

Lecture 4: January 23

Scribe: Nikhila Somu

Lecturer: Rohit Gurjar

Definition 4.1 (Convex Hull). For a set of points $S = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k\}$, $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, Convex Hull is defined as the set of all points generated by convex combinations of these points, i.e.,

$$\text{ConvexHull}\{S\} = \{\lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \dots + \lambda_k P_k \mid \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k \geq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k = 1\}.$$

Example: Convex Hull of two points consists of all the points on the line joining the two points. Similarly, convex hull of n points is a polytope having all or some of those n points as vertices.

Claim 4.2. A polytope is a Convex Hull of its (finitely many) vertices.

Note: Since every vertex comes from a subset of the linear constraints $Ax \leq b$ being tight and there are only finitely many such subsets (not all give a vertex), we have finitely many vertices.

Theorem 4.3 (Carthéodory Theorem). For a polytope $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, any point $z \in P$ can be written as a convex combination of at most $n + 1$ vertices of P .

Proof. Proof by induction:

Base case: On triangulating a polytope in \mathbb{R}^2 , any point inside a polytope will be enclosed in a triangle formed by three of its vertices and hence can be written as their convex combination.

Inductive step: Let the theorem hold for \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Take any arbitrary vertex v of P . Join v with z by a line and extend it to the other side till it hits a face F . Let the point on F where this line meets be y . F is at most an $n - 1$ dimensional polytope.

We can write, $z = \lambda v + (1 - \lambda)y$, $\lambda \geq 0$ where $y = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i$ such that $\forall i, \lambda_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ and v_i is a vertex of P .

Since y is a convex combination of at most n vertices of F , z becomes a convex combination of at most $n + 1$ vertices as $\lambda + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ and $\forall i, (1 - \lambda)\lambda_i \geq 0$. \square

Claim 4.4. If P is a Convex Hull of some finitely many points, then P can be described by some finitely many linear constraints.

Proof. Let the given set have k points $\{(p_{i,1}, p_{i,2}, \dots, p_{i,n}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. Then their convex hull P can be described as follows: set of all points $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that there exists

$$0 \leq \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k \text{ such that}$$

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k = 1 \text{ and}$$

$$x_j = \lambda_1 p_{1,j} + \lambda_2 p_{2,j} + \dots + \lambda_k p_{k,j} \text{ for each } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

This already gives us a description of P with linear constraints. However, the description uses extra variables $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ besides the x_j variables. We want a description using just the n variables (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) , since it is a polytope in \mathbb{R}^n .

We can eliminate the λ_i variables using Fourier Motzkin Elimination which aims to remove one variable at a time from a set of equations. In general, if we have the below equations and we want to remove λ ,

$$\lambda \geq E1, \lambda \geq E2, \lambda \leq E3, \lambda \leq E4, \lambda = E5, \lambda = E6$$

We take all combinations of the RHS expressions and replace the above equations with:

$$E5 = E6, E5 \geq E1, E5 \geq E2, E5 \leq E3, E5 \leq E4$$

Note: The RHS expressions should not contain λ . Using this repeatedly for all λ_i 's will give us the desired description. \square

Example: We have two points $v_1 = (2, 1)$ and $v_2 = (1, 2)$ and we want to find the linear constraints that describe their Convex Hull P .

$$P = \{\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 | \lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_2 \geq 0, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1\}$$

If (x_1, x_2) represents a point in P , then:

$$x_1 = 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$$

$$x_2 = \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2$$

Now to remove λ_1 , we rewrite the equations as the following:

$$\lambda_1 = (1/2)x_1 - (1/2)\lambda_2 \tag{1}$$

$$\lambda_1 = x_2 - 2\lambda_2 \tag{2}$$

$$\lambda_1 \geq 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\lambda_1 = 1 - \lambda_2 \tag{4}$$

$$\lambda_2 \geq 0 \tag{5}$$

Combining RHS of (4) with (2), (2) with (1) and (3) with (4), we get rid of equations (1), (2), (3), (4) to get:

$$\lambda_2 = x_2 - 1 \tag{6}$$

$$\lambda_2 = (2/3)x_2 - (1/3)x_1 \tag{7}$$

$$\lambda_2 \leq 1 \tag{8}$$

To get rid of λ_2 , we combine RHS of (6) with (5), (6) with (8) and (6) with (7) to get:

$$x_1 + x_2 = 3 \tag{9}$$

$$x_2 \geq 1 \tag{10}$$

$$x_2 \leq 2 \tag{11}$$

Our final answer consists of (9), (10) and (11).