ConvNets and Babysitting the Learning Process

Arjun Jain | 10 March 2017

Agenda

- CNN building blocks: ReLU, MaxPool, Convolution
- Weight Initialization
- Baby sitting the Learning Process
- Hyperparameter Optimization
- Apply all these to a real world example Classifying CIFAR-10

Sources

A lot of the material has been shamelessly and gratefully collected from:

• http://cs231n.stanford.edu/

- <u>https://devblogs.nvidia.com/parallelforall/deep-learning-nutshell-history-training/</u>
- <u>https://adeshpande3.github.io/adeshpande3.github.io/The-9-Deep-Learning-Papers-You-Need-To-Know-About.html</u>
- <u>https://research.fb.com/learning-to-segment/</u>
- <u>https://research.fb.com/deep-learning-tutorial-at-cvpr-2014/</u>
- <u>http://code.madbits.com/wiki/doku.php?id=tutorial_morestuff</u>
- <u>https://www.cs.ox.ac.uk/people/nando.defreitas/machinelearning/practicals/practical4.pdf</u>
- <u>http://torch.ch/docs/developer-docs.html</u>
- https://github.com/torch/nn/blob/31d7d2bc86a914e2a9e6b3874c497c60517dc853/doc/module.md
- <u>https://web.stanford.edu/group/pdplab/pdphandbook/handbookch6.html</u>
- <u>http://neuralnetworksanddeeplearning.com/chap2.html</u>

Brief History – The First ConvNet

- Neocognitron: multiple convolutional and pooling layers similar to modern networks, but the network was trained by using a reinforcement scheme
- Did not still use backpropagation
- Translational invariant







Kunihiko Fukushima

4 Deep Learning (for Computer Vision)

Brief History – LeNet-5 In Action





6 Deep Learning (for C

Brief History – The Tipping Point

- 2012 ILSVRC: ImageNet Large-Scale Visual Recognition Challenge Annual World Cup of Computer Vision
- More than a million training images and 1000 categories



ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks

Alex Krizhevsky University of Toronto kriz@cs.utoronto.ca Ilya Sutskever University of Toronto ilya@cs.utoronto.ca Geoffrey E. Hinton University of Toronto hinton@cs.utoronto.ca

Brief History – The Tipping Point

- Reported 15.4% Top 5 error rate. The next best entry achieved an error of 26.2%
- > 8000 citations
- The coming out party for CNNs in the computer vision community
- Shocked the computer vision community. Trained end-to-end on raw pixels, without using any feature engineering methods
- From here it was apparent that deep learning would take over computer vision and that other methods would not be able to catch up

Why ConvNets?



Used in Speech too!



Deep Speech 2: End-to-End Speech Recognition in English and Mandarin Amodei et al., Baidu Research

Acoustic modelling from the signal domain using CNNs

Pegah Ghahremani, Vimal Manohar, Daniel Povey, Sanjeev Khudanpur

Deep Convolutional Neural Networks for LVCSR

Tara N. Sainath, Abdel-rahman Mohamed, Brian Kingsbury, Bhuvana Ramabhadran

Brief History – So What Changed (since the 1970s)?

- Three things:
 - Availability of large amounts of labeled data 15 million annotated images from a total of over 22,000 categories
 - Compute power A single NVidia TITAN X card churns of 11 TFLOPS with ~3500 cores
 - Algorithms:
 - ReLU Found to decrease training time
 - Dropout prevent overfitting to the training data

Deep Learning – Today – Human Computer Interaction



inside the 750

10107

Deep Learning – Today – Lip Reading



BBC One HD 07-Apr-2016 22:51:37

THE GOVERNMENT WILL PAY FOR BOTH SIDES

Linear Classification: CIFAR-10

10 labels
50,000 training images
10,000 test images
each image is an array of size 32 x 32 x 3 = 3072 numbers total



Example with an Image with 4 Pixels, and 3 Classes (cat/dog/ship)





Multiple Layers – Back Prop: Chain Rule

 $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{o}}{\partial \boldsymbol{a_4}} \text{ is the Jacobian } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathbb{R}^{\dim(\boldsymbol{o}) \times \dim(\boldsymbol{a_4})}$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}} \text{ is the gradient } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$

We want: Now

Now we can compute:

 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W_1}, \frac{\partial L}{\partial W_3}, \frac{\partial L}{\partial W_5} \qquad \frac{\partial L}{\partial W_3} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial o} \times \frac{\partial o}{\partial a_4} \times \frac{\partial a_4}{\partial a_3} \times \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial W_3}$

Multiple Layers – Feed Forward – In Torch7



- Example: 3 modules layer1, layer2, layer3
- By hand:
 - a1 = layer1:forward(x)
 - a2 = layer2:forward(a1)
 - o = layer3:forward(a2)
- Using nn.Sequential:
 - model = nn.Sequential()
 - model:add(layer1)
 - model:add(layer2)
 - model:add(layer3)
 - o = model:forward(x)

(output is returned, but also stored internally)

Multiple Layers – Feed Forward – In Torch7



- criterion = nn.SomeCriterion()
- loss = criterion:forward(o, y)
- dl_do = criterion:backward(o, y)
- Gradient with respect to input is returned
- Arguments are input and gradient with respect to outpu
 - By hand:
 - 13_grad = layer3:backward(a2, dl_do)
 - l2_grad = layer2:backward(a1, l3_grad)
 - l1_grad = layer1:backward (x, l2_grad)
- Using nn.Sequential:
 - l1_grad = model:backward(x, dl_do)

Building Blocks: Activation Functions (ReLU)

https://github.com/stencilman/CS763_Spring2017/blob/master/Notebooks/ReLU.ipynb

IIT Bombay, Perceptive Code LLC

²¹ Deep Learning (for Computer Vision)

Building Blocks – ReLU – Activation Function







Input

torch.rand gives us random numbers unformly in the range [0, 1]. We subtract 0.5 to bring it to the range [-0.5, 0.5]

In [1]:	<pre>require 'nn'; n = torch.rand(5) - 0.5 print(n)</pre>	In [2]:	<pre>relu = nn.ReLU() m = relu:forward(n) print(m)</pre>
Out[1]:	-0.0044 -0.1521 0.4794 -0.1014 0.4201 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 5]	Out[2]:	0.0000 0.0000 0.4794 0.0000 0.4201 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 5]

Output



So simplicity, we start by setting the gradient of the loss with respect to the output of this layer (flowing in through the next layer) $\frac{\partial L}{\partial O^l}$ to be all ones. Next, we see that gradient of the lost with respect to the input of this layer $\frac{\partial L}{\partial I^l}$ is one where n > 0 and zero otherwise.

