://www.ac.in/ ai-nlp-ml/resources



Figure 1: Sample dialogue from our dataset with emotion and corresponding intensity annotation

with the system, thereby strengthening the communication in a positive direction (Martinovsky and Traum, 2006; Prendinger and Ishizuka, 2005). We have annotated every utterance in a dialogue with multiple appropriate emotion categories and their corresponding intensity.

3.2. Guidelines for Dataset Preparation

We contacted an expert in mental health counselling from our institute health department to understand the flow of dialogues in victims' situations to create the conversations. At first, we tried to find out the problems of the victims and assessed their psychological needs. While counselling the victims, we make sure to be patient and kind towards them. The victims were provided a non-judgemental environment to share as much information they are comfortable to and if the victims decide to report the assault, seek medical attention, or contact organizations that can help them, then we assist them accordingly by providing the relevant legal, medical and organization information. Eventually, this was created to help the victims identify ways in which the victims can re-establish their sense of physical and emotional safety and provided a few basic safety suggestions to them so that they are aware of the crimes and can prevent such events in the future.

3.3. Annotation

The utterances in every dialogue of our proposed Hindi dataset is annotated with one or more appropriate emotion categories and their corresponding intensity. For annotating the dataset, we consider 15 emotions, namely Anticipation, Confident, Hopeful, Anger, Sad, Joy, Compassion, Fear, Disgusted, Annoyed, Grateful, Impressed, Apprehensive, Surprised, Guilty as emotion labels for the utterances in a dialogue. The emotion annotation list has been extended to incorporate one more label, namely *Neutral*. The "*Neutral*" label is designated to utterances having no-emotion. While annotating the dataset, every utterance in a given dialogue is labeled with one or more emotions. Every emotion label is accompanied with an intensity value ranging from 1-3, with 1 indicating the lower intensity and 3 the highest. The *Neutral* label has intensity value of 0.

For annotating the utterances in our dataset, we employ three annotators highly proficient in Hindi and have prior experience in labelling emotions in conversational settings. The guidelines for annotation along with some examples were explained to the annotators before starting the annotation process. The annotators were asked to label each utterance of every dialogue with emotion(s) and corresponding intensity value. We achieve the overall Fleiss' (Fleiss, 1971) kappa score of 0.84 for the emotions, 0.88 for intensity, which can be considered reliable. To determine the final label of the utterances, we use majority vote.



Figure 2: Distribution of emotions in EmoInHindi dataset

3.4. Challenges

Generic Challenges: Counselling the victim and providing relevant assistance to them is a challenging task. If the intelligent agent does not seem sup-

| Speaker | Utterances | Emotion & corresponding intensity | | | | | |
|---------|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Dialogue 1 | | | | | | |
| Victim | मैं तगा गया हूँ। | sad (1) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Agent | પુંચ વેદુ પુનવર દુલ દુઆ નર લોપ્સ વાય આ બા છુંથતાં સવત દે (બ આપવ) (બસના થોલા (વધા દ / 1) am source to hear this my friend Could you please let me know who has cheated you?) | sad (1), anticipation (1) | | | | | |
| Victim | मुझे मेरे साधी ने सिर्फ संपत्ति के लिए घोखा दिया है। | sad (1) | | | | | |
| 1000000 | (I am cheated by my partner just for the sake of property.) | | | | | | |
| | मैं समझता हूं कि स्थिति आपके लिए अच्छी नहीं है। यह बहुत अच्छा होगा यदि आप इस पर कुछ और जानकारी साझा कर सकें ताकि हम आपकी बेहतर सहायता कर सकें। | | | | | | |
| Agent | (I understand the situation is not good for you. It would be great if you could share few more information on this so that | compassion (1), anticipation (2) | | | | | |
| | we could better assist you.) | | | | | | |
| | Dialogue 2 | | | | | | |
| Victim | में लगा गये हो । | sad (3) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Agent | 45 grav divers i inviti gg, rt (1)41 ad all 42 elisi oveni alge i ob allega i ob allega i allega 57 (This is really disappointing to bear my dear Would you mind sharing who has cheated you?) | sad (2), anticipation (1) | | | | | |
| | | . (-) | | | | | |
| Victim | (My husband cheated on me for another women. He is such a creep.) | sad (3), anger (3) | | | | | |
| | हम आपका दर्द समझते हैं। कृपया शात हो जाएं; हम आपके साथ हैं और आपकी हर संभव मदद करने की पूरी कोशिश करेंगे। यदि आप सहज हैं, तो बेहतर सहायता के लिए हम आपसे कुछ और विवरण पूछना चाहेंगे। | compassion (2), compassion (3), | | | | | |
| Agent | (We understand your pain. Please calm down; we are with you and will do our best to help you in every possible way. If you are comfortable, we would like to ask you few more details for better assistance.) | anticipation (2) | | | | | |

