Automated Interface Synthesis for Mismatched Protocols

Vijay D'silva & S. Ramesh & Arcot Sowmya

Center for Formal Design and Verification of Software, IIT Bombay School of Computer Science and Engineering, University of New South Wales

Systems-on-Chip Architecture



- Set of interconnected IP blocks.
- IP Blocks are pre-verified.
- Ideal Scenario: Plug-n-Play style reuse.

The Real Scenario

- Bus and socket based architectures.
- No standard exists.
- IP blocks are designed with different communication protocols.
- These protocols may not be 'matching'
- Bridges and wrappers required for integration.
- Manual Design of Glue Logic requires verification
- The focus of our work: synthesis of glue logic or interface
- From protocol specifications
- Interface correct by construction

A Protocol Pair



These two protocols can communicate and are matching.

A Protocol Pair



So can this pair.

A Mismatched Protocol Pair



- Connect Req to SEL and READ?
- What about Ack and ENABLE?
- Other problems..

Causes of Protocol Mismatch

- Signalling conventions vary.
- Bus widths differ.
- Data types differ.
- Clock speeds differ.
- Different complexity and functionality supported.

What is an Interface?



- A FSM similar to the protocols.
- Two phased.
- Has a counter and data buffers.
- Can perform type transformation.

Example of an Interface.



Transition from state 3 to 4 for pipelined transfers.

Our Approach

Given the models:

- Check if a protocol pair matches.
- Synthesise interfaces to resolve protocol mismatches.
- Requires data bus mapping and type transformation information.
- Interface is correct by construction.

Protocol Modelling:

- Restrict our focus to fully synchronous architectures.
- Finite State Machine based representation of protocols.
- No non-deterministic protocols.

Synchronous Protocol Automata

A Synchronous Protocol Automaton P is a tuple

 $(Q, \Sigma, \Delta, V, \mathcal{A}, \longrightarrow, clk, q_0, q_f)$

- \blacksquare Q is a set of control states
- $\Sigma = \Sigma^I \cup \Sigma^O$ are control channels.
- $\Delta = \Delta^I \cup \Delta^O$ are data channels.
- V is a set of internal variables.
- \mathcal{A} is the set of actions.
- $\longrightarrow \subseteq Q \times clk? \times \mathcal{A} \times Q$ is the state transition relation.
- q_0 and q_f are the initial and final states.

Matched Protocol Pairs: Intuitively

- They should be able to complete transactions.
- Information read should not be lost.
- Junk data should not be read.
- Cycle accurate compatibility.

Some notation:

- permit (S_1, S_2) for two actions S_1 and S_2 if they are complementary.
- blocking(q) if all transitions from state q are guarded.

Note: All states are either blocking or have no guarded transitions.

Matched Protocols : Formally

- 1. $\langle r_f, t_f \rangle \in \mathcal{R}$
- 2. if $\langle r, t \rangle \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\neg \operatorname{blocking}(r)$ and $\neg \operatorname{blocking}(t)$ then, whenever $r \xrightarrow{S_1} r'$ and $t \xrightarrow{S_2} t'$ it holds that $\operatorname{permit}(S_1, S_2)$ and $\langle r', t' \rangle \in R$
- 3. If $\langle r,t \rangle \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\neg \operatorname{blocking}(r)$ and $\operatorname{blocking}(t)$ then, whenever $r \xrightarrow{S_1} r'$ it holds that there exists $S_2, t' : (t \xrightarrow{S_2} t' \text{ and } \operatorname{permit}(S_1, S_2))$ and for all such $S_2, t' : \langle r', t' \rangle \in R$
- 4. If $\langle r, t \rangle \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\operatorname{blocking}(r)$ and $\operatorname{blocking}(t)$ then, whenever $r \xrightarrow{S_1} r'$ and $t \xrightarrow{S_2} t'$ such that $\operatorname{permit}(S_1, S_2)$ it holds that $\langle r', t' \rangle \in R$

A protocol pair P_1 and P_2 with initial states r_0 and t_0 is said to match if there exists a transaction relation \mathcal{R} such that $\langle r_0, t_0 \rangle \in \mathcal{R}$.

Synthesis Algorithm

Input : Two protocols. Data bus mapping. **Output** : Design space of the interface.

- A state in the interface corresponds to sets of states in the protocols.
- Transition in the interface corresponds to transitions in the protocols.
- Data which is read is saved in a buffer till it is written out.
- Data is written out only when available.

Synthesis Algorithm

Given transitions $r \xrightarrow{S_1} r'$ and $t \xrightarrow{S_2} t'$ in the protocols

- Compute next states Q_n .
- Compute action to be performed A_n .
- If $valid(A_n, X)$ then
 - Add state, transition to interface.
 - Update counters in X.
- Do until all reachable states are visited.
- Remove loops with unbounded reads.
- Remove paths to states in which data is expected but not output.

An Interface



Transition from state 3 to 4 for pipelined transfers.

Another Interface



Lower latency for transfer but requires a buffer. Designer will have to choose between such tradeoffs.

Data width and type mismatches



Data is twice as wide as RData.

Assumption: Type transformation is instantaneous.

Can add any required latency using the predicate valid(A, X)

Systems with Multiple Clocks



Clock FSM.

- Compose with protocol automaton.(oversampling)
- Now apply algorithm.

System Level Modelling



What this allows

- System level compatibility checks
- Correct by construction of bridges and wrappers.
- Direct translation into any HDL or synchronous language.
- Code will be simulation-ready.

Experimental Results

- Modelled (parts of) some SoC bus architectures.
- Synthesised interfaces for the same.

Master	Slave	Clocks	BWidth	I States	I Transitions
AHB	PLB	1:1	1:1	7	12
OCP	AHB	1:1	1:1	8	18
OCP	ASB	1:1	1:1	11	24
OCP	PLB	1:1	1:1	8	12
AHB	PLB	1:2	1:1	12	15
OCP	AHB	1:1	1:2	21	74
OCP	ASB	1:1	2:1	16	65
OCP	PLB	1:1	3:1	17	32

The AMBA High-Speed Bus



Current Work

- Documentation of various protocols used in the industry.
- Implementation of a tool for performing such modelling, analysis and synthesis.
- Analysis of existing industrial code to extract protocol components and synthesise wrappers.
- Using the technique to check correctness of existing wrappers.