In [3]:	<pre>nextgrad=torch.ones(5) relu:backward(n, nextgrad) print(relu.gradInput)</pre>	In [4]:	print(nextgrad)		
	princ(reta, graampac)	Out[4]:	1		
Out[3]:	0		1		
	0		1		
	1		1		
	0		1		
	1		[torch.DoubleTensor of size 5]		
	[torch.DoubleTensor of size 5]				



- Each hidden unit represents one hyperplane (parameterized by weight and bias) that bisects the input space into two half spaces.
- By choosing different weights in the hidden layer we can obtain arbitrary arrangement of n hyperplanes.
- The theory of hyperplane arrangement (Zaslavsky, 1975) tells us that for a general arrangement of n hyperplanes in d dimensions, the space is divided into $\sum_{s=0}^{d} {n \choose s}$ regions.

Expressiveness of Rectifier Networks

Xingyuan Pan, Vivek Srikumar



Building Blocks: Convolution

https://github.com/stencilman/CS763_Spring2017/blob/master/Notebooks/Convolution.ipynb

28 Deep Learning (for Computer Vision)

IIT Bombay, Perceptive Code LLC

Building Blocks - Convolution



Building Blocks - Convolution



Building Blocks - Convolution















35 Deep Learning (for Computer Vision)


Building Blocks – Convolution (Discrete 1D)







Building Blocks – Convolution – Feed Forward





$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{O}}{\partial \boldsymbol{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & W_2 & W_3 & 0\\ 0 & W_1 & W_2 & W_3 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{O}}{\partial \boldsymbol{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & W_2 & W_3 & 0\\ 0 & W_1 & W_2 & W_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{O}}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & I_2 & I_3\\ I_2 & I_3 & I_4 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{O}}{\partial \boldsymbol{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & W_2 & W_3 & 0 \\ 0 & W_1 & W_2 & W_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{O}}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & I_2 & I_3 \\ I_2 & I_3 & I_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{O}} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial LO_1 & \partial LO_2 \end{bmatrix}$$





Slide

Correlation

I: $1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4$ W: $1 \ 2 \ 3$



Building Blocks – Convolution – Backward $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{O}}{\partial \boldsymbol{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & W_2 & W_3 & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & W_1 & W_2 & W_3 \end{bmatrix}$

I: 1 2 3 4 W: 1 2 3

O: 1 2

1 1 2 3

Slide

Correlation



$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{O}}{\partial \boldsymbol{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 & W_2 & W_3 & 0 \\ 0 & W_1 & W_2 & W_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{O}}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}} = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & I_2 & I_3 \\ I_2 & I_3 & I_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{O}} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial LO_1 & \partial LO_2 \end{bmatrix}$$









49 Deep Learning (for Computer Vision)

Convolution Layer

In this notebook, we will look into the forward and the backward the the nn.SpatialConvolution layer. We will also see how to compute the gradient of the loss with respect to the weights $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W}$ for this layer. I leave gradient of the loss with respect to the input $\frac{\partial L}{\partial T}$ as an excercise.

Input

Similar to the example we used in the class, let us have the input *n* of size 1×4 .

```
In [11]: require 'nn';
n = torch.rand(4):reshape(1,1,4)
print(n)
```

Out[11]: (1,.,.) = 0.3347 0.5901 0.7132 0.3187 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x1x4]

Output using Convolution Block

Also similar to the example we used in the class, let us create a convolution block with a weights w of size 1×3 and obtain the output m = Convolution(n, w) of size 1×2 .

Out[12]: (1,.,.) =

0.1897 0.1130 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x1x2]

Doing backward and calculating the gradinent of the loss with respect to the weights

Let us set the gradient of the loss with respect to the input of next layer (flowing in through the next layer) $\frac{\partial L}{\partial I^{l+1}}$ to be random numbers. After the backward() call, let us print the $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W}$ as calcuated by torch.

Out[13]:

```
0.6464 0.8428 0.5257
[torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x3]
```

Expression for calcuating the gradinent of the loss with respect to the weights

Again, as we learnt in class, the $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = Convolution(I, \frac{\partial L}{\partial I^{l+1}})$. We varify that this is indeed exactly equal to $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W}$ computed by torch. I leave the calculation of $\frac{\partial L}{\partial I}$ as an exercise. Remember: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial I} = Convolution(W^{padded}, \frac{\partial L}{\partial I^{l+1}})$

Out[20]: (1,.,.) = 0.6464 0.8428 0.5257 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x1x3]

Convolution Layer

In this notebook, we will look into the forward and the backward the the nn.SpatialConvolution layer. We will also see how to compute the gradient of the loss with respect to the weights $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W}$ for this layer. I leave gradient of the loss with respect to the input $\frac{\partial L}{\partial T}$ as an excercise.

Input

Similar to the example we used in the class, let us have the input *n* of size 1×4 .

```
In [11]: require 'nn';
n = torch.rand(4):reshape(1,1,4)
print(n)
```

Out[11]: (1,.,.) = 0.3347 0.5901 0.7132 0.3187 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x1x4]

Output using Convolution Block

Also similar to the example we used in the class, let us create a convolution block with a weights w of size 1×3 and obtain the output m = Convolution(n, w) of size 1×2 .

Out[12]: (1,.,.) =

```
0.1897 0.1130
[torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x1x2]
```

Doing backward and calculating the gradinent of the loss with respect to the weights

Let us set the gradient of the loss with respect to the input of next layer (flowing in through the next layer) $\frac{\partial L}{\partial I^{l+1}}$ to be random numbers. After the backward () call, let us print the $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W}$ as calcuated by torch.

Out[13]: (1,.,.) =

0.0781 0.1019 -0.2249 0.2193 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x1x4]

```
0.6464 0.8428 0.5257
[torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x3]
```

Expression for calcuating the gradinent of the loss with respect to the weights

```
Again, as we learnt in class, the \frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = Convolution(I, \frac{\partial L}{\partial I^{l+1}}). We varify that this is indeed exactly equal to \frac{\partial L}{\partial W} computed by torch. I leave the calculation of \frac{\partial L}{\partial I} as an exercise. Remember: \frac{\partial L}{\partial I} = Convolution(W^{padded}, \frac{\partial L}{\partial I^{l+1}})
```

```
Out[20]: (1,.,.) =
0.6464 0.8428 0.5257
[torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x1x3]
```













Image I = $2 \times 4 \times 4$

Weights W = 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 (nOutputPlane x nInputPlane x kH x kW)

I[1,:,:]

1	-2	2	2
2	1	3	-2
-2	3	-3	1
-1	2	-4	2

W[1, 1, :, :] W[2, 1, :, :]



0

0

W[1, 2, :, :] W[2, 2, :, :]

0

4

0

0



Bias $\mathbf{b} = 2$ (nOutputPlane)

Image $O = 2 \times 3 \times 3$

O[1,:,:]

O[2, :, :]