Table 1: Few utterances of dialogues with customized empathetic responses

portive and understanding, the victim feels even more frightened and alone. Consequently, we came across various challenges while creating our conversational dataset which are as follows:

- Counselling the victims according to their needs and mental state was a difficult assignment because of distinct mental state and need of every single individual.
- Difficult to recognize and customize empa-• thetic messages for different individuals as each individual has different emotional state. Depending upon the situation, one individual may show few emotions with lower intensity while the other may express his/her emotions intensely. Hence, replying empathetically according to the user's state is crucial for creating an amicable environment for them. For example, consider the following few utterances of two different dialogues from our dataset with emotion(s) and corresponding intensity shown in parentheses in Table 1 which shows different empathetic responses of the agent depending upon situation and emotional state of different users.
- Providing relevant and appropriate legal information to the victims.
- Providing step-by-step guidelines to victims for reporting the assault to the law enforcement agencies.
- Helping the victim in re-establishing their sense of physical and emotional safety by being empathetic towards them.

Annotation Challenges: The system needs to capture the correct emotion and accordingly handle the user by replying empathetically. Annotating the data with appropriate emotion and corresponding intensity is sometimes challenging. Apart from generic challenges mentioned in the previous section, we came across a few challenges while annotating the emotions which are as follows:

• Identification of implicit emotions: It

| Metrics | Dataset |
|-------------------------------------|---------|
| # Dialogues | 1814 |
| # Utterances | 44247 |
| Avg. utterances per dialogue | 24.39 |
| Avg. $\#$ of emotions per dialogue | 1.41 |
| Avg. $\#$ of emotions per utterance | 1.43 |
| # of unique tokens | 7036 |
| Avg. $\#$ of tokens per utterance | 18.67 |

Table 2: Dataset statistics

| Emotions | Dataset |
|--------------|---------|
| Anticipation | 7654 |
| Anger | 5582 |
| Sad | 5118 |
| Confident | 4477 |
| Fear | 4368 |
| Disguted | 4060 |
| Surprised | 3778 |
| Hopeful | 3729 |
| Annoyed | 3660 |
| Compassion | 3218 |
| Joy | 3130 |
| Apprehensive | 2637 |
| Grateful | 1406 |
| Guilty | 1269 |
| Impressed | 595 |
| Neutral | 9003 |

 Table 3: Emotion Distribution

is not always the case that emotions are communicated explicitly. We asked our annotators to identify both explicit as well as implicit emotions in the utterances. An example of explicitly expressed emotion would be *Example 1* in which the speaker is clearly expressing that she is sad through the words $\neg \overline{\Phi}$ (hell), \overline{g} (bad) and $\Im \overline{\Phi}$ (tired) because her husband tortures her.

Example 1: मेरी जिंदगी नर्क हो गई है, मुझे

| Dataset | # of sentences | # of emotions | Emotion Intensity Annotation | Language | Conversational | Multi-label |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| (Vijay et al., 2018) | 2866 | 6 | No | Hindi-English code-mixed | No | No |
| (Koolagudi et al., 2011) | 12000 | 8 | No | Hindi | No | No |
| (Harikrishna and Rao, 2016) | 780 | 5 | No | Hindi | No | No |
| (Kumar et al., 2019) | 20304 | 5 | No | Hindi | No | No |
| (Ahmad et al., 2020) | 2668 | 9 | No | Hindi | No | No |
| EmoInHindi | 44247 | 16 | Yes | Hindi | Yes | Yes |

Table 4: Comparison of different datasets and our proposed EmoInHindi dataset

आजकल बहुत बुरा लग रहा है। मैं अपने पति और उसकी यातनाओं से थक चुकी हूं।

(My life has become hell, I feel too bad nowadays. I am tired of my husband and his tortures.)

Identification of implicit emotions are sometimes confusing for the annotators due to lack of explicit emotion pointer. For instance, in *Example 2* in which a user is saying that her friend started laughing (in Utterance 3). In the absence of contextual information, this will be perceived as *Joy*. However, by looking at the context of the utterance, this will be annotated with *Surprised,Sad* as emotion labels.

Example 2:

Utterance 1: मेरे दोस्त को मदद की ज़रूरत है क्योंकि जब उसे पता चला कि उसके पति ने उसे धोखा दिया है तो वह सदमे में है।

(My friend needs help because she is in trauma after she came to know that her husband cheated on her.)

Utterance 2: ओह! आपके दोस्त के बारे में सुनकर वाकई दुख हुआ। हमें उसकी मदद करने में हमेशा खुशी होगी। क्या मैं जान सकता हूँ कि वह अब कैसी है? (Oh! That's really sad to hear about your friend. We would always be happy to help her. May I know how is she doing now?) Utterance 3: यह सुनते ही वह हंसने लगी। (She started laughing when she heard this.)

• Identification of emotions for sarcastic utterances: Annotating the sarcastic utterances is one of the commonly faced challenges while annotating the utterances of our dataset. Sarcasm is prevalent in most of the previous works in sentiment and emotion analysis. Sarcasm is a sort of verbal irony; simply put, it is something uttered that should be perceived as having the opposite meaning as its literal meaning (Gibbs Jr et al., 2007). For instance, in *Example 3*, the emotion closest to the speaker's mood is that of *Anger*, which might easily be misinterpreted as *Joy*. Hence, while annotating the sarcastic utterances, the annotators were instructed to keep in mind the contextual knowledge given by the previous utterances of the dialogue.

Example 3: हा हा हा! अब तुम बताओ मैं क्या करूँ?

(Ha ha ha! Now you would tell what should I do?)

3.5. Dataset Statistics

In Table 2, we provide the important statistics of the dataset followed by the overall emotion distribution of our dataset in Table 3.

3.6. Comparison with the related datasets

The available datasets for emotion detection are mostly in English. Towards the task of emotion detection from Hindi text, previous attempts have been made in creating corpus containing 2,866 sentences for predicting emotions from Hindi-English code switched language used in social media (Vijay et al., 2018). A Hindi dataset, IITKGP-SEHSC consisting of 12,000 sentences collected from auditory speech signals was proposed by (Koolagudi et al., 2011). Another dataset with 780 Hindi sentences collected from children stories belonging to three genres, namely fable, folk-tale and legend and annotated with five different emotion categories: happy, sad, anger, fear and neutral was introduced in (Harikrishna and Rao, 2016). Lately, the authors in (Kumar et al., 2019) introduced the first largest annotated Hindi corpus named BHAAV for emotion detection consisting of 20,304 sentences from 230 popular Hindi short stories spanning across frequently used 18 genres, viz. historical, mystery, patriotic to name a few. The authors in (Ahmad et al., 2020) proposed Hindi corpus, Emo-Dis-HI consisting of 2,668 sentences from news documents of disaster domain, where each sentence is labeled with one of the emotion categories viz., sadness, sympathy/pensiveness, optimism, fear/anxiety, joy, disgust, anger, surprise and no-emotion. When it comes to the task of



Figure 3: Architectural diagram of the C-F-Trans framework

analyzing emotions from Hindi text in conversational setting, there are no conversational dataset available in Hindi. Our proposed dataset is different from the existing datasets for emotion detection from Hindi. The dataset that we present here is the first large-scale goal-oriented conversational dataset comprising of 1814 dialogues with each utterance in dialogues annotated for multilabel emotion and corresponding intensity value. Comparisons between the existing datasets and our proposed dataset, EmoInHindi are given in Table 4.

| Parameters | CMMEESD | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Transformer Encoder Layer | 2 | | |
| Embeddings | 300 | | |
| FC Layer Dropout=0.3 | | | |
| Activations | ReLu as activation for our model | | |
| Output | $Softmax(Emotion, Emotion_Intensity)$ | | |
| Optimizer | Adam (lr=0.003) | | |
| Model Loss MultiLabelSoftMarginLoss(Emotion) & negative log-likelihood (Emot | | | |
| Batch | 32 | | |
| Epochs | 30 | | |

Table 5: Hyper-parameters for our experiments where.

