Design Guidelines for Robust Internet Protocols

Rahul Singhai KReSIT, IIT Bombay

Reference Paper : <u>Design Guidelines for Robust Internet Protocols by</u> <u>Anderson, Shenker, Stoica & Wetherall. ACM SIGCOMM 2002.</u>

Internet Robustness



- Robustness was one of the Internet's original design goals
- Adopted failure-oriented design style:
 - Hosts responsible for error recovery
 - Critical state refreshed periodically
 - Failure assumed to be the common case
- Internet has withstood some major outages with minimal service interruption
 - Hurricanes, Tunnel Fires, Earth-quakes, terrorist attacks, etc.

Traditional Failure Models

- Most Internet protocols designed with assumption that a node participates in fail-stop manner :
 - Node fails completely & is detected by other nodes
- But these protocols are usually vulnerable to participating nodes misbehaving:
 - Malicious nodes
 - Denial-of-service, spoofing, etc.
 - Misconfigured nodes
 - Bug in software



Semantic vs. Syntactic Failures

- Syntactic failures:
 - Node responds with ill-formed message
- Semantic failure:
 - Node responds with well-formed message, that is semantically incorrect



CodeRed & Nimda worms triggered routing problems due to BGP instabilities

- Incorrect Sequence number in earlier link state protocols
- Routing Misconfiguration
 - Router advertises short route to some prefix
 - All other routers believe
- Congestion Control

Examples

- Hosts can ignore TCP CC and send too fast
- Receiver can lie to sender about ECN (Explicit Congestion Notification) bits

Traditional Techniques

- Cryptographic authentication:
 - Verifies who is talking, but not what they say
- Formal verification:
 - Verifies that correct protocol operation leads to the desired result
- Fault-tolerance via consensus: (Byzantine techniques)
 - Requires that several nodes have enough information to do the required computation
 - In network routing, for instance, only the nodes at the end of a link know about its existence

Design Guidelines for Robust Protocols

- Already Existing Basic Guidelines
 - How & where to keep state
 - Critical state should be refreshed periodically
 - How & where to initiate recovery
 - End-point error recovery
- Design Defensively
 - Incoming information might be incorrect
 - Nodes might be malicious or broken



Guideline # 1: Value Conceptual Simplicity



- Interfaces becoming complex over time (backward compatibility)
- Complex designs harder to correctly implement by all parties
- Use clean & simple interfaces, functional semantics
- Example : BGP route oscillation
 - Multi-Exit Discriminators are used to specify ingress preferences
 - Route reflector tells best route information to all BGP routers
 - Using MED attributes for selecting best route can cause persistent route oscillations, because they are not comparable, when learned from different ASs

Guideline # 2: Minimize Your Dependencies



- If nodes can be untrustworthy, then reduce dependence on information from them
- Example: TCP Congestion Control
 - Sender trusts receiver for congestion information
 - TCP fast recovery uses DUPACK to retransmit a missing packet
 - Problem: A misbehaving receiver can send infinite stream of DUPACKs
 - Fix: Use selective ACKs. Receiver explicitly lists which packets are acknowledged (either negatively or positively)

Guideline # 3: Verify When Possible

- Actively test node's responses
- Compare data to information provided
- Example: Explicit Congestion Notification
 - Routers marks ECN when congested
 - Receivers returns marks via ACKs
 - Problem:
 - Receiver may fail to mark
 - Mark removed by firewalls normalizing IP header fields or VPN Boxes striping off outer IP header
 - Fix:
 - Use nonce. Receivers echo nonce sum in ACKs



Guideline # 4: Protect Your Resources



- Resource Exhaustion by unsolicited requests from unauthenticated parties
- Example: TCP Connection Setup
 - Connection state maintained at least until three-way handshake completes or time-out happens
 - SYN flood denial-of-service attacks
 - Fix:
 - Send back state with SYN-ACK & keep on initiating client
 - SYN cookies used to verify states sent back at time of handshake

Guideline # 5: Limit Scope of Vulnerability



- Errors cannot always be caught or prevented
- Design protocols to limit possible damage or cascading of effects of errors
- Examples:
 - BGP Route flapping:
 - Route announcements & withdrawals were propagated throughout Internet
 - Every location saw all route flaps in entire Internet
 - Route flap damping limits extent to which failures propagate
 - It holds down routes that are repeatedly change status
 - BGP Error Processing
 - One vendor's BGP implementation ignores but propagates incorrect route announcements, while another vendor's routers terminate & restarts any BGP session propagating obvious incorrect announcements

Guideline # 6: Expose Errors

- Don't let errors trigger other bigger problems
 - Search & fix errors before they cascade
- Example: TCP Checksum failure
 - A bug in sender host or router corrupts data
 - Receivers ignored packet
 - Sender retransmits





Questions?



Guideline #6 Example



- ISP filters:
 - Prevents ISP from being used as transit by other customers
 - Filters customer advertised routes based on their prefixes
 - Link failure to a multi-homed customer may result in ISP providing transit to other ISP
 - Fix: Filter on AS-PATH rather than prefixes