58 Deep Learning (for Computer Vision)




































Building Blocks – Convolution – in Torch7

```
> I = torch.DoubleTensor({{{1,-2,2,2},{2,1,3,-2},{-2,3,-3,1},{-1,2,-4,2}}, {{3,0,0,0},{-2,-2,1,-1},{2,-1,3,1},{5,-2,0,1}})
> conv = nn.SpatialConvolutionMM(2,2,2,2)
> conv.bias = torch.DoubleTensor({0.1, 0.2})
> conv.weight = torch.DoubleTensor({{1,-2,-2,1,1,0,0,1},{3,1,2,2,0,0,0,4}})
> 0 = conv:forward(I)
> =I
(1,.,.) =
 1 -2 2 2
 2 1 3 -2
-2 3 -3 1
-1 2 -4 2
(2,...) =
 3 0 0 0
-2 -2 1 -1
 2 -1 3 1
  5 -2 0 1
[torch.DoubleTensor of size 2x4x4]
> =0
(1,...) =
  3.1000 -3.9000 -10.9000
  4.1000 -12.9000 16.1000
 -3.9000
          0.1000
                   9.1000
(2,...) =
 -0.8000
           8.2000
                    6.2000
  5.2000 18.2000
                   7.2000
 -8.8000
          2.2000 -7.8000
[torch.DoubleTensor of size 2x3x3]
```

Building Blocks – Convolution – in Torch7

```
function SpatialConvolutionMM:___init(nInputPlane, nOutputPlane, kW, kH, dW, dH, padW, padH)
 4
       parent.__init(self)
 5
 6
 7
       dW = dW \text{ or } 1
       dH = dH \text{ or } 1
 8
 9
10
       self.nInputPlane = nInputPlane
       self.nOutputPlane = nOutputPlane
11
12
       self_kW = kW
       self_kH = kH
13
14
       self_dW = dW
15
       self_dH = dH
16
       self.padW = padW or 0
17
       self.padH = padH or self.padW
18
19
       self.weight = torch.Tensor(nOutputPlane, nInputPlane*kH*kW)
20
       self.bias = torch.Tensor(nOutputPlane)
21
22
       self.gradWeight = torch.Tensor(nOutputPlane, nInputPlane*kH*kW)
        self.gradBias = torch.Tensor(nOutputPlane)
23
24
       self:reset()
25
26
    end
                                                             https://github.com/torch/nn/blob/master/SpatialConvolutionMM.lua
```

78 Deep Learning (for Computer Vision)



Aside: Dilated Convolution



Aside: Dilated Convolution

module = nn.SpatialDilatedConvolution(nInputPlane, nOutputPlane, kW, kH, [dW], [dH], [padW], [padH], [dilationW], [dilationH])



Multi-Scale Context Aggregation by Dilated Convolutions Fisher Yu, Vladlen Koltun













Building Blocks: Max Pooling

https://github.com/stencilman/CS763_Spring2017/blob/master/Notebooks/Max-Pool.ipynb

Building Blocks – Pooling (Max Pooling)



Building Blocks – Pooling (Max Pooling)





```
n = torch.rand(1,4,4)
 pool = nn.SpatialMaxPooling(2, 2)
> m = pool:forward(n)
> =n
(1,.,.) =
 0.2692 0.4190 0.2095 0.9163
        0.9199 0.5555 0.1638
 0.2778
         0.2328
 0.6936
                0.0553 0.1798
 0.3611 0.3225 0.9032 0.5106
[torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x4x4]
> =m
(1,.,.) =
 0.9199
         0.9163
 0.6936 0.9032
[torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x2x2]
```

> nextgrad = torch.ones(1,2,2) > pool:backward(n, nextgrad) > =pool.gradInput (1,...) =0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x4x4]

Other Pooling Layers

- Average Pooling
- No Pooling? Striving for Simplicity: The All Convolutional Net

Jost Tobias Springenberg, Alexey Dosovitskiy, Thomas Brox, Martin Riedmiller

Weight Initialization

https://github.com/stencilman/CS763_Spring2017/blob/master/Notebooks/Weight-init.ipynb

91 Deep Learning (for Computer Vision)

IIT Bombay, Perceptive Code LLC



- First idea: **Small random numbers** (normal distribution between -0.01 to 0.01)

```
self.W = torch.randn(fan_out, fan_in) * 0.01
self.b = torch.randn(fan_out)* 0.01
```

- First idea: **Small random numbers** (normal distribution between -0.01 to 0.01)

```
self.W = torch.randn(fan_out, fan_in) * 0.01
self.b = torch.randn(fan_out)* 0.01
```

Works ~okay for small networks (like our one layer cifar-10 classifier), but can lead to non-homogeneous distributions of activations across the layers of a network. Lets look at some activation statistics

```
E.g. 10-layer net with 500 neurons on each layer, using tanh non-linearities, and initializing as described in last slide.
```

```
local Linear = torch.class("Linear")
function Linear: init(fan in, fan out)
    self.output = nil
    self.W = torch.randn(fan out, fan in) * 0.01
    self.b = torch.randn(fan out)* 0.01
\mathbf{end}
--Wx + b
function Linear:forward(xi)
    self.output = self.W * xi:reshape(x:size(1),1) + self.b
    return self.output
end
x = torch.randn(1000)
plot = Plot():histogram(x, 100, -1, 1):title('input'):draw()
l = Linear.new(1000, 1000)
input = x
means = \{\}
stds = \{\}
xaxis = \{\}
inputstr = string.format('Input mean: %f std: %f', x:mean(1)[1], x:std(1)[1])
for i = 1, 10 do
    h = l:forward(input)
   h = nn.Tanh():forward(h)
    mean = h:mean(1)[1][1]
    std = h:std(1)[1][1]
    table.insert(means, mean)
    table.insert(stds, std)
    table.insert(xaxis, i)
    plot = Plot():histogram(h, 100,-1,1):title('layer '..i):draw();
    input = h
end
plot = Plot():line(xaxis,means):title('mean'):draw()
plot = Plot():line(xaxis,stds):title('std'):draw()
print(inputstr)
for i=1,10 do
    print(string.format('Layer %d mean: %f std: %f',i, means[i], stds[i]))
end
```

Lets look at some activation statistics



input layer had mean 0.000927 and std 0.998388 hidden layer 1 had mean -0.000117 and std 0.213081 hidden layer 2 had mean -0.000001 and std 0.047551 hidden layer 3 had mean -0.000002 and std 0.010630 hidden layer 4 had mean 0.000001 and std 0.002378 hidden layer 5 had mean 0.000002 and std 0.000532 hidden layer 6 had mean -0.000000 and std 0.000119 hidden layer 7 had mean 0.000000 and std 0.000026 hidden layer 8 had mean -0.000000 and std 0.000006 hidden layer 9 had mean 0.000000 and std 0.000001 hidden layer 10 had mean -0.000000 and std 0.000000



All activations become zero!

Q: think about the backward pass. What do the gradients look like?