4. Baselines

We use the following baseline models:

Baseline #1: bcLSTM: The bidirectional contextual LSTM bcLstm(Poria et al., 2017) is a bidirectional contextual LSTM. Two uni-directional LSTMs with opposite directions are stacked to create bi-directional LSTMs. As a result, an utterance may learn from utterances in the video that occur before and after it, which is, of course, context.

Baseline #2: bcLSTM+Attention:

At each timestamp, an attention module is added to the output of c-LSTM in this bcLSTM with attention(Poria et al., 2017) model.

Baseline #3: Conversational Memory Network (CMN): Using two different GRUs for two speakers, CMN (Hazarika et al., 2018) models utterance context from dialogue history. Finally, utterance representation is obtained by querying two separate memory networks for both speakers with the current utterance. However, this model can only model two-person conversations.

4.1. Proposed: C-Attention-Trans and C-Fourier-Trans

We use the transformer encoder suggested by (Vaswani et al., 2017) for *Context-Attention-Transformer*. A *C-A-Transformer* is proposed to capture the deep contextual relationship with input utterance. We use a Transformer-based method to capture the flow of informative triggers across utterances. The Context-Attention-Transformer receives the input embedding and captures the input utterance's deep contextual relationship.

We employ the Fourier transform instead of self attention, as suggested by F-Net (Lee-Thorp et al., 2021) for *Context-Fourier-Transformer*. 1D Fourier Transforms are used to transform both the sequences and hidden dimensions. Instead *self-attention*, this trick proved to be effective.

Working method of the model

Suppose text features have dimension d, then each utterance is represented by $u_{i,x} \in \mathcal{R}^d$ where x represents x^{th} utterance of the conversation i. To get U_i , we collect a number of utterance in a conversation $U_i = [x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, ..., x_{i,c_i}] \in \mathcal{R}^{c_i,d}$, where c_i represents the number of utterances we consider as a context in a conversation. This U_i is given to both C-A-Trans and C-F-Trans for the output. We show our model in Fig 3.

The output of the C-A-Transformer and C-F-Transformer is fed to the FC Layer, which then passes it on to the Softmax Layer for emotion and intensity prediction.

Loss function: The emotion intensity classifier is trained by minimizing the negative log-likelihood

$$\mathcal{L}_{Emo} = -\sum_{em=1}^{N} y_{em} \log \tilde{y_{em}}$$
(1)

For multilabel emotion, we use MultiLabelSoft-MarginLoss, where y_{em} is the true emotion labels and y_{em}^{\sim} is the predicted emotion label. For Emotion Intensity, we use MSE (Mean Squared Error) as the loss function.

| METUODS | TASK-TYPE | | ACC | MICDO E1 | шт | 11 |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|------|----------|-------|------|
| METHODS | Emotion | Intensity | ACC | MICRO-FI | | 51 |
| bc-LSTM | | - | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.081 | 0.57 |
| 00-1151111 | | \checkmark | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.077 | 0.59 |
| be-ISTM_ATT | \checkmark | - | 0.61 | 0.63 | 0.079 | 0.57 |
| 0C-D51M7A11 | | \checkmark | 0.64 | 0.67 | 0.075 | 0.60 |
| CMN | \checkmark | - | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.076 | 0.59 |
| | | \checkmark | 0.64 | 0.68 | 0.073 | 0.61 |
| C-A-Trane | \checkmark | - | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.066 | 0.64 |
| U-A-IIuns | | \checkmark | 0.69 | 0.73 | 0.059 | 0.66 |
| C-F-Trane | | - | 0.70 | 0.76 | 0.057 | 0.68 |
| 0-1-114113 | $$ | | 0.72 | 0.77 | 0.055 | 0.69 |