Hint: think about backward pass, the W update.

self.W = torch.randn(fan_out, fan_in) * 1.0 self.b = torch.randn(fan_out)* 1.0

input layer had mean 0.001800 and std 1.001311 hidden layer 1 had mean -0.000430 and std 0.981879 hidden layer 2 had mean -0.000849 and std 0.981649 hidden layer 3 had mean 0.000566 and std 0.981601 hidden layer 4 had mean 0.000483 and std 0.9816161 hidden layer 5 had mean -0.000682 and std 0.981614 hidden layer 6 had mean -0.000401 and std 0.981560 hidden layer 7 had mean -0.000237 and std 0.981520 hidden layer 8 had mean -0.000448 and std 0.981913 hidden layer 9 had mean -0.000899 and std 0.981728 hidden layer 10 had mean 0.000584 and std 0.981736

*1.0 instead of *0.01

laver mean laver std +9.815e-1 0.00045 0.0008 0.00040 0.0004 0.00035 0.0002 0.00030 0.0000 0.00025 -0.0002 0.00020 -0.00040.00019 -0.0006 0.00010 -0.0008 0.00005 0.00000 -0.0010 25000 20000 150000 150 150 150 150 150 100000 100 100 100 100 50000

Almost all neurons completely saturated, either -1 and 1. Gradients will be all zero. self.W = torch.randn(fan_out, fan_in) / math.sqrt(fan_in)
self.b = torch.randn(fan_out) / math.sqrt(fan_in)

"Xavier initialization" [Glorot et al., 2010]

Reasonable initialization. (Mathematical derivation assumes linear activations)

input layer had mean 0.001800 and std 1.001311 hidden layer 1 had mean 0.001198 and std 0.627953 hidden layer 2 had mean -0.000175 and std 0.486051 hidden layer 3 had mean 0.000055 and std 0.407723 hidden layer 4 had mean -0.000306 and std 0.357108 hidden layer 5 had mean 0.000142 and std 0.320917 hidden layer 6 had mean -0.000389 and std 0.292116 hidden layer 7 had mean -0.000228 and std 0.273387 hidden layer 8 had mean -0.000291 and std 0.254935 hidden layer 9 had mean 0.000139 and std 0.228008



self.W = torch.randn(fan_out, fan_in) / math.sqrt(fan_in)
self.b = torch.randn(fan_out) / math.sqrt(fan_in)

but when using the ReLU nonlinearity it breaks.

input layer had mean 0.000501 and std 0.999444 hidden layer 1 had mean 0.398623 and std 0.582273 hidden layer 2 had mean 0.272352 and std 0.403795 hidden layer 3 had mean 0.186076 and std 0.276912 hidden layer 4 had mean 0.136442 and std 0.198685 hidden layer 5 had mean 0.099568 and std 0.140299 hidden layer 6 had mean 0.072234 and std 0.103280 hidden layer 7 had mean 0.049775 and std 0.072748 hidden layer 8 had mean 0.035138 and std 0.051572 hidden layer 9 had mean 0.025404 and std 0.038583 hidden layer 10 had mean 0.018408 and std 0.026076



self.W = torch.randn(fan_out, fan_in) / math.sqrt(fan_in/2)
self.b = torch.randn(fan_out) / math.sqrt(fan_in/2)

He et al., 2015 (note additional /2)

input layer had mean 0.000501 and std 0.999444 hidden layer 1 had mean 0.562488 and std 0.825232 hidden layer 2 had mean 0.553614 and std 0.827835 hidden layer 3 had mean 0.545867 and std 0.813855 hidden layer 4 had mean 0.565396 and std 0.826902 hidden layer 5 had mean 0.547678 and std 0.834092 hidden layer 6 had mean 0.587103 and std 0.860035 hidden layer 7 had mean 0.596867 and std 0.870610 hidden layer 8 had mean 0.623214 and std 0.889348 hidden layer 9 had mean 0.567498 and std 0.844523



self.W = torch.randn(fan_out, fan_in) / math.sqrt(fan_in/2) self.b = torch.randn(fan out) / math.sqrt(fan in/2)

> He et al., 2015 (note additional /2)

> > 3

2

4

5

Epoch

6

input layer had mean 0.000501 and std 0.999444 hidden layer 1 had mean 0.562488 and std 0.825232 hidden layer 2 had mean 0.553614 and std 0.827835 hidden layer 3 had mean 0.545867 and std 0.813855 hidden layer 4 had mean 0.565396 and std 0.826902 hidden layer 5 had mean 0.547678 and std 0.834092 hidden layer 6 had mean 0.587103 and std 0.860035 hidden layer 7 had mean 0.596867 and std 0.870610 hidden layer 8 had mean 0.623214 and std 0.889348 hidden layer 9 had mean 0.567498 and std 0.845357 hidden layer 10 had mean 0.552531 and std 0.844523



murringungungungung

```
-- "Efficient backprop"
 9
10
    --- Yann Lecun, 1998
    local function w_init_heuristic(fan_in, fan_out)
11
       return math.sqrt(1/(3*fan_in))
12
13
    end
14
15
    --- "Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks"
16
    --- Xavier Glorot, 2010
17
18
    local function w_init_xavier(fan_in, fan_out)
        return math.sqrt(2/(fan_in + fan_out))
19
20
    end
21
22
    --- "Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks"
23
    --- Xavier Glorot, 2010
24
    local function w_init_xavier_caffe(fan_in, fan_out)
25
        return math.sqrt(1/fan_in)
26
27
    end
28
29
    --- "Delving Deep into Rectifiers: Surpassing Human-Level Performance on ImageNet Classification"
30
31
    --- Kaiming He, 2015
32
    local function w_init_kaiming(fan_in, fan_out)
        return math.sqrt(4/(fan_in + fan_out))
33
34
    end
```

https://github.com/e-lab/torch-toolbox/blob/master/Weight-init/weight-init.lua

Proper initialization is an active area of research...

Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks by Glorot and Bengio, 2010

Exact solutions to the nonlinear dynamics of learning in deep linear neural networks by Saxe et al, 2013

Random walk initialization for training very deep feedforward networks by Sussillo and Abbott, 2014

Delving deep into rectifiers: Surpassing human-level performance on ImageNet classification by He et al., 2015

Data-dependent Initializations of Convolutional Neural Networks by Krähenbühl et al., 2015

All you need is a good init by Mishkin and Matas, 2015

. . .

Batch Normalization

"you want unit gaussian activations? just make them so."

[Ioffe and Szegedy, 2015]

Babysitting the Learning Process

Step 1: Data Preprocessing



- Assume **X** [NxD] is data matrix, each example in a row
- So, in our case we have 10 examples, each 4D

> X = torch.rand(10,4)[> mean = X:mean(1)]> std = X:std(1) [> meanrepeated = torch.repeatTensor(mean, 10,1) > stdrepeated = torch.repeatTensor(std, 10,1) > =X 0.4822 0.2837 0.6833 0.9955 0.0252 0.2801 0.5854 0.1640 0.8695 0.2564 0.8241 0.7129 0.7037 0.8237 0.2027 0.1058 0.2490 0.0476 0.3699 0.6759 0.2690 0.7482 0.4739 0.5486 0.5062 0.1874 0.4623 0.5303 0.3652 0.8691 0.6733 0.3607 0.4448 0.8708 0.8118 0.7951 0.2013 0.6639 0.5152 0.7776 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 10x4]

[> =mean 0.4761 0.5659 0.4246 0.5750 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x4]

[> =std 0.1942 0.3047 0.2491 0.3013 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 1x4]

Step 1: Data Preprocessing



- Assume X [NxD] is data matrix, each example in a row
- So, in our case we have 10 examples, each 4D

> X = torch.rand(10,4)[> mean = X:mean(1)]> std = X:std(1) [> meanrepeated = torch.repeatTensor(mean, 10,1) > stdrepeated = torch.repeatTensor(std, 10,1) > =meanrepeated 0.4761 0.5659 0.4246 0.5750 0.5659 0.4246 0.5750 0.4761 0.5659 0.4246 0.5750 0.4761 0.4761 0.5659 0.4246 0.5750 0.5659 0.4761 0.4246 0.5750 0.5659 0.4246 0.5750 0.4761 0.4761 0.5659 0.4246 0.5750 0.4761 0.5659 0.4246 0.5750 0.4761 0.5659 0.4246 0.5750 0.4761 0.5659 0.4246 0.5750 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 10x4]

[> =stdrepeated

0.1942 0.3047 0.2491 0.3013 0.1942 0.3047 0.2491 0.3013 0.3047 0.3013 0.1942 0.2491 0.1942 0.3047 0.3013 0.2491 0.3047 0.2491 0.1942 0.3013 0.1942 0.3047 0.2491 0.3013 0.1942 0.3047 0.2491 0.3013 0.1942 0.3047 0.2491 0.3013 0.1942 0.3047 0.2491 0.3013 0.1942 0.3047 0.2491 0.3013 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 10x4]
Step 1: Data Preprocessing



- Assume X [NxD] is data matrix, each example in a row
- So, in our case we have 10 examples, each 4D

-0.1611

1.0008

-1.4149 0.3216 0.3639 0.6725 [torch.DoubleTensor of size 10x4]

1.5545 0.7308

IIT Bombay, Perceptive Code LLC

Notebook

2. Data Preprocessing: We compute the mean and standard deviation 'images' and then subtract and divide by the same respectively (like AlexNet). We also visualize them.

```
In [3]: x_mean = torch.mean(tr_x:float(), 1)
x_std = torch.std(tr_x:float(), 1)
itorch.image(x_mean)
itorch.image(x_std)

In [7]: function get_xi(data_x, idx)
xi = (data_x[idx]:float() - x_mean)
xi = xi:cdiv(x_std)
xi = xi:reshape(3*32*32)
return xi
end
```

Step 2: Choose the architecture: say we start with single layer network:





1. Data Loading: Let us load the training and the test data and check the size of the tensors. Let us also display the first few images from the training set.

```
In [1]: -- load trainin images
tr_x = torch.load('cifar10/tr_data.bin')
-- load trainin labels
tr_y = torch.load('cifar10/tr_labels.bin'):double() + 1
-- load test images
te_x = torch.load('cifar10/te_data.bin')
-- load test labels
te_y = torch.load('cifar10/te_labels.bin'):double() + 1
print(tr_x:size())
print(tr_y:size())
Out[1]: 50000
3
32
32
32
[torch.LongStorage of size 4]
```

50000 [torch.LongStorage of size 1]

```
In [2]: -- display the first 36 training set images
require 'image';
itorch.image(tr_x[{1,36},{},{},{}])
```



Notebook

```
function Linear:__init()
    self.output = nil
    self.gradInput = torch.zeros(10):float()
    self.W = torch.randn(10, 3*32*32):float()*0.01
    self.b = torch.randn(10):float()*0.01
    self.gradW = torch.zeros(10, 3*32*32):float()
    self.gradb = torch.zeros(10):float()
end
function init_model()
```

```
-- define the model and criterion

model = Linear.new()

criterion = CEC.new()

bestmodel = Linear.new()

end
```

Initialize model, create the state variables for the Linear Layer

Notebook

function train_and_test_loop(no_iterations, lr, lambda)
for i = 0, no_iterations do
 -- trainin input and target
 idx = shuffle[mod(i, tr_x:size(1)) + 1]
 xi = get_xi(tr_x, idx)
 ti = tr_y[idx]
 -- Train

-- do forward of the model, compute loss
-- and then do backward of the model
op = model:forward(xi)
loss_tr = criterion:forward(op, ti, model, lambda)
dl_do = criterion:backward(op, ti)
model:backward(xi, dl_do)
epochloss_tr = epochloss_tr + loss_tr

-- Test

idx = shuffle_te[mod(i, te_x:size(1)) + 1] xi = get_xi(te_x, idx) ti = te_y[idx] -- Compute loss op = model:forward(xi) loss_te = criterion:forward(op, ti, model, lambda) epochloss te = epochloss te + loss te

```
-- udapte model weights
```

```
gradient_descent(model, lr)
```

```
if mod(i, 500) == 0 then
    err = evaluate(model, tr_x, tr_y)
    print('iter: '..i.. ', accuracy: '..(1 - err)*100 ..'% Loss: '..epochloss_tr/100)
    epochloss te = 0
```

```
epochloss_tr = 0

if (err < besterr) then
    besterr = err
    bestmodel:copy(model)
    print(' -- best accuracy achieved: '.. (1- besterr)*100 ..'%')
end
collectgarbage()</pre>
```

end

end

end

```
return (1 - besterr)*100 -- Accuracy
```

The training and test function.

It does no_iterations on data based on lr and lambda and returns the accuracy of the classifier.

Double check that the loss is reasonable:

```
-- trainin input and target
idx = shuffle[mod(i, tr_x:size(1)) + 1]
xi = get_xi(tr_x, idx)
ti = tr_y[idx]
-- do forward of the model, compute loss
-- and then do backward of the model
op = model:forward(xi)
loss_tr = criterion:forward(op, ti, model, lambda)
print(loss_tr)
```

Run for single iteration, print loss



Double check that the loss is reasonable:

```
-- Train
-- do forward of the model, compute loss
-- and then do backward of the model
op = model:forward(xi)
loss_tr = criterion:forward(op, ti, model, lambda)
dl_do = criterion:backward(op, ti)
model:backward(xi, dl_do)
epochloss_tr = epochloss_tr + loss_tr
print(loss_tr)
```

Run for single iteration, print loss



Tip: Make sure that you can overfit very small portion of the training data

```
-- run it
lr = 0.0001
lambda = 0
train_and_test_loop(100000, lr, lambda)
```

In the code here:

- take the first 20 examples from CIFAR-10
- turn off regularization (reg = 0.0)
- use vanilla 'sgd'

Tip: Make sure that you can overfit very small portion of the training data

Very small loss, train accuracy 100, nice! -- run it
lr = 0.0001
lambda = 0
train_and_test_loop(100000, lr, lambda)