Table 6: Results for our proposed framework for Multi-label Emotion Classification

| Correctly Predicted | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|
| Hindi-Utterance | English-Utterance | Correct-label | Predicted-Label | Predicted Intensity | |
| रक्षक मेरा मकान मालिक मुझे परेशान करने की कोशिश कर रहा है। कृप्या मेरी सहायता करे। | Rakshak my landlord is try to harass me. Please help me. | Sad, Annoyed | Sad, Anger | 3, 1 | |
| घिनौना ! कोई किसी लड़की के साथ ऐसा कैसे कर सकता है ? | Disgusting! How could anyone do this to any girl? | Disgust, Anger | Disgust, Anger | 3, 2 | |
| तुम मेरा समय क्यों बर्बाद कर रहे हो? अगर आप मेरी मदद नहीं कर सकते तो चले जाओ। | Why are you wasting my time? If you can't help me go away. | Anger, Annoyed | Anger, Annoyed | 2, 1 | |
| तुम मेरा समय क्यों बर्बाद कर रहे हो? अगर आप मेरी मदद नहीं कर सकते तो चले जाओ। | Why are you wasting my time? If you can't help me go away. | Anger, Annoyed | Anger, Annoyed | 2, 1 | |

Table 7: Error analysis: Some correct and incorrectly predicted samples

Our loss function's primary goal is to instruct the model on how to weigh the task-specific losses. For this, we use a principled approach to multitask deep learning that takes into account the homoscedastic uncertainty (task dependent or homoscedastic uncertainty is aleatoric uncertainty that is not depending on the input data). Homoscedastic is a number that remains constant throughout all input data and changes between jobs. Task-dependent uncertainty is the effect of this, while weighing multiple loss functions (Kendall et al., 2018) of each task.

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i} \mathcal{W}_{i} \mathcal{L}_{i} \tag{2}$$

Where i defines different tasks (emotion classification and intensity).

5. Results and Analysis

5.1. Feature Extraction and Data Distribution for Experiment

For textual features, we take the pre-trained 300dimensional Hindi *fastText* embedding (Joulin et al., 2016). We obtain the training and testing set using 80:20 split of the dataset. Further, the 20% of the training set is used as validation set during training to keep track of model training progress. Empirically, we take five² utterances as context for a particular utterance.

5.2. Experimental Setup

We implement our proposed model in PyTorch, a Python-based deep learning library. We perform *grid search* to find the optimal hyper-parameters in Table 5. We use *Adam* as an optimizer. We use *Softmax* as a classifier for emotion. We use *Transformer Encoder* with two layers. The embedding size is set to 300, and the learning rate is set to 0.003. We use negative log-likelihood loss for emotion prediction. Our model converges with 30 epochs and we use 32 batch size.

| Methods | Accuracy | Precision | Recall | F1 |
|----------------------------------|----------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| bc-LSTM (Poria et al., 2017) | 60.95 | 59.13 | 59.72 | 59.42 |
| bc-LSTM+Attn(Poria et al., 2017) | 61.91 | 60.54 | 61.82 | 61.18 |
| CMN (Hazarika et al., 2018) | 63.51 | 63.13 | 63.68 | 63.37 |
| C-Attention-Trans (Ours) | 65.62 | 63.76 | 64.82 | 64.26 |
| C-Fourier-TRans (Ours) | 67.14 | 65.98 | 66.52 | 66.24 |

Table 8: Result Analysis For Single label Emotionwith Intensity

5.3. Results

C-F-Trans, achieves the best precision of 65.98% $(2.22 \text{ points} \uparrow \text{ in comparison of C-A-Trans and } 2.85)$ points \uparrow) in comparison of CMN, 5.44 points \uparrow in comparison of bc-lstm+att, 6.26 points \uparrow in comparison of bc-lstm, recall of 66.52% (1.70 points \uparrow in comparison of C-A-Trans and 2.84 points \uparrow) in comparison of CMN, 4.7 points \uparrow in comparison of bc-lstm+att, 6.8 points \uparrow in comparison of bc-lstm,) and F1-score of 66.24% (1.98 points \uparrow in comparison of C-A-Trans and 2.87 points \uparrow) in comparison of CMN, 5.06 points \uparrow in comparison of bc-lstm+att, 6.82 points \uparrow in comparison of bc-lstm,), Accuracy of 67.14% (1.52 points \uparrow in comparison of C-A-Trans and 3.63 points \uparrow) in comparison of CMN, 5.23 points \uparrow in comparison of bc-lstm+att, 6.19 points \uparrow in comparison of bclstm,). We observe that C-F-Trans performs better than the C-A-Trans. We show the results in Table 8 for single label emotion and intensity.