Out[54]: iter: 500, accuracy: 20% Loss: 6.4891701533306 -- best accuracy achieved: 100% Out[54]: iter: 1000, accuracy: 100% Loss: 3.363490690347 Out[54]: iter: 1500, accuracy: 100% Loss: 2.3995975677242 Out[54]: iter: 2000, accuracy: 100% Loss: 1.8909617506362 Out[54]: iter: 2500, accuracy: 100% Loss: 1.5617572159784 Out[54]: iter: 3000, accuracy: 100% Loss: 1.3375534142717 Out[54]: iter: 3500, accuracy: 100% Loss: 1.1668484200641 Out[54]: iter: 4000, accuracy: 100% Loss: 1.0398030826978 Out[54]: iter: 98000, accuracy: 100% Loss: 0.075056174474324 Out[54]: iter: 98500, accuracy: 100% Loss: 0.074695131101785 Out[54]: iter: 99000, accuracy: 100% Loss: 0.074675841566382 Out[54]: iter: 99500, accuracy: 100% Loss: 0.074908872365756 Out[54]: iter: 100000, accuracy: 100% Loss: 0.074439254969025

IIT Bombay, Perceptive Code LLC

I like to start with small regularization and find learning rate that makes the loss go down.

```
-- run it
lr = 1e-7
lambda = 1e-7
train_and_test_loop(10000, lr, lambda)
```

I like to start with small regularization and find learning rate that makes the loss go down.

	<pre> run it lr = 1e-7 lambda = 1e-7 train_and_test_loop(10000, lr, lambda)</pre>												
Out[18]:	<pre>iter: 0, accuracy: 10% Loss: 0.023248429529449 best accuracy achieved: 10%</pre>												
Out[18]:	iter: 500, accuracy:	10% 1	oss:	11.522416713458									
Out[18]:	iter: 1000, accuracy	: 10%	Loss:	11.517536122735									
Out[18]:	iter: 1500, accuracy best accuracy ac	: 11% nieved	Loss: 11%	11.508510566527									
Out[18]:	iter: 2000, accuracy best accuracy ac	: 13% nieved	Loss: 13%	11.510842908524									
Out[18]:	iter: 2500, accuracy	: 13%	Loss:	11.501224886344									
Out[18]:	iter: 3000, accuracy best accuracy ac	: 14% nieved	Loss: 14%	11.49398984774									
Out[18]:	iter: 3500, accuracy best accuracy ac	: 16% nieved	Loss: 16%	11.487628759524									
Out[18]:	<pre>iter: 4000, accuracy best accuracy ac</pre>	: 17% hieved:	Loss: 17%	11.492140238992									

Loss barely changing: Learning rate is probably too low

isses

I like to start with small regularization and find learning rate that makes the loss go down.

loss not going down: learning rate too low

	run it lr = 1e-7 lambda = 1e-7 train_and_test_loop(10000,	lr, l	.ambda)							
Out[18]:	iter: 0, accuracy: 10% Loss: 0.023248429529449 best accuracy achieved: 10%										
Out[18]:	iter: 500, accuracy:	10% 1	oss:	11.522416713458							
Out[18]:	iter: 1000, accuracy	: 10%	Loss:	11.517536122735							
Out[18]:	iter: 1500, accuracy best accuracy ac	: 11% nieved	Loss: 11%	11.508510566527							
Out[18]:	iter: 2000, accuracy best accuracy ac	: 13% nieved	Loss: 13%	11.510842908524							
Out[18]:	iter: 2500, accuracy	: 13%	Loss:	11.501224886344							
Out[18]:	iter: 3000, accuracy best accuracy ac	: 14% nieved	Loss: 14%	11.49398984774							
Out[18]:	iter: 3500, accuracy best accuracy ac	: 16% nieved	Loss: 16%	11.487628759524							
Out[18]:	iter: 4000, accuracy best accuracy ac	: 17% hieved:	Loss 17%	11.492140238992							

Loss barely changing: Learning rate is probably too low

isses

I like to start with small regularization and find learning rate that makes the loss go down.

loss not going down: learning rate too low

	<pre> run it lr = 1e-7 lambda = 1e-7 train_and_test_loop(10000, lr, lambda)</pre>												
Out[18]:	<pre>iter: 0, accuracy: 10% Loss: 0.023248429529449 best accuracy achieved: 10%</pre>												
Out[18]:	iter: 500, accuracy:	10% 1	oss:	11.522416713458									
Out[18]:	iter: 1000, accuracy	: 10%	Loss:	11.517536122735									
Out[18]:	iter: 1500, accuracy best accuracy ac	: 11% nieved	Loss: 11%	11.508510566527									
Out[18]:	iter: 2000, accuracy best accuracy ac	: 13% nieved	Loss: 13%	11.510842908524									
Out[18]:	iter: 2500, accuracy	: 13%	Loss:	11.501224886344									
Out[18]:	iter: 3000, accuracy best accuracy ac	: 14% nieved	Loss: 14%	11.49398984774									
Out[18]:	iter: 3500, accuracy best accuracy ac	: 16% nieved	Loss: 16%	11.487628759524									
Out[18]:	<pre>iter: 4000, accuracy best accuracy ac</pre>	: 17% hieved:	Loss: : 17%	11.492140238992									
10 1 / 70													

Notice train/val accuracy goes to 17% though, what's up with that? (remember this is softmax)

Loss barely changing: Learning rate is probably too low

isses

I like to start with small regularization and find learning rate that makes the loss go down.

```
-- run it
lr = 1e6
lambda = 1e-7
train_and_test_loop(10000, lr, lambda)
```

Okay now lets try learning rate 1e6. What could possibly go wrong?

loss not going down: learning rate too low

I like to start with small regularization and find learning rate that makes the loss go down.

loss not going down:
learning rate too low
loss exploding:
learning rate too high

```
-- run it
lr = le6
lambda = le-7
train_and_test_loop(10000, lr, lambda)
Out[19]: iter: 0, accuracy: 11% Loss: 0.023115084740835
-- best accuracy achieved: 11%
Out[19]: iter: 500, accuracy: 13% Loss: nan
-- best accuracy achieved: 13%
Out[19]: iter: 1000, accuracy: 13% Loss: nan
Out[19]: iter: 1500, accuracy: 13% Loss: nan
Out[19]: iter: 2000, accuracy: 13% Loss: nan
```

cost: NaN almost always means high learning rate...

I like to start with small regularization and find learning rate that makes the loss go down.

loss not going down:
learning rate too low
loss exploding:
learning rate too high

```
-- run it
lr = 1e-3
lambda = 1e-7
train_and_test_loop(3000, lr, lambda)
```

```
Out[29]: iter: 0, accuracy: 20% Loss: 0.02357119788693
                -- best accuracy achieved: 20%
Out[29]: iter: 500, accuracy: 13% Loss: nan
Out[29]: iter: 1000, accuracy: 13% Loss: nan
Out[29]: iter: 1500, accuracy: 13% Loss: nan
Out[29]: iter: 2000, accuracy: 13% Loss: nan
```

Out[29]: iter: 2500, accuracy: 13% Loss: nan

```
Out[29]: iter: 3000, accuracy: 13% Loss: nan
```

3e-3 is still too high. Cost explodes....