For Multi-label emotion C-F-Trans, achieves the best HL of 0.055% (0.04 points \downarrow in comparison of

²Baseline models give the best result at five.

C-A-Trans, 0.018 points \downarrow , and 0.020 points \downarrow , in comparison of bc-LSTM+ATT) and C-F-Trans, achieves the best JI of 0.055% (0.03 points \uparrow in comparison of C-A-Trans, 0.08 points \uparrow , and 0.09 points \uparrow , in comparison of bc-LSTM+ATT). We show the results in Table 6

5.4. Error Analysis

We show a few samples³ (c.f. Table 7) which are correctly predicted by our proposed model (C-F-Trans). For example, as shown in Table 7, रक्षक मेरा मकान मालिक मुझे परेशान करने की कोशिश कर रहा है। कृप्या मेरी सहायता करे । (Rakshak my landlord is try to harass me. Please help me.) have label Sad, Annoyed and intensity 3,1 correctly predicted by our model (C-F-Trans). But our model also confused in some situations as for example, मैं आवेदन में क्या लिख स-कती हूँ? मुझे प्रिय मत कहो। (What can I write in the application? Don't call me dear) have label Anticipation, Annoyed but our model predicted Joy due to word प्रिय(dear) which is maximum used with emotion Joy. So our model predicted Joy emotion and *Grateful* as it is come with *Joy* most of the time.

6. Conclusion and Future Direction

In this paper, we have introduced a large-scale Hindi conversational dataset, EmoInHindi prepared in Wizard-of-Oz fashion for multi-label emotion classification and intensity prediction in dialogues. We have evaluated our proposed EmoIn-*Hindi* dataset and reported the results using strong baselines for both tasks of emotion recognition and intensity prediction. We believe that this dataset can be employed in the future for for making emotion-aware conversational agents capable of conversing with the users in Hindi. Furthermore, we would like to extend this work for more low-resource languages like Bengali, Marathi etc. so that it can be used to create emotionally-aware conversational systems that can interact with the users in their regional language thereby creating a more user-friendly environment for them.

7. Acknowledgement

Priyanshu Priya acknowledges the Innovation in Science Pursuit for Inspired Research (INSPIRE) Fellowship implemented by the Department of Science and Technology, Ministry of Science and Technology, Government of India for financial support. Asif Ekbal acknowledges the Young Faculty Research Fellowship (YFRF), supported by Visvesvaraya PhD scheme for Electronics and IT, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), Government of India, being implemented by Digital India Corporation (formerly Media Lab Asia).

8. Bibliographical References

- Ahmad, Z., Jindal, R., Ekbal, A., and Bhattachharyya, P. (2020). Borrow from rich cousin: transfer learning for emotion detection using cross lingual embedding. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 139:112851.
- Chatterjee, A., Narahari, K. N., Joshi, M., and Agrawal, P. (2019). Semeval-2019 task 3: Emocontext contextual emotion detection in text. In *Proceedings of the 13th international workshop* on semantic evaluation, pages 39–48.
- Chen, S.-Y., Hsu, C.-C., Kuo, C.-C., Ku, L.-W., et al. (2018). Emotionlines: An emotion corpus of multi-party conversations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.08379*.
- Ekman, P. (1992). An argument for basic emotions. Cognition & emotion, 6(3-4):169–200.
- Feng, S., Lubis, N., Geishauser, C., Lin, H.c., Heck, M., van Niekerk, C., and Gašić, M. (2021). Emowoz: A large-scale corpus and labelling scheme for emotion in task-oriented dialogue systems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.04919.
- Firdaus, M., Chauhan, H., Ekbal, A., and Bhattacharyya, P. (2020). Meisd: a multimodal multi-label emotion, intensity and sentiment dialogue dataset for emotion recognition and sentiment analysis in conversations. In *Proceedings* of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, pages 4441–4453.
- Fleiss, J. L. (1971). Measuring nominal scale agreement among many raters. *Psychological* bulletin, 76(5):378.
- Ghosal, D., Majumder, N., Poria, S., Chhaya, N., and Gelbukh, A. (2019). Dialoguegcn: A graph convolutional neural network for emotion recognition in conversation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.11540*.
- Gibbs Jr, R. W., Gibbs, R. W., and Colston, H. L. (2007). Irony in language and thought: A cognitive science reader. Psychology Press.
- Harikrishna, D. and Rao, K. S. (2016). Emotionspecific features for classifying emotions in story text. In 2016 Twenty Second National Conference on Communication (NCC), pages 1–4. IEEE.
- Hazarika, D., Poria, S., Zadeh, A., Cambria, E., Morency, L.-P., and Zimmermann, R. (2018).
 Conversational memory network for emotion recognition in dyadic dialogue videos. In Proceedings of the conference. Association for Computational Linguistics. North American Chapter. Meeting, volume 2018, page 2122. NIH Public Access.
- He, H. and Xia, R. (2018). Joint binary neural network for multi-label learning with applications

 $^{^3{\}rm For}$ the global audience, we also translate these Hindi utterances into English.

to emotion classification. In *CCF International* Conference on Natural Language Processing and Chinese Computing, pages 250–259. Springer.

- Huang, C., Trabelsi, A., Qin, X., Farruque, N., and Zaïane, O. R. (2019). Seq2emo for multi-label emotion classification based on latent variable chains transformation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.02147.
- Joulin, A., Grave, E., Bojanowski, P., Douze, M., Jégou, H., and Mikolov, T. (2016). Fasttext. zip: Compressing text classification models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.03651.
- Kelley, J. F. (1984). An iterative design methodology for user-friendly natural language office information applications. ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS), 2(1):26–41.
- Kendall, A., Gal, Y., and Cipolla, R. (2018). Multi-task learning using uncertainty to weigh losses for scene geometry and semantics. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 7482–7491.
- Kim, Y., Lee, H., and Jung, K. (2018). Attnconvnet at semeval-2018 task 1: Attentionbased convolutional neural networks for multilabel emotion classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.00831.
- Koolagudi, S. G., Reddy, R., Yadav, J., and Rao, K. S. (2011). Iitkgp-sehsc: Hindi speech corpus for emotion analysis. In 2011 International conference on devices and communications (ICDe-Com), pages 1–5. IEEE.
- Kumar, Y., Mahata, D., Aggarwal, S., Chugh, A., Maheshwari, R., and Shah, R. R. (2019). Bhaav-a text corpus for emotion analysis from hindi stories. arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.04073.
- Lee-Thorp, J., Ainslie, J., Eckstein, I., and Ontanon, S. (2021). Fnet: Mixing tokens with fourier transforms. arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.03824.
- Li, Y., Su, H., Shen, X., Li, W., Cao, Z., and Niu, S. (2017). Dailydialog: A manually labelled multi-turn dialogue dataset. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.03957.
- Martinovsky, B. and Traum, D. (2006). The error is the clue: Breakdown in human-machine interaction. Technical report, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA MARINA DEL REY CA INST FOR CREATIVE
- Plutchik, R. and Kellerman, H. (2013). *Biological foundations of emotion*, volume 3. Academic Press.
- Poria, S., Cambria, E., Hazarika, D., Majumder, N., Zadeh, A., and Morency, L.-P. (2017). Context-dependent sentiment analysis in usergenerated videos. In *Proceedings of the 55th* annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics (volume 1: Long papers), pages 873–883.

- Prendinger, H. and Ishizuka, M. (2005). The empathic companion: A character-based interface that addresses users'affective states. *Applied artificial intelligence*, 19(3-4):267–285.
- Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., Kaiser, L., and Polosukhin, I. (2017). Attention is all you need. In Advances in neural information processing systems, pages 5998–6008.
- Vijay, D., Bohra, A., Singh, V., Akhtar, S. S., and Shrivastava, M. (2018). Corpus creation and emotion prediction for hindi-english code-mixed social media text. In *Proceedings of the 2018* Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Student Research Workshop, pages 128–135.
- Yadollahi, A., Shahraki, A. G., and Zaiane, O. R. (2017). Current state of text sentiment analysis from opinion to emotion mining. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 50(2):1–33.
- Yeh, S.-L., Lin, Y.-S., and Lee, C.-C. (2019). An interaction-aware attention network for speech emotion recognition in spoken dialogs. In *ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing* (*ICASSP*), pages 6685–6689. IEEE.
- Yu, J., Marujo, L., Jiang, J., Karuturi, P., and Brendel, W. (2018). Improving multi-label emotion classification via sentiment classification with dual attention transfer network. ACL.