=> Rough range for learning rate we should be cross-validating is somewhere [1e-3 ... 1e-7]

Hyperparameter Optimization

Cross-validation strategy

I like to do **coarse -> fine** cross-validation in stages

First stage: only a few epochs to get rough idea of what params work **Second stage**: longer running time, finer search ... (repeat as necessary)

Tip for detecting explosions in the solver: If the cost is ever > 3 * original cost, break out early

For example: run coarse search for 2000 iterations

	for	<pre>i = 1, 100 do init_model() lr = math.pow(lambda = math. best_acc = tra print(string.f</pre>	10, torch.uni pow(10, torch in_and_test_1 ormat("Try %c	iform(-7 n.unifor Loop(200 1/%d Bes	".0, -3.0) m(-5, 5)) 0, lr, la t val acc) umbda) uracy:	%d, lr: %f, lambda:	not log	te it's be space!	est to op	timize i	n
Out[10]:	Try	1/100 Best val	accuracy: 16	5, lr: 0	.000045,	lambda:	4996.489302					
Out[10]:	Try	2/100 Best val	accuracy: 31	, lr: 0	.000003,	lambda:	0.001315					
Out[10]:	Try	3/100 Best val	accuracy: 25	5, lr: 0	.000001,	lambda:	0.000012					
Out[10]:	Try	4/100 Best val	accuracy: 24	l, lr: 0	.000002,	lambda:	216.397129					
Out[10]:	Try	5/100 Best val	accuracy: 26	5, lr: 0	.000007,	lambda:	0.000012					
Out[10]:	Try	6/100 Best val	accuracy: 29	, lr: 0	.000009,	lambda:	275.964597					
Out[10]:	Try	7/100 Best val	accuracy: 30), lr: 0	.000021,	lambda:	0.000253					
Out[10]:	Try	8/100 Best val	accuracy: 13	3, lr: 0	.000809,	lambda:	4.339235					
Out[10]:	Try	9/100 Best val	accuracy: 26	5, lr: 0	.000003,	lambda:	0.000062					
Out[10]:	Try	10/100 Best va	l accuracy: 2	27, lr:	0.000095,	lambda	: 18.288190]			
Out[10]:	Try	11/100 Best va	l accuracy: 1	4, lr:	0.000000,	lambda	: 1333.400659		_			
Out[10]:	Try	12/100 Best va	l accuracy: 8	8, lr: 0	.000311,	lambda:	0.000020					
Out[10]:	Try	13/100 Best va	l accuracy: 8	8, lr: 0	.000617,	lambda:	0.000050					
Out[10]:	Try	14/100 Best va	l accuracy: 3	34, lr:	0.000013,	lambda	: 0.124955]◀────	Nice, 34%	with onl	y
Out[10]:	Try	15/100 Best va	l accuracy: 1	17, lr:	0.000013,	lambda	: 5262.631955			2000 itera	tions	•

Now run finer search...

<pre>for i = 1, 100 do init_model() lr = math.pow(10, torch.uniform(-7.0, -3.0)) lambda = math.pow(10, torch.uniform(-5, 5)) best_acc = train_and_test_loop(2000, lr, lambda) print(string.format("Try %d/%d Best val accuracy: %d, lr end</pre>	<pre>pr i = 1, 100 do init_model()</pre>
Out[11]: Try 1/100 Best val accuracy: 35, lr: 0.000055, lambda: 0.00	2026
Out[11]: Try 2/100 Best val accuracy: 28, lr: 0.000001, lambda: 1.99	4656
Out[11]: Try 3/100 Best val accuracy: 32, lr: 0.000003, lambda: 0.48	3409 37% - relatively good
Out[11]: Try 4/100 Best val accuracy: 37, lr: 0.000032, lambda: 1.98	$\frac{5770}{1563} = 1 - 1 \text{ for a } 1 - 1 \text{ aver neural net}$
Out[11]: Try 5/100 Best val accuracy: 27, lr: 0.000003, lambda: 0.00	⁴⁵⁷⁸ and only 2000
Out[11]: Try 6/100 Best val accuracy: 28, lr: 0.000004, lambda: 0.08	²⁸⁶² iterations (we are
Out[11]: Try 7/100 Best val accuracy: 34, lr: 0.000020, lambda: 0.00	3083 getting see only
Out[11]: Try 8/100 Best val accuracy: 28, lr: 0.000054, lambda: 0.06	2000/50000 = 4% of
Out[11]: Try 9/100 Best val accuracy: 31, lr: 0.000003, lambda: 0.00	4361 Our training data!
Out[11]: Try 10/100 Best val accuracy: 32, lr: 0.000004, lambda: 0.0	01610
Out[11]: Try 11/100 Best val accuracy: 31, lr: 0.000006, lambda: 0.3	00821

Now run finer search...

<pre>for i = 1, 100 do init_model() Ir = math.pow(10, torch.uniform(-7.0, -3.0)) lambda = math.pow(10, torch.uniform(-5, 5)) best_acc = train_and_test_loop(2000, lr, lambda) print(string.format("Try %d/%d Best val accuracy: %d, lr end</pre> for i = 1, 100 do init_model() Ir = math.pow(lambda = math. best_acc = train_and_test_loop(2000, lr, lambda) print(string.format("Try %d/%d Best val accuracy: %d, lr end	<pre>10, torch.uniform(-6.0, -4.0)) pow(10, torch.uniform(-3, 1)) in_and_test_loop(2000, lr, lambda) ormat("Try %d/%d Best val accuracy: %d,</pre>
Out[11]: Try 1/100 Best val accuracy: 35, lr: 0.000055, lambda: 0.002026	
Out[11]: Try 2/100 Best val accuracy: 28, lr: 0.000001, lambda: 1.994656	
Out[11]: Try 3/100 Best val accuracy: 32, lr: 0.000003, lambda: 0.483409	37% - relatively good
Out[11]: Try 4/100 Best val accuracy: 37, lr: 0.000032, lambda: 1.981563	for a 1-layer neural net
Out[11]: Try 5/100 Best val accuracy: 27, lr: 0.000003, lambda: 0.004578	and only 2000
Out[11]: Try 6/100 Best val accuracy: 28, lr: 0.000004, lambda: 0.082862	iterations
Out[11]: Try 7/100 Best val accuracy: 34, lr: 0.000020, lambda: 0.003083	
Out[11]: Try 8/100 Best val accuracy: 28, lr: 0.000054, lambda: 0.064499	Make sure the best
Out[11]: Try 9/100 Best val accuracy: 31, lr: 0.000003, lambda: 0.004361	ones are not on the
Out[11]: Try 10/100 Best val accuracy: 32, lr: 0.000004, lambda: 0.001610	boundary
Out[11]: Try 11/100 Best val accuracy: 31, lr: 0.000006, lambda: 0.300821	ooundary

Random Search vs. Grid Search



Random Search for Hyper-Parameter Optimization Bergstra and Bengio, 2012

Hyperparameters to play with:

- network architecture
- learning rate, its decay schedule, update type
- regularization (L2/Dropout strength)

neural networks practitioner music = loss function



Karpathy's crossvalidation "command center"

Instant Sugar

marking 1 marking 1	The sector of th	Sector 1 Sector 2010 (Sector 2010) (Sector	The second secon	Bereiter 1 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11.	The set of	The second secon	The second secon	Sector 2 Sector	Sector 12 Sector 2015 Sector		protect 12 control of the second se	Interface II Construction of the second sec
II. Just	12.003	12 462	12.020	neme series series and	33.29	Land and the second sec	EE 200	TT ATT	11.63		13.142	an mar an ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann an
Antonia 12 Internet internet internet Particular and a second s	Contrast 12 Contrast 23 Contrast 24 Contrast 24 Contr	The second secon	A section 17 contrast of the section of the sectio	The second secon	The second secon	restrict 22 restrict 24 restrict 24 restr	Antonio 22. Contrast 22. Contrast 20. Contrast 20. Con	A service 22 service 24 service 24 serv	A section 23 section 24 section 24 sect	White 24 Internet and the second sec	Control 23 Control 24 Control 24 Contro	Refere 2 Refere
	13.012		11.60			LT EN		TI INI 			Tanta Constanting and the second second	
worker 37 ter and a second second ter and terms and terms production of the production of the terms of the terms to an electronic terms to an electronic terms terms of the terms terms of terms te	Norther 17 Internet and amount device provide 1.4.70 New NetWorks New NetWorks New NetWorks	Rocker 23 Inter Ort. Inter Ort. Inter Ort. Inter Internet. Benetic Action Inter Internet. Inter Inter Inter Inter Internet. Inter Inter In	Access rates form rates form antenna attention form antenna attention press to 42.78 form of 42.78 for 43.78 for 43.78 f	Section 35 Section 405 Section 405 Sectio	Section 32 Conversion detection for university detection for university of the section of the sec- ment of the section of the	Porting 13 projection the last synthesis distribu- tion synthesis distribu- property of the synthesis projection of the projection of the	ecclar: 34 inter set inter set	eoriar 35 contrata arrangemen attration arrangemen attration arrangement eorial attration for attration for attration for attration for attration	ACTIVE 36 ACTIVES AND ACTIVES	scelar 37 scelar 37 an unter 2016 (a) interfector 2016 (a) statistical (a) (a) unter 2016 (a) Scelar (a) (a) Scelar (a) (a) Scelar (a) (a) Scelar (a) Sc	Rector 38 traditional annuality for an and annuality for annuality and a free annuality of the second free annuality of the second	RODAL 20 Sold and art quarter marine port of Arts port of Arts Arts Marine Port (100 - 100 Port (100
The second secon	Territory, America Assessed, A.S., Mang, S.S., Wang, S.A., Sandard, A.S., Mang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., Wang, S.S., W	Martin Martin State State 1, 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100 (1997) and 100	Terry, "A Tardy A seasoff, "Le", "A seasoff," I Seasoff, "Le", "A seasoff, "Le", "A seasoff," I Seasoff," "A seasoff, "Le", "A seasoff," I Seasoff, "Le", "A seasoff, "Le", "A seasoff," Le", "A seasoff, "Le", "Le", "Le", "Le", "Le", "A seasoff, "Le",	Deriver, A., Tarag, Barandon, H., Yang, S. K., Barandon, S., M., Shang, S. K., Barandon, S., M., Wang, K. K., Baran, S. K., Sangara, Y. K., Sangara, S. K., Sangara, Y. Sangara, S. K., Sangara, S. K., Sangara, S. K., Sangara, S. K., Sangara, Y. Sangara, S. K., Sangara, S. K., Sangara, S. K., Sangara, S. K., Sangara, Y. Sangara, S. K., Sangara, S. K., Sangara, S. K., Sangara, S. K., Sangara, Y. Sangara, S. K., Sangara, S. K., Sangara, Y. Sangara, S. K., Sangara, S. K., Sangara, Sangara, S. K., Sangara, S. K., Sangara, Yu, Sangara, Sang	Der Kaller, A. Tang, Baragani, A. S. Sang, S. K. Sang, S. K. Sa	The second secon	Control and State Sta	10.1. A transport of the second se	Teller, S., States, M., States, J., S., States, J., S., States, J., States,	The constraint of the second s	Construction, S. and S. Songarah, S. S. Sangarah, Sangar	Territor, S. Martin, S. Martin, M. S. Martin, S. Mar
12 334			-						127.000			
нализия 43 полна 43 полна 19 полна на селото и полна 19 полна 1	matchine 42 results of the second se	Control (1) Control (marker 12 marker 12 marker 12 marker 12 marker 14 marker 14	markine 44 markine 44 markin	Anticlear 10 The second secon	marker 45 marker 45	Sector 1 Sector 2 Sector	matches 18 marches 18 marches 19 marches 19 marche	A series and a series of the s	A second	matter St control of the second seco	Technic II Service State State State Service State State Service State Servic

My cross-validation "command center"



My cross-validation "command center"



20.7763 [po] ajain:/flic_default/[to] 10 15 20 [po] ajain[to]:/flic_small/ -

Plot Epochs

My cross-validation "command center"



Monitor and visualize the loss curve







Monitor and visualize the accuracy:



Track the ratio of weight updates / weight magnitudes:

```
function gradient_descent(model, lr)
    w_scale = torch.norm(model.W:view(model.W:nElement()), 2, 1)
    update_scale = torch.norm(lr * model.gradW:view(model.gradW:nElement()), 2, 1)
    model.W = model.W + lr * model.gradW
    model.b = model.b + lr * model.gradb
    print(update_scale/w_scale) -- Want ~1e-3
end
```

ratio between the values and updates: want this to be somewhere around 0.001 or so

Visualize Features

• Visualize features (feature maps need to be uncorrelated) and have high variance.



hidden unit

Good training: hidden units are sparse across samples and across features.

		11											
		11				1				5		8	
		6.8	1.11									- M.	
					- 12								
S													
X					- 12	- 1			- 21			- E.	
θ													
d		21			1					- 1	12	- 22	
B	1	н.								H		1	
Sa	1	3		1	H.	ł,	l			ľ			
	**	11		ł.	r,							÷.	
	ţ.									1			
	10.0	1.1	1.1										

hidden unit

Bad training: many hidden units ignore the input and/or exhibit strong correlations.

Visualize Weights (Conv Layer)

• Good training: learned filters exhibit structure and are uncorrelated.



Visualize Weights (Fully Connected Layer)

- Sparsity is natural in deep learning
- Visualization of the first FC layer's sparsity pattern of LeNet
- It has a banded structure repeated 28 times (why? Hint: images are 28x